The Honorable Robert F. Stephens, Chair Secretary, Kentucky Justice Cabinet

The Honorable Les Abramson, Professor Louis D. Brandeis School of Law University of Louisville

Chief Richard Burkhardt

Kentucky Association of Chiefs of Police

Pat Byron

Crime Victim

The Honorable A. B. Chandler III

Attorney General

Dr. Gary Cordner, Dean College of Law Enforcement Eastern Kentucky University

Rep. Jesse Crenshaw 77th House District

The Honorable Amy Dougherty Justice Fellowship

The Honorable Bill Fortune, Professor University of Kentucky College of Law

Gary Gilkison

Kentucky Jailers Association

The Honorable Judge William Harris 49th Judicial Circuit

The Honorable Marty Huelsmann, Professor Salmon P. Chase College of Law Northern Kentucky University

The Honorable Cicely Lambert, Director Administrative Office of the Courts

The Honorable Justice Joseph E. Lambert Chief Justice/Kentucky Supreme Court

Carol E. Jordan, Executive Director Governor's Office of Child Abuse and Domestic Violence Services

The Honorable Judge William Knopf

4th Appellate District Kentucky Court of Appeals

Gary Lawson

Kentucky Sheriff's Association

The Honorable Ernie Lewis

Public Advocate

Janice Marshall

Kentucky Association of Circuit Court Clerks

Debra Miller, Director Kentucky Youth Advocates, Inc.

Sen. Gerald Neal

33rd Senatorial District

The Honorable Phil Patton.

Commonwealth's Attorney/43rd Judicial District Prosecutors Advisory Council

Deputy Commissioner John Lile

Kentucky State Police

Martin Scott, Jr.

Kentucky State Lodge Fraternal Order of the Police

The Honorable Rebecca Diloreto

Kentucky Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers

The Honorable Judge Megan Thornton

22nd Judical District

Aldona Valicenti

Chief Information Officer/Office of the Governor

Rep. Rob Wilkey

22nd House District

Sen. David Wiliams

16th Senatorial District

FOREWORD

Dear Policymaker:

s we begin the new millenium, it is with great anticipation and excitement that the Kentucky Criminal Justice Council releases its first report on criminal justice information in the Commonwealth. The goal of this report is to provide policymakers with system-wide information as background for discussion of criminal justice issues and by doing so, to lay a firm foundation for data-driven decision-making and effective criminal justice policy development in the 21st century.

Although the limitations of our current criminal justice information systems are delineated in the report, it also provides evidence of significant progress in the implementation of a statewide Unified Criminal Justice Information System. This system will establish a progressive network of information-sharing that will enhance the efficiency of the entire criminal justice system and will support the Council's efforts in criminal justice planning. This report should therefore be viewed as a baseline and a first step toward future editions that will permit systemic integration of data and more sophisticated analyses.

I encourage you to use this report as a handy resource and to contact the Council Office if you have questions or need further information. On behalf of the Council, I would also like to express appreciation to the members of the Data Advisory Team along with the university faculty and criminal justice agency personnel who contributed time and energy to enable the vision of this new and unique report to become a reality.

Secretary Robert F. Stephens Chair January 5, 2000



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ublication of the first edition of Criminal Justice in the Commonwealth was made possible through the commitment and participation of criminal justice and other governmental agency representatives as members of the Data Advisory Team. The Kentucky Criminal Justice Council would like to express its sincere appreciation to the following organizations and agency representatives who contributed to this important data collection project:

Administrative Office of the Courts

- Mike Donnelly, General Manager Information Systems
- Bonnie Embry, Assistant Manager Research and Statistics
- Ed Crockett, Manager Pretrial Services Information Systems

Department of Corrections

- Jack Ellis, Manager Information and Technology Branch
- Bill Clark, System Consultant Information and Technology Branch

Department of Juvenile Justice

- Marjorie S. Yuill, Information Systems Manager Information Systems Branch
- Cheryl Moore Alsip, Systems Consultant Information Systems Branch
- Patrick Galloway, Systems Analyst Information Systems Branch

Department of Public Advocacy

■ Tanya L. Dickinson, Assistant Director Law Operations Division

Eastern Kentucky University

■ Charles B. Fields, Ph.D., Project Director Kentucky Crime Victimization Survey

Eastern Kentucky University

- Vic Kappeler, Ph.D., Consultant
- Peter Kraska, Ph.D., Consultant
- Gary Potter, Ph.D., Consultant Kentucky Crime Victimization Survey
- Center for School Safety/Kentucky Safe Schools Data Project

Kentucky Justice Cabinet

■ Louis Smith Chief Information Officer

Kentucky Data Center

■ Ron Crouch, Director

Kentucky State Police

- Lt. Col. Don Pendleton
- Major Charles Bowman
- Lt. John R. Moberly
- Lt. Mike Smith
- Donna Bray

Office of the Attorney General

- Malea Meredith Vincent, Director Statistical Analysis Center
- Pat Arnold Prosecutors Advisory Council

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Criminal Justice Council Membership • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1
Letter of Introduction	iii
Acknowledgements	V
List of Tables/Charts	
Limitations of Current Data/Information Systems • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Executive Summary	xv-xviii
Section One: Kentucky's Changing Demographic Realities	. 1
Section Two: Comparison of Part I Reported Offenses and the Preliminary Findings of the 1999 Kentucky Victimization Survey	2-12
Section Three: 1996-1998 Criminal Justice System Indicators	• 13-40
Administrative Office of the Courts Convictions/Dispositions ————	13
Department of Corrections Summary Data	15
Department of Juvanila Justica Summary Data	22
Prosecutorial Information	20
Prosecutorial Information Department of Public Advocacy Information	30 30
Section Four: Selected Crime Categories	
Drug-Related Arrests/Offenses	41
Hate Crime Statistics ————————————————————————————————————	46
Sexual Offenses —	47
School Safety	51
Section Five: Key Criminal Justice Cost Information • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Department of Corrections —	54
Department of Juvenile Justice ————————————————————————————————————	55
Department of Advocacy	56
State Funding for Substance Abuse Education, Enforcement and Treatment	
Appendices:	60-85
A: Description of Existing Criminal Justice Information Systems	- 61
B: Statistical Abbreviations and Definitions	- 63
C: Part I/II Offenses and Definitions D: AOC Abbreviations/Data Table	- 64
D: AOC Abbreviations/Data Table	⁻ 66
E: Department of Juvenile Justice/Data Tables ————————————————————————————————————	- 68
F: Center for School Safety/Data Tables ————————————————————————————————————	- 72

LIST OF TABLES/CHARTS

Section I

FIGURE 1.1: Population of Kentucky, 1970-2010	1
Section II	
TABLE 2.1 : Preliminary Results of the Kentucky Crime and Victimization Surv TABLE 2.2 : Index Offenses, 1998: Number, Rate per 100,000 Population TABLE 2.3 : Crime Rate Comparisons 1996-1998, Kentucky and Surrounding TABLE 2.4 : Index Offense Rate per 1,000 Population by County	
Section III	
TABLE 3.1 : AOC Case Dispositions by Offense, 1996-1998	13-14
FIGURE 3.1: Index Offenses: Commitments by Crime	
FIGURE 3.2: Index Offenses: Sentences by Crime	
FIGURE 3.3: Index Offenses: Age at Commitment	16
FIGURE 3.4: Murder: Commitments, Releases	
FIGURE 3.5: Rape: Commitments, Releases	
FIGURE 3.6: Aggravated Assault: Commitments, Releases	
FIGURE 3.7: Robbery: Commitments, Releases	
FIGURE 3.8: Burglary: Commitments, Releases	
FIGURE 3.9: Larceny/Theft: Commitments, Releases	19
FIGURE 3.10: Arson: Commitments, Releases	19
MAP: Inmate Population by County December 1999	20
MAP: Index Crime Rates by County, 1998	21
FIGURE 3.11: Offense Categories for Youth Placed in the Community	22
FIGURE 3.12: Offense Categories for Youth Placed Outside of the Home	23
FIGURE 3.13: Race of Youth Placed in the Community	24
FIGURE 3.14: Race of Youth Placed Outside the Home	
FIGURE 3.15: Gender of Youth Placed in the Community	26
FIGURE 3.16: Gender of Youth Placed Outside the Home	
FIGURE 3.17: Ages of Youths Placed in the Community	27
FIGURE 3.18: Ages of Youth Placed Outside the Home	
MAP: Community Placement Counties of Residence DJJ	
MAP: Out of Community Placement Counties of Residence DII	20

LIST OF TABLES/CHARTS (CONTINUED)

TABLE 3.2: Unified Prosecutorial System Cases Disposed, 1990-1999
FABLE 3.3: Unified Prosecutorial System Cases Disposed by County
FABLE: 3.4: Commonwealth's Attorneys Cases Finished
FABLE: 3.5: Commonwealth's Attorneys Cases Finished 34-35
FIGURE 3.19: DPA Caseload by Division, FY99
FIGURE 3.20: DPA Field Office Workload, FY 95-99
FIGURE 3.21: Trial Division Cases Reported Opened, FY 99
FIGURE 3.22: Trial Division Capital Eligible, Juvenile, Involuntary Commitment
Cases
FIGURE 3.23: Post Trial: Total Caseload by Branch, FY 99
TIGURE 3.23. Tost IIIai. Total Cascidad by Branch, 1 1 99
Section IV
ΓABLE 4.1: Drug Arrests, 1997
FABLE 4.2: Drug Arrests, 1996-1997
FABLE 4.3: Drug Arrests, 1996-1997
FABLE 4.4: Bias Motivation for Hate Crimes
FABLE 4.5: Location of Hate Crime Incidents, 1998 46
FABLE 4.6: Hate Crime Offense Description 46
FIGURE 4.1: Forcible Rape, 1996-1998
FABLE 4.7: Comparison of Forcible Rape Rates between KY. and Surrounding
States, 1996-1998
FIGURE 4.2: AOC Sex Offense Cases, FY 1996-1998
FIGURE 4.3: AOC Sex Offense Convictions, FY 1996-1998
FIGURE 4.4: Proportion of AOC Sex Offense Cases Resulting in Conviction, FY
1996-1998
FIGURE 4.5: Sex Offenders Commitments by Crime
FIGURE 4.6: Sex Offenders Age at Commitment
FIGURE 4.7: Sex Offenders Commitments, Releases
FIGURE 4.8: Sex Offenders Total Sentence 50
FIGURE 4.9: Incidence of Part I Law Violations in Kentucky Schools During the
1998-99 School Year
FABLE 4.8: Incidence of Part II Law Violations in Kentucky Schools During the 1998-99 School Year 52
TABLE 4.9: Weapon Incidents in Kentucky Schools During the 1998-99 School Year
Section V
FIGURE 5.1: Kentucky Criminal Justice Agency Funding, FY 99 53
FABLE 5.1: Cost to Incarcerate by Institution, FY 1998-1999

LIST OF TABLES/CHARTS (CONTINUED)

TABLE 5.2: Cost to Incarcerate by Type of Institution, FY 98-99
TABLE 5.3: Costs for Primary Programs Administered by the Department of Juvenile
Justice
FIGURE 5.2: Expenditure of DPA Funds by Division, FY 99
TABLE 5.4: Dept. of Public Advocacy Fiscal Year 1999 Reported Expenditures and
Cases
TABLE 5.6: Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Treatment Costs Per Day
<u>Appendices</u>
Appendix A:
Description of Existing Criminal Justice Information Systems
Appendix B:
Statistical Abbreviations and Definitions 63
Appendix C:
Part I/II Offenses and Definitions
A 12 - D
Appendix D: AOC Abbreviations/Data Table
Appendix E:
TABLE E.1: Number of Active Cases Placed in the Community by Offense Category
TABLE E.2: Number of Active Cases in Out of Home Placements DJJ 70-71
Appendix F:
TABLE F.1: Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky
Region 1
TABLE F.2: Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky Region 2
Region 2
Region 3
TABLE F.4: Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky:
Region 4
TABLE F.5: Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky:
Region 5
Region 6

LIST OF TABLES/CHARTS (CONTINUED)

TABLE F.7: Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky:	
Region 7	77
TABLE F.8: Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky:	
Region 8	77
TABLE F.9: Student Victims, Offenders of Law, Board Policy Violations in	
Kentucky: Region 1	78
TABLE F.10: Student Victims, Offenders of Law, Board Policy Violations in	
Kentucky: Region 2	79
TABLE F.11: Student Victims, Offenders of Law, Board Policy Violations in	
Kentucky: Region 3	79
TABLE F.12: Student Victims, Offenders of Law, Board Policy Violations in	
Kentucky: Region 4	80
TABLE F.13: Student Victims, Offenders of Law, Board Policy Violations in	
Kentucky: Region 5	81
TABLE F.14: Student Victims, Offenders of Law, Board Policy Violations in	
Kentucky: Region 6	82
TABLE F.15: Student Victims, Offenders of Law, Board Policy Violations in	
Kentucky: Region 7	83
TABLE F.16: Student Victims, Offenders of Law, Board Policy Violations in	
Kentucky: Region 8	84

LIMITATIONS OF CURRENT DATA/INFORMATION SYSTEMS

s you review the information contained in this Sourcebook, it is important to keep in mind that data have been drawn from a number of state level criminal justice computer systems in an attempt to provide a snapshot of crime trends in the Commonwealth. A detailed description of the existing systems can be found in Appendix A. Until these systems are fully integrated into a statewide Unified Criminal Justice Information System, there will remain inherent limitations in the data available for analysis. These limitations are delineated below:

- Data collection systems of criminal justice agencies were established independently for in-house data management purposes and not for planning or policy evaluation.
- There are currently no standardized formats for defining data elements or counting criminal justice events. Agencies vary according to what is being counted, e.g. charges, cases or individuals, and whether data are compiled on a calendar or fiscal year basis.
- As a result of the variation across agencies, it is currently not possible to publish a fully integrated criminal justice system report or to perform systemic trend analyses. This report, however, is an attempt to establish a baseline; to provide individual snapshots of the key components of the justice system (law enforcement, courts, corrections, prosecution and defense); and to draw general conclusions regarding system trends where possible.
- It is important to keep in mind that the National Institute of Justice estimates that only 38% of all crime is reported to the police. As a result, the Kentucky Criminal Justice Council has undertaken a baseline statewide victimization survey which will help to provide a better picture of the actual levels of victimization that are occurring within the Commonwealth.
- Variations in definitions may result in considerable inconsistencies in numbers across agencies. The data may be used to determine whether trends are consistent across agencies, however, individual numbers may differ significantly. Therefore, this report should be viewed as a starting point which will improve over time as reporting practices become more consistent.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

s part of its role in statewide criminal justice planning, the Kentucky Criminal Justice Council is charged in KRS 15A.040 with disseminating information on criminal justice issues and crime trends. This report therefore reflects the Council's first attempt to provide state officials with comprehensive information from all components of the criminal justice system to permit improved analysis of criminal justice issues and to help guide decision-making and promote effective policy development.

In the pages that follow, you will find a description of Kentucky's changing demographics; a comparison of reported Part I offenses and the preliminary findings from the June 1999 Kentucky Victimization Survey; data on Part I offenses from the perspective of the courts, corrections and the juvenile justice system; highlights on selected crime categories; and key criminal justice cost information.

Although the limitations of our current data collection systems are readily apparent, significant progress has been made in the implementation of a statewide Unified Criminal Justice Information System (UCJIS) which will greatly enhance our future ability to collect and analyze criminal justice data for planning and policy purposes. This progress is outlined in the following section.

Progress Report on Implementation of a Statewide UCJIS System

- House Bill 455, which passed during the 1998 session of the Kentucky General Assembly, provided the structure to initiate implementation of a statewide Unified Criminal Justice Information System (UCJIS). Since that time, a series of work groups have been established under the UCJIS Committee of the Kentucky Criminal Justice Council to deal with technical issues, legal and policy matters, public relations and training, funding sources, and statewide warrant automation. These work groups are charged with establishing basic standards and operating protocols to ensure that information is accurate, current, and easily accessible.
- A pilot project to establish an electronic uniform citation is also underway. This project emphasizes the need to collect information at an offender's earliest contact with the criminal justice system and disseminate it electronically, thereby significantly reducing the need to manually enter arrest data in many different systems. Positive offender identification is being accomplished through the Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS).
- Over recent months, Kentucky has been recognized as a national leader in the implementation of UCJIS systems. This position of prominence has been attributed to the governance structure established through the Criminal Justice Council; existing legislation requiring a uniform statewide citation; a unified court system; and the AFIS project. The Kentucky UCJIS Committee has also been cited for its involvement of interdisciplinary representatives of local, state and federal criminal justice agencies in the project and for approaching implementation from both a horizontal (across state agencies) and vertical (state-local) perspective. As a result, the UCJIS Project Manager and others directly involved in the UCJIS project have been frequently asked to make presentations on Kentucky's efforts at national forums.

Statewide Crime Trends and Information Highlights

Although it is currently not possible to fully integrate criminal justice data from state agencies into a systemic report, general conclusions may be drawn from a review and analysis of information from the various components. These include the following:

- A review of the state's changing demographics indicates that the population of Kentucky is getting older. While this may bode well in regard to violent crime trends, it may suggest that the population of the Commonwealth will be at greater risk for economic crime.
- Preliminary findings from the 1999 Kentucky Victimization Survey suggest that citizens are generally satisfied with the state's criminal justice system, although perceptions tend to vary by race and prior history of victimization. While there did not seem to be a high level of fear among respondents, 85% reported possessing or installing a security device in their home. More than 20% of those responding reported some type of prior victimization.
- A recent FBI report indicated that violent and property crimes across the country decreased six percent last year marking the seventh consecutive year serious crime has fallen nationally. In keeping with this report, Kentucky has also experienced a decrease in Part I offenses (murder, rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft and arson) over the past year including declines in both the violent and property offense rates.
- In comparing the Part I offense rate of Kentucky to seven surrounding states—Indiana, Illinois, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee, Virginia and West Virginia—Kentucky has the second lowest rate for Part I offenses (West Virginia has the lowest Part I offense rate and now has the oldest population in the nation). Kentucky's Part I offense rate also falls well below the national average (see Appendix C for definitions of Part I offenses).
- In reviewing the Part I offense rate by county, it is no surprise that the larger urban counties of Fayette and Jefferson, head the list for both property and violent offenses. For cities with a population of greater than 10,000, the cities with the highest Part I offense rates also derive from the state's larger urban communities.
- Data provided by the Administrative Office of the Courts on Part I offense dispositions and convictions for calendar years 1996-1998 suggest that the total number of diversion dispositions is increasing, especially for the crimes of theft, burglary and assault. The number of convictions for Part I offenses also appear to be increasing. Of the total number of dispositions per Part I offense category, the percentage of convictions ranges from 15% for rape, to 28% for assault, 38% for murder; and 55% for theft offenses.
- Based on data provided by the Kentucky Department of Corrections, it appears that there has been a gradual increase in new commitments for Part I offenses over recent years. The age at commitment ranges from 22-34 years, with the average age of approximately 25 years. Sentences lengths for Part I offenses appear to be stable overall.

- Information provided by the Department of Juvenile Justice comparing youth under supervision in the community and those in DJJ residential placements, suggests that the largest percentages of youth in both categories are referred from the large urban counties of Jefferson, Fayette, Kenton and Campbell. Based on a comparison of the most recent offense for which youth are placed in the community versus residential placements, it appears that the distributions are somewhat similar with the exception that a larger percentage of youth charged with more serious offenses against persons and property (including sex offenses) are placed in residential settings. The median age for youth in the community is 15 years while the median age for youth in DJJ residential facilities is 16 years. In regard to racial distribution, the data suggests that a higher percentage of African American and minority youth are housed in DJJ facilities than under community supervision.
- While the number of felony prosecutions remained relatively stable from FY 1998 to FY 1999, the number of misdemeanor, traffic, and juvenile prosecutions increased substantially, causing a 17% increase in total prosecutions. The biggest increase was in traffic prosecutions, which increased by 75,000 in one year.
- Two counties, Fayette and Jefferson, accounted for about one-third of all prosecutions in Kentucky in FY 1999. Interestingly, changes in the two urban counties from FY 1998 to FY 1999 varied sharply. Fayette saw an increase in traffic prosecutions but a decrease in juvenile prosecutions, while Jefferson County experienced opposite trends in prosecutions. In Jefferson County, traffic prosecutions dropped by 25% while juvenile prosecutions increased by 69%.
- Figures provided by the Department of Public Advocacy indicate that workload increased by 16% form FY 1998 to FY 1999, an increase which matches the rise in statewide prosecutions. The average per case funding in the trial division was \$171. In the post-trial division, the average per case funding was \$963.
- Drug-related arrest information provided by the Kentucky State Police for 1997 indicates that the highest percentage of drug arrests for the state are recorded in Jefferson County. From 1996-1997, statewide drug arrests increased across all categories. In comparison to surrounding states for 1996-1998, Kentucky has the second highest proportion of drug arrests to total arrests (the highest proportion of drug arrests can be found in the State of Illinois) and the second lowest drug arrest rate. It should be noted, however, that while an increase in the arrest rate may reflect increased drug-related activity, it may also reflect enhanced drug enforcement.
- A summary of 1998 data on hate crime incidents reported to the Kentucky State Police suggests that the top two categories reflect bias against race (77% targeting victims due to race overall and 58% targeting African American victims) and sexual orientation (16%). The majority of hate crime incidents occur either at home (30%) or in a parking lot/garage (25%). In regard to the nature of the crime, 44% of the incidents involve intimidation and 27% involve destruction, damage or vandalism.
- In keeping with previous statements regarding the overall reduction in Part I offenses for 1996-1998, the number of reported rapes has declined. This decline is also witnessed in the rates of reported rape for surrounding states. In comparing rates for these states, Kentucky ranks third lowest in reported offenses for rape. According to data provided by the Administrative Office of the Courts, the number of rape and sexual abuse cases have declined overall from 1996 to 1998, however, the number of sodomy cases has increased. Overall conviction rates for rape, sexual abuse and sodomy appear to be relatively stable.

Similarly, data provided by the Kentucky Department of Corrections indicates that the overall number of commitment for sexual offenses is decreasing. The total sentence served by sexual offenders varies by specific crime, but appears to relatively stable at this time, but is likely to increase significantly as a result of changes made in House Bill 455. The average age at commitment for a sexual offender ranges from age 30-36.

- Data reported by the Kentucky Safe Schools Data Project (Center for School Safety, Eastern Kentucky University) indicates that there were 1873 Part I offense violations reported in 1437 schools in Kentucky during the 1998-99 school year. Of the total number of Part I offenses (1873), 47% were listed as aggravated assault and 35% involved larceny-theft. Of the total number of Part II offenses (11,384), the largest categories included simple assault, disorderly conduct and drug abuse.
- The cost per inmate per year in Kentucky correctional institutions varies from \$10,621 (Eastern Kentucky Correctional Complex) to \$24,155 (Kentucky State Reformatory). The cost per year to supervise a probationer or parolee in the community is \$1,193. Similarly, it costs \$156 per day to keep a juvenile in a residential facility, versus \$17 in day treatment and \$12 in community supervision.



SECTION ONE: KENTUCKY'S CHANGING DEMOGRAPHIC REALITIES


entucky, as well as the United States, is experiencing a major demographic revolution. Kentucky and the United States have always resembled a pyramid-shaped population, where each younger generation was larger in number, better educated and more competitive than the previous generation. Nationally, we have begun to square the pyramid, with age cohorts in their 30's and 40's being equal in size or slightly larger than the younger generation following them. In Kentucky, we have actually started to invert the pyramid with a smaller base of young people and a growing cohort of middle-aged and older adults.

All population growth in Kentucky is now occurring in the age cohorts of 45 years and above as the baby boom generation, born between 1946 and 1964, moves over the next decade into their 40's and 50's and after 2010 into their 60's (see Figure 1.1). Kentucky has one of the lowest fertility rates, ranking 46th in the nation. Our image of having large families is an image of the past. Kentucky will experience no population growth

under age 65, as the baby boomers move into their 60's and 70's. In the 2010 to 2030 period, all expected growth in Kentucky will be in our aging population.

Similar trends are taking place in the entire United States, but are less dramatic. In the 1990 U.S. Census, the smallest population cohort since World War II was born between 1976 and 1980, now in their early 20's.

As the populations of Kentucky and the United States age with fewer teens and young adults and more mature and aging adults, we should expect changes in the criminal justice statistics. The reduction in the numbers of youth and young adults



should result in lower crime rates. You may see more mature criminals as their population increases significantly. The aging population may result in more medical expenditures in jails and prisons, as a growing portion of their population will be older.

Kentucky's changing demographic realities will require us to understand and address their impact on the criminal justice system.



COMPARISON OF REPORTED PART I SECTION TWO: OFFENSES AND THE PRELIMINARY FINDINGS OF THE 1999 KENTUCKY VICTIMIZATION SURVEY



in reviewing data on crime trends, it is important to note the type of data being presented along with the inherent limitations of that data. For example, although law enforcement agencies collect and maintain information on both arrests and offenses reported to the police, researchers generally agree that reported offenses provide a better measure of crime since these reports usually occur closer in time to the actual incidents.

While arrests also provide a measure of law enforcement activity, arrests may not be made in all cases and arrests may also reflect specialized enforcement efforts rather than an actual increase in the incidence of crime.

With estimates, however, from the National Institute of Justice indicating that only 38% of all crime is reported to police, a national victimization survey has been conducted for the past 27 years to provide a more in-depth picture of crime and victimization across the United States.

Although data from Kentucky is included in the National Crime Victimization Survey, the sample is too small to permit analysis on a state by state basis. The Kentucky Criminal Justice Council therefore contracted with Eastern Kentucky University in June 1999 to conduct a baseline victimization survey for the Commonwealth to provide comprehensive data on victimization, fear of crime and citizen perceptions of the criminal justice system for planning purposes.

A self-administered questionnaire was mailed to a randomly selected sample of 17,564 Kentucky residents over the age of 18 years. The survey consisted of questions in three basic areas: (1) attitudes regarding the performance of the criminal justice system, (2) fear of crime, and (3) victimization within the past year. Around 3,300 responses were obtained from the individuals who received the questionnaire.

While a response rate of 19% is generally considered to be low, it will not affect the conclusions as long as the sample is representative of the population. Initial analyses of these data suggest that the characteristics of the respondents to this survey mirror, within acceptable boundaries, those of Kentucky residents generally.

Therefore, we can be reasonably confident that the information provided reflects the general attitudes and experiences of Kentuckians with regard to these areas. It is important to remember, however, that this is the first time a victimization study of this magnitude has been undertaken in the Commonwealth. The data should, therefore, be viewed as a baseline upon which future data will build.

In the following sections, preliminary findings of the 1999 Kentucky Victimization Survey will be provided. Information on Part I offenses, which include the most serious crime categories, reported to the Kentucky State Police will be presented for calendar years 1996-1998.

Kentucky Crime and Victimization Survey

The 1999 Kentucky Crime and Victimization Survey polled a random sample of 17,564 Kentucky residents (licensed drivers age 18 and older) regarding their perceptions of the criminal justice system, fear of crime, and previous victimization over the past year. The following preliminary analysis is based on information collected from the first 3,286 respondents. Further analysis will be conducted to determine geographic distribution of respondents, as well as more detailed analyses of all respondents.

Individual Characteristics of Respondents:

- Average age 41.8 years.
- 42.4% male, 94% white.
- 54.7% married.
- 11.9% had less than high school diploma; 26.2% had obtained their high school diploma, 33.2% had some college and 28.7% had a college or professional degree.
- 72.1% live in a house they own, 9.4% in an apartment, 18,5% in other forms of housing; 34.8% live in a rural area, 40.3% in a city/town, the remainder in the suburbs.
- 66.1% have household incomes of \$50,000 or less.

Performance of the Criminal Justice System:

Criminal Justice System generally:

- Citizens are generally satisfied with the criminal justice system in Kentucky.
- Most respondents felt that it was more important for the system to treat people fairly than to process them more efficiently.
- Males and females show similar attitudes regarding the performance of the system.
- Whites perceive the system more favorably than ethnic/racial minorities in almost all categories.
- Older respondents tend to be generally more supportive of the system than those younger.

Police:

- A majority of those responding were more satisfied with the fairness of the police than with their ability to solve or prevent crime.
- Crime victims are generally less supportive of the police than non-victims.
- Whites perceive the police more favorably than ethnic/racial minorities in most categories.
- Women have more faith in the police to percent crime than men.

Courts:

- A slight majority of the respondents felt that the courts were not too harsh when dealing with poor or minority offenders.
- Older respondents tend to be generally more supportive of the court system than those who are younger.
- Crime victims are less supportive of the courts generally than non-victims.
- Whites perceive the court system more favorably than ethnic/racial minorities in some categories.

Prosecutors:

- A majority of the respondents did not think that prosecutors treated poor or minority offenders differently than others.
- Minorities perceive that they are treated less fairly by prosecutors than whites, but not to a great extent.
- There are no differences regarding perceptions of prosecutors based on the age or gender of respondents.

Prisons:

- Crime victims are more critical of the prison system than non-victims.
- Whites perceive the prison system more favorably than ethnic/racial minorities in some categories.
- No group feels that prisons deal too harshly with those convicted of a crime.
- Respondents only slightly fear the possibility of an inmate escaping from prison.

Fear of Crime:

- While there does not seem to be a high level of fear among respondents, 85% reported possessing or installing some type of security device (e.g., burglar alarms, deadbolt locks, guns, etc.) in or at their home.
- Two-thirds of the respondents think there is about the same amount of crime as there was a year ago; 21.8% think there is more.
- Over 50 % of those responding think they are well informed about crime occurring in their neighborhood.
- Over 55% feel that they may become a crime victim within the next year; more women fear becoming a victim of violent crime, men more of property crime.
- Respondents in rural areas are less likely to lock their home before leaving but indicate a higher level of fear to sometimes be at home alone at night.
- Those who have been the victim of a crime have higher fear of crime in most categories than non-victims.
- Respondents who reported sexual abuse as a child have similar fear of crime levels as other victims.

Victimization:

- Less than 15% of those responding to date reported some type of prior victimization.
- Of those who reported sexual abuse as a child, 80% are women, overwhelmingly white, and 2/3 are currently married.



- Until their current experience, almost 50 % of the respondents had no prior contact with the criminal justice system in Kentucky.
- Of those crime victims reporting the incident to the police, 20% said they did so because it was a crime and their duty to report it, 11% did so to prevent future crimes by the offender, and 9% to punish offender; 13% reported the offense to recover property or collect insurance, 47% reported the offense for other reasons.
- Of those crime victims not reporting the incident to the police, 7% indicated that the crime was not important enough or the police would not want to be bothered; less than 3% were afraid of reprisals by the offender.
- Over 75% of those reporting prior victimization were not overly satisfied with the outcome of their case.

Miscellaneous:

- Over 60% of respondents are gun owners; of these, 35% kept them for sport, 24% for protection, 12% had collectable or antique guns, and 4% for other reasons. Over 28% indicated multiple reasons for owning guns.
- When asked where they get their information and knowledge about crime and criminal justice, 75% indicated television, 69% said newspapers/magazines, 31% from the radio, 22% from friends or neighbors. Respondents were allowed to indicate more than one source of information.

Respondents watched an average of 17 hours of television per week and almost half (48%) are regular viewers of programs dealing with real crime or criminal justice issues (i.e. COPs, America's Most Wanted, etc.).

Table 2.1: Preliminary Results of the Kentucky Crime and Victimization Survey

					4			
COTTENS OF	Percent Victimized Within Land	Average Lota (modifiers)	Peremi Reporting Most Recent Crime to Police	ta. Location of Most Recent Event	Relationship to Offender	Type of Tea	Percent Seeking or Requiring Medical Treatment	Percent Knowing, Other Victims (Pair Year)
Burglary	3.9%	\$ 3,332	85.9%	3	3	3	3	27.4%
Notor.Vollar			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	32.7% home 30.0% community			1950 P.	100 SE 10
Robbery	1.0%	\$ 275	%9`89	18.9% home 62.2% community	56.8% stranger 16.2% acquaintance 18.9% spouse/close friend	29.6% fists 33.3% gun 11.1% knife	e	15.0%
Then 5	8.8%	937	10 (A)	33.8% community				427%
Assault with a weapon	1.1%	3	82.1%	23.7% home 52.6% community	33.3% stranger 23.1% acquaintance 20.6% spouse/relative/ close friend	32.4% fists 29.4% gun 11.8% club	42.1%	13.0%
Assault (office);	53%		30/39 9C	23.2% home 57.4% community	21.5% acquaintance: 25.3% spouse: relative/close friend		7.4%	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Rape/ Attempted Rape ²	0.8%	en	17.2%	46.7% home 33.3% community	6.7% stranger 23.3% acquaintance 50.0% spouse/ relative/close friend	3	10.0%	6.2%
Arson	**************************************	\$ 18316	1.00 St. 1.00 L	76.0% home 1.16.0% community?		E-33(5)	3 (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	1 408 EST
Vandalism	11.1%	\$ 593	46.3%	67.7% home 25.1% community	3	3		29.9%
Fraude E	12 47% 4 1	\$ - 1 1 1945	李锋和406年	43.6% home 37.0% community		10 m		4. 1.7% 班上

Summary based on 1,538 separate incidents reported.

²53% completed, 47% attempted.

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ Information not requested or not relevant for this offense.

Table 2.2: Index Offenses, 1998: Number and Rate per 100,000 Population

Offenses Reported

Onchos reported						
					Percent	Percent
	ļ				Change	Change
				İ	1996 to	1997 to
		1996	1997	1998	1998*	1998*
Population	A	-3,882,545	*3,910,366	3,936,499	1%	1%
Part I Offenses						
Murder and	Number	+ +227	253	237	4%	-6%
Nonnegligent Homicide	- Rate*	5.8	6.0	6.0	3%	0%
	Number	1,307	1,191	1,107	-15%	-7%
Rape	Rate*	33.7	30.5	28.1	-16%	-8%
	Number	4,271	3,886	3,468	-19%	-11%
Robbery	Rate*	110.0	99.4	- 88.1	-20%	-11%
	Number	8,589	7,997	8,097	-6%	1%
Aggravated Assault	Rate*	221.2	204.5	205.7	-7%	1%
	Number	14,394	13,327	12,909	-10%	-3%
Violent Offenses	* Rate*	370.7	340.8	327.9	-12%	-4%
	Number	29,306	27,667	27,998	-4%	1%
Burglary	Rate*	754.8	707.5	711.2	-6%	1%
of the country	Number	76,751	44-74,557	71,971	-6%	-3%
Larceny-Theft	Rate*	1,976.8	1,906.7	-1,828.3	⊦8%	-4%
	Number	10,441	9,815	9,752	-7%	-1%
Motor Vehicle Theft	Rate*	268.9	251.0	247.7	-8%	-1%
The section of the se	**Number	1,448	1,826	1,284	-11%	-30%
Arson	Rate*	37.3	46.7	32.6	-13%	.#=,30%
	Number	117,946	113,865	111,005	-6%	-3%
Property Offenses	Rate*	3,037.9	2,911.9	2,819.9	-7%	-3%
A CONTRACTOR OF SOME	Number	132,340	127,192	##123,914		3%
Total Part I Offenses	* Rate*	3,408.6	3,252.7	***3,147.8	-8%	-3%

Sources: Crime in Kentucky, 1997-1998, Kentucky State Police;

<u>Crime in the United States, 1998</u>, Federal Bureau of Investigation; Federal Population Estimates Program, Population Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C.

^{*}Computed by Kentucky Criminal Justice Council Staff

Table 2.3: Crime Rate Comparisons 1996 to 1998, Kentucky and Surrounding States

State	Section 1	Kentucky 🗀 Indiana 🚅	: Illinois : Missouri	Ohlo : Tennessee	Virginia W. Virginia - United States	Jnited States
Population	1001	3,882,545 5,841,000	11,847,000 \$ 5,359,000	11,173,000 3,320,000	6,675,000 4 1, 826,000	265,284,000
	16613-17	3,910,366 2,5,864,000	11,896,000 - 5,402,000	11,186,000 - 5,368,000	6,734,000 11,816,000	267,637,000
	1998	3,936,499 45,899,000	12,045,000 5,439,000	11,209,000 5,431,000	6,791,000 1,811,000	270,296,000
	Percent Change, 1996 To 1998	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%
Offense	900	34086	5,320.0	4,455.7 5,448.3	3,968.3	5,086.6
	78613	3,252.7	5,141.1	4,514.6 5,511,8	3,876.2	4,922.7
	1998	3,147.8	4,872.8 **** 4,826.4	4,327.5 = 5,034.4	3,660.4	4,615.5
	Percent de Change 196 Change 196 To 1988 and	%	%9	%8•	%e-**;;; ~ %e-	%6-
Violent 3						900
Rafer	9661	370.7	861.4	428.7	345.2 *** 218.7	610.8
				362.5 € 715.0	325.7	566.4
	Fercent 3. criange 1996 Fro 1998		%9:	-15%	%9	-11%
Property, Officials Rate	9671	3.037.9	4,429.6 計4,493.0	4,027.0 #4,675.4	3,627.0 [7]	4,450.1
	(68)	2,911.9 3 3,95178	4,279.7 年五年,4237.1	4,079.2	3,530.9	4,311.9
	8,00	2,819.9	4,065.0; 4,270.7	3,965.0 %: ,: 14,319.4	3,334.7	4,049.1
	Francanta Grange, 1998 Fron 1998	-7% 19%	%8- 1 %8-	-2% +8%	%1.3 88- 	%6-

Sources: Crime in Kentucky, 1996-1998, Kentucky State Police; Crime in the United States 1998, Federal Bureau of Investigation;

Population Estimates Program, Population Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C. 20233 **Computed by Kentucky Criminal Justice Council Staff

Table 2.4: Index Offense Rate per 1,000 Population by County, 1998

eriore de la companya de la company La companya de la co	erent omer se skippe store et kannen store en skippe store om	icincias lucici i i como de mando mono mortalizas			
County	Population Offe		∴Violent nse Rate Offe		Ran
ayette	241,749	61.0	7.4	53.6	·
lefferson	672,104		8.4	44.8	
McCracken	64,460	49.5	3.6	45.9	
Scott .	1. 30,685	7 46.6		44.3	
ranklin	46,438	45.4	2.3	43.1	
lenderson 🛴	44,457	44.8	. + . 3.1 s a.v.	41.7	
ulton	7,542	43.2	3.0	40.2	
Varren	87,323	-43.1	5.1	==38.0	
Christian	72,493	41.4	3.0	38.4	
Kenton -	146,732	39.9	3.7	36.2	1
Madison	66,502	38.7	2.3	36.5	1
Boone	79,67,1	38.6	2.3	36.2	1
lessamine	36,533	37.2	2.2	35.0	1
lopkins	46,364		6.1	30.6	:1
3oyd	49,543	36.0	3.1	32.9	1
Daviess :	91,139	35.6	1. * 1. 1.7	33.8	1
Clark	31,978	32.6	1.7	30.9	1
Faylor	22,943	31.6	14 2.0 A S	₩ • 29.6 × ·	1
Montgomery	20,932	31.2	1.7	29.5	1
Carroll 🖟 👍	9,603	130.7 h		28.1	
_aurel	50,734	30.5	1.0	29.5	2
Marion :	17,018	.+:29.1 %	4.14. 4.3.5	+ 25.6	· · · · · 2
Boyle	27,186	28.6	1.3	27.4	2
Mason	17,021	\$27.3	1,4	25.9	4. 12
Perry	31,049	26.8	1.8	24.9	2
3eII. → → ,	29,133	26,7	京和 1.6 。	1,525,1	2
Calloway	33,478	26.6	2.0	24.6	2
Pulaski 💆	56,294	26.0	1,0	25.0	2
Hardin	91,462	25.9	1.9	24.0	2
Shelby	29,583	25.9	/相談 1.5 (id):	24.3	(), (4)
Simpson	16,401	25.4	2.6	22.8	3
Rowan* 🖖 .	22,196	24.1	112 6	李琳 22.8 編	3
Campbell	87,381	23.7	1.8	21.9	3
Union 🗥 💛 👢	1:16;577 Ax	23.7	排列2.4 特斯	21.3	<u> </u>
Pike	72,121	21.4	1.1	20.3	3
Whitley 🛴 📜	35,938	¥4-21.3 • ¥	. · · · · · · 2.8 ; / · · ·	**************************************	How HS
Washington	10,918	20.8	0.7	20.1	3
Caldwell 4.4	周 3 13 3 14 基 。		美麗 40.9 4.1	30 4119.4 1	
Logan	26,145	20.2	1.8	18.5	3
Lyon 🐩 😅	8,052	20.1	175 (43.4 : 57)	14.16.8 4	T 4
Anderson	18,587	19.5	0.9	18.6	4
Marshall	30,312	学 中州9.1 四数		41.418.21	1,4
Grant	20,347	18.6	8.0	17.8	4

Table 2.4, cont.

Table 2.4, c	ont.				
County	Population Offe	indexs	Violent nse Rate Offe	Property nse Rate	Rank
Harrison	17,565	18.5	1.3	17.2	44
Rockcastle .	15,951	####18.3 : April	111111	F. 学用7,2 (2)	46
Bourbon	19,368	18.1	0.9	17.2	47
Nelson - +	35,884	47.7	0.6	17.1	48
Harlan	34,950	17.5	1.7	15.9	49
Trigg 🚗 🔭	- + + 12,399	17.2 · · · ·	±31:4:	15.8	50
Knox	31,890	17.1	1.9	15.2	51
Carter	26,848	17.0	1.7.	15.3	52
Clay	22,799	16.8	1.4	15.4	53
Grayson :	23,763	16.8			- 53
Wolfe	7,366	16.4	0.4	16.0	55
Graves	135,847	16.1	1.3	14.8	
Lewis	13,584	16.0	1.3	14.8	57
Mercer	20,704	×16.0	5,15 44 1.1 co		57
Breathitt	15,686	15.9	1.1	14.8	59
Bullitt		15.7			
Nicholas	6,998	15.6	0.0	15.6	61
Oldham					
Russell	16,233	15.0	1.5	13.6	62
Johnson 🔭	24,022	14:9: 4:0		13.9	64
Powell	12,945	14.9	1.2	13.8	64
Greenup		114.8		14.0	66
Lincoln	22,367	14.8	0.6	14.1	66
Pendleton #		14.6	45 × 1.0	13.6	68
Gallatin	7,182	14.2	1.3	12.9	69
Trimble	7,6214		+=+-1.3	12.7 4	70
Livingston	9,432	13.9	0.6	13.3	71
Owsley	5,404	#13.7 ; ·	1.9	13.3 11.8 mg	
Crittenden	9,574	13.5	0.9	12.5	72 73
Ohio # A	4.005 mile 22.005 januar		Barres (1:0, span)	12.5	
Woodford	22.830	13.4	0.6	12.8	75
Menifee	A 45,736 Value		14 14	5.7.311.7	76
Larue	13,058	12.9	1.4	11.6	77
Estill .	15,588	12.8	ört v. 1.4° ∴.	64 5 611.4 at	
Bath	10,570	12.7	0.9	11.8	79
Jackson 1	12,908	12.7	18 4	10.9	
Wayne	19,107	12.7	0.9	11.7	79
Barren !!	36,979	,123	°06 =:	1.7.01.6 ±	
McCreary	16,659	11.6	1.3	10.3	83
Leslie	13,582	11.5	1,3 / 1	10.3	
Owen	10,264	11.5	1.1	10.4	84
Lawrence		#112 · · ·			
Martin	12,120	11.2	1.4	9.8	86
Elliott :		#36414 (1 mail			
Hart	16,738	11.1	1.2	9.9	88
<u> </u>		11.1	1.4	5.5	00

Table 2.4, cont.

	F. J. W. W. T. L.	in the same			
	tang ladi ang p	Index	Violent	Property.	10.00
County McLean	Population Offe 9,845				Rank
Morgan -	9,645 13,559	10.9	0.6	10.3	90
Adair	16,447	10.8	1.5	### 9.3 ##	91
		10.7	1.0	9.7	92
Edmonson : :: 4: Knott	17,000	10.7 style.		10.6	92
	17,989	10.7	1.2	9.5	92
Spencer 47.55 Floyd	9,660				X*************************************
	43,340	10.0	0.9	9.0	96
Hickman		9.9	5.0.8		97
Muhlenberg	32,173	9.8	0.7	9.1	98
Ballard	1 2 TO A P C ARREST 1 2 TO A P C A		⊹4 5.0.6 <i>‡</i>	. 8.8 4	99
Magoffin	13,838	8.8	1.2	7.6	100
Metcalfe	9,561	: : : 8.7.: [] - : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	. 0.8	7.8	200 5 200 400 400 200 200 200 200 200 200 200
Butler	11,926	8.2	1.2	7.0	102
Green:	97650 111	75.1 \$ 8.1 \$4.1 \$		7.6	103
Lee	8,021	8.1	1.0	7.1	103
Hancock	8,941	7.9		7.6	105
Henry	14,765	7.9	1.1	6.8	105
Letcher	26,185	+	: 1.0 L	7.0	105
Todd	11,222	7.9	0.4	7.6	105
Clinton	9,346	7.4	≟∔⊹∔0.9. ¥.	6.5	- 109
Fleming	13,441	6.9	0.4	6.5	110
Monroe	學 到1,201	6.8	0.94	₩ ,45.9	P-2111
Robertson	2,209	6.3	0.5	5.9	112
Meade	28,809	6.2	0.8	5.3	-113
Webster	13,482	5.9	0.7	5.2	114
Breckinridge 🐇	and the second s	5.7 (44)	0.2	5.5 Feb.	· . 115
Casey	14,773	5.6	1.2	4.4	116
Carlisle 🚁 🚛	5,320 🗱	A 442 5.5 ₆₂ - 8	0.8	\$1.45° 4.7	117
Cumberland	6,828	5.0	1.2	3.8	118
Bracken 100	4.8,455 min	129 #14 8 says	Mark of Assemb	# 3.8 €	119
Allen	16,555	3.2	0.5	2.7	120

Sources: Crime in Kentucky, 1996-1998, Kentucky State Police;

Population Estimates Program, Population Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C. 20233

^{*}Computed by Kentucky Criminal Justice Council Staff

Table 2.5: Part I Offense Rates per 1,000 Population for Cities Over 10,000–1998

nes Translation		Index		Property
at a second	ar apparer - 50	Offense	Land of Participant	For the Street Control
Jurisdiction	Population	Rate*	Rate*	Rate*
Covington	40,388	91.9	11.0	80.8
Paducah	25,883	- #44#86.8	6.9	79.9
Florence	19,501	85.0	4.4	80.6
Georgetown	14,365	78.2	= 3.4	74.8
Hopkinsville	32,045	73.8	4.8	68.9
Bowling Green	-+ 44, 822	72.5	+ 4 + 8.8	63.7
Louisville	255,045	71.3	9.7	61.5
Madisonville	19,034	** *** 67.4	13.7	53.7
Shively	16,608	62.9	5.1	57.7
Henderson	26,457	45.61.8	4.2	57.5
Lexington	241,749	56.5	7.3	49.2
Middlesboro	-4-10,397	55.9		53.8
Frankfort	26,418	54.2	2.9	51.3
Ashland 📏 🔭	22,402	53.9	. 5.4	48.4
Elizabethtown	19,905	53.0	3.1	49.8
St. Matthews	16,583	52.7		51.4
Richmond	27,644	50.8	3.4	47.4
Nicholasville	17,099	50.6		47.7
Somerset	12,618	50.2	2.4	47.8
Campbellsville	10,776	49.9	3.2	46.8
Winchester	15,799		2.8	46.8
Owensboro 🕌 💮	54,041	47.7	2.4	45.3
Newport	16,455	47.3	6.4	41.0
Radcliff	19,472	46.9	47 4 3.7	43.2
Berea	10,341	42.8	1.6	41.2
Danville	16,470	38,7	2.0	36.7
Erlanger	16,901	31.2	1.1	30.2
Mayfield	10,370	排源273	***************************************	<u> </u>
Murray	15,905		2.5	24.6
Independence 255	TAL #13,745	4	Mar. 44.0.7	2 25.3
Jeffersontown	25,678		1.2	
Glasgow : */ **	14,062		55,5501.1	7.13.3
Ft. Thomas	14,929	12.2	0.9	11.3

Sources: Crime in Kentucky, 1996-1998, Kentucky State Police;

Population Estimates Program, Population Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C. 20233

^{*}Computed by Kentucky Criminal Justice Council Staff

SECTION THREE:

1996-98 CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM INDICATORS

Thile the previous section dealt with Part I offenses reported to police and citizen responses collected through the statewide victimization survey, this section will present data relating to Part I offenses from the perspective of the Administrative Office of the Courts, the Department of Corrections and the Department of Juvenile Justice.

Administrative Office of the Courts

TABLE 3.1 AOC Case Dispositions by Offense, 1996-1998

	Diopositions by Officiacy 2550 2550				
				Percent	Percent Change 1997 to
				Change	
				1996 to	
	1996	1997	1998	1998	1998
Arson			į		
Amended	74	139	119	61%	-14%
Acquitted/Dismissed	79	120	88	11%	-27%
Diversion	3	4	7	133%	75%
Other	21	20	22	5%	10%
Convictions	61 '	117	116	90%	-1%
Total	238	400	352	48%	-12%
Assault					
Amended	1,138	1,605	1,730	52%	8%
Acquitted/Dismissed	2,031	2,669	2,765	36%	4%
Diversion	-32	42	64	100%	52%
Other	165	169	180	9%	7%
Convictions	1,236	1,886	1,860	50%	-1%
Total	4,602	6,371	6,599	43%	4%
Auto Theft					
Amended	234	305	287	23%	-6%
Acquitted/Dismissed	697	859	898	29%	5%
Diversion	8	18	16	100%	-11%
Other	122	189	156	28%	-17%
Convictions	696	996	1,005	44%	1%
Total	1,757	2,367	2,362	34%	0%

chart continues >



TABLE 3.1 AOC Case Dispositions by Offense, 1996-1998 (continued)

			Percent Change 1996 to	Percent Change 1997 to	
1996	199	7	1998	1998	1998
Burglary					
Amended	1,161	1,643	1,612	39%	-2%
Acquitted/Dismissed	1,361	1,877	2,152	58%	15%
Diversion	15	33	44	193%	33%
Other	276	304	254	-8%	-16%
Convictions	1,145	1,933	2,023	77%	5%
Total	3,958	5,790	6,085	54%	5%
Murder					
Amended	26	95	104	300%	9%
Acquitted/Dismissed	50	81	85	70%	5%
Diversion	-	-	-	0%	0%
Other	11	15	11	0%	-27%
Convictions	24	85	125	421%	47%
Total	111	276	325	193%	18%
Rape		/-		9.0	
Amended	83	157	167	101%	6%
Acquitted/Dismissed	228	249	284	25%	14%
Diversion •	-	2/4	- 4	0%	. 0%
Other	7	22	15	114%	-32%
Convictions	31	81	80	158%	-1%
Total	349	509	550	58%	8%
Robbery	:				
Amended	293	455	467	59%	3%
Acquitted/Dismissed	419	518	561	34%	8%
Diversion	1	3	4	300%	33%
Other	30	40	41	37%	3%
Convictions	319	481	568	78%	18%
Total	1,062	1,497	1,641	55%	10%
Theft					
Amended	1,153	1,674	1,565	36%	-7%
Acquitted/Dismissed	4,154	5,728	5,688	37%	-1%
Diversion	126	166	189	50%	14%
Other	866	1,149	1,120	29%	-3%
Convictions	8,297	11,024	10,659	The second of th	-3%
Total	14,596	19,741	19,221	Control of the second second	-3%

Source: Kentucky Administrative Office of the Courts

^{*}Calculated by Kentucky Criminal Justice Council Staff.



Note: Sentences of life are calculated as 45 years.


Department of Corrections

Index Offenses: Commitments by Crime SOURCE: Department of Corrections Too Formation Too Formation Forma

Aggravated Larceny Rape Assault Burglary Theft Arson

FIGURE 3.2

FIGURE 3.1



11.5






FIGURE 3.3






FIGURE 3.4



FIGURE 3.5






Note: Assault I, II, and III, Assault on a Sports Official, Criminal Abuse I and II, Attempted

Manslaughter and Attempted Murder are included in these figures.

FIGURE 3.6



FIGURE 3.7




Burglary: Commitments, SOURCE: Department of Corrections Releases Serve Out --- Shock Probation - New Commitments -- Parole New Commitments Parole Serve Out **Shock Probation**

Note: Burglary I, II, and III are included in these figures.

FIGURE 3.8



FIGURE 3.9



in these rightes.






FIGURE 3.10









Department of Juvenile Justice

FIGURE 3.11 Offense Categories for Youth Placed in the Community






FIGURE 3.12
Offense
Categories for
Youth Placed
Outside of the
Home






FIGURE 3.13 Race of Youth Placed in the Community








FIGURE 3.14 Race of Youth Placed Outside the Home




~ 5²

FIGURE 3.15 Gender of Youth Placed in the Community




SOURCE: Department of Juvenile Justice

FIGURE 3.16 Gender of Youth Placed Outside the Home




SOURCE: Department of Juvenile Justice



Ages of Active Cases as of 11-2-99


Source: Department of Juvenile Justice




Ages of Active Cases

Source: Department of Juvenile Justice











Prosecutorial Information

TABLE 3.2
Unified
Prosecutorial
System Cases
Disposed,
1990-1999

Linffed Prosecutoria	Juveirile System	43,472 595,194	96£,882 17 £,24	47,981 559,302	54,972 572,038	53,808 608,204	51.926 601,040	43,187 638,607	49,329 746,737		neys, and	
	1600	314,296	, 1650E	281,312	Hallog.	305,507	1385 [<i>16</i> 6	348,468	11/1/1/2		onwealth Attor	Attomeys.
	(confibMissieries)	173,709		170,529	97/85	184,891	192/201	180,134	200660		Note: (1) Circuit Courts have 56 circuits (34 Full-Tine), 56 Commonwealth Attorneys, and	20 Count Attorneys. (2) District Courts have 120 County Attorneys.
nles Distribut	Court	47,518	916	41,312	1619F	44,586	80985	46,186	Kuki-	Courts	its (34 Full-T	t Courts hav
Febries Greuit		15,883		17,749	E681	18,900	NAM.	20,208	3317	Office of the	lave 56 circu	s. (2) Distric
	Anneals	316	3K	419	667	512		424	92	Source: Administrative Office of the Courts	cuit Courts h	unt Attorney
		1992	1991	1929	7661	1996	197	1998	190	Source: Adı	Note: (1)Cin	120 Col

Table 3.3: Unified Prosecutorial System Cases Disposed by County

tal,	6661		2,157	3,107	1,984	8,597	1,325	7,039	£ 13,773	3,269	6,314	3,885	1,017	2,256	2,220	7,375	**** 1,773	1,416	3,812	15,780	638	3,509	4,669	2,163	10,133	5,107	7,762	2,201	*1,138	1,361
Total.			2,075			6,642	727	6,068	12,812	2,188	··· 6,050	4,672	516	2,011	2,032	8,485	-4 1,751	1,583	3,523	15,377		2,976	762'6	1,729	10,346	4,986	F# 6,163	1,954	676	712
Appeals .	8 , 1999	1 2	4	3 0	2 5	5 7	0	4 6	0 = 0 = 15	1 1	2	2 0	5 . 1 . 2	4	1	2	I	0	1.75	9		1	0 * . *	0	4		0 - 4-4	2	0	
	8661					,	0		. 10			7	- 2		0	1		1	4	20	[8	0	2	$L \rightarrow s^{\dagger}$	1	2.0	0	J.,	
Domestic, Violence	6661 **** <u>*</u>	126	124	108	. 28	242	96	234	279	155	326	189	41	156	16.	364	. 64	72	. 116	533	6	133	181	115	544	171	* * * 187	39	- 46	63
Domest	866T->-	127	160	125	. 30	243	59	205	408	173	362	222	41	121	120	367	42	<i>LL</i>	120	089	81	113	. 1219	145	164	581	238	88	49	39
Juvenile	6661	203	. 1.211	143		417	~ 107	443	656	269	- 329	231	0/	288	163	475	72	111	344	1,678	¥ 64.147	230	. 291	230	. 856	463	459	88	65	70
yar Tay	1998	220	247	128		491	* 85	428	1,043	210	- 285	257	-72	296	126	995	88:	145	295	2,096	6E##	233		138	868	424	138: 4	54	42	64
Traffic	6661≸	1,281	1,006	1,734	1,501	5,193	P 749	4,219	-7,701		3,9713	1,978	647	606	1:081	4,101	1,108	\$69	1,845	8,438	£0 <i>5</i> -1-03	2,298	42,810	1,057	1,4,27,1	2,187	4.970	1,109	1 = 146	774
TI STATES	8661	1,300	833	1,141	1,094	3,409	(FE - 1)	3,192	880'9	727	3,542	2,930	577	725	878	5,007	8/1/13	750	1,566	7,586	949	1,810	17.172	815	4,196	2,192	1,541	739	. 339	361
emeanors	1999	829	595	928	249	2,118	98	1,659	#3;825	875	#F1,437	1,122	1618346	634	£ 625	1,876	9.1944	413	166	3,680	88	646	12011	498	3,020	1,867	1,496	813	165	373
Misder	190		580	882		1,953	161984-2	1,642	14,095	826	1,445	927	1918	549	635	1,996	1625	472	966	3,746	101	592	830	429	3,218	1,778	411,392	089	¥ 4.428	179
nies - "	1999	472	** ,219	194		560	S\$ 54.44	478	\$ 15297	298	£05" £8	365	76.85	265	259	557	187	125	115.20	1,445	88	201	068	263	1,438	418	069.4	150	0688	80
Felonies	1998	389	251	185	158	541	05:1:30	597	*-1,168	251	415	334	- 36	319	1:2273	548	151,44	138	*** 1 542	1,299	6877	225	E. 6. 333	200	21.534	406	17.641	393	06*****	89
(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	County and Art	Adair	Allen	Anderson	Ballard	Barren	Bath Free State	Bell	Воопе	Bourbon	Boyd Part 2 1	Boyle	Bracken: F. F. S.	Breathitt	idge.	Bullitt	Butlet, v. 11.20	Caldwell	Calloway # 15		Cartisle, a figura	Carroll	Caffer	Casey	an		Clayer water	Clinton	uo no	Cumberland

otal	6661	15,566	737	744	2,381	57,165	1,495	11,163	11,885	2,119	41,383	1,982	. 3,926	4,614	* 2,929	1,185	4,222	1,533	-14,604	7,289	2,869		\$21,213.5	3,035	1.59	7,338	+1,979	192,727	15,817	3,991	25,303	3,629	6,263
Total #	1998	15,173	668	9/9	4,2,352	51,399	**!!!32	8,703	10,700	1,701	**1,327	1,351	3,472	3,842	2,294	1,247	3,298	1,251	111054	5,993	152237	2,275	£9£'11'	3,542	2,4,717	698'9	1,473	205,843	1, 4,538	3,382	22,455	3,821	5,326
Appeals	1999	2	$0 = \cdots = 0$	1	¥.5. ***	75	8	4	2	3	0	I	- 3	3	. 0	-	1.17 ± 1.0	0	6	2	2	1	0	4	0	\$	4	18	+3	0	15	0	I Take 19
	1998	4	0 1	0		65		2	91 - 19	4		0	7	1	1	0			91-119	0	0	8	7	1	0	0	0	35	3	0	. 27	0	1
Domestic Violence	6661:	464	42	31	186	1,668	88	359	*326	50	. 43	601	707	152	175	£L	201	19	515	220	96	120	381	111	71" 1."17	247	87	4,335		213	-: :1,005	122	325
****	1998	517	45	43	260	1,739	93	397	418	45	90	131	192	120	155	59	218	68	545	206	73	113	£ 2.431	136	24	236	119	4,545	306	214	1,078	173	489
Juvenile 🚁	666I*	1,374	297	41	2:170	2,901	28 :	308	. 733	96	127	118	183	270	. 226	102	. 218	19	1,041	271	190	147	870	140	52	492	178	12,323	** * 376	275	2,289	246	× 408
	1998	1,504		45	155	3,310	89****	331	285	181	LST	46	. 205	243		62	179	62	.= 973	408	131	181	876	156	61	440	150	7,280	432	267	2,323	256	444
Trafficasia	6661	9,164	. 256	287	1,210	32,177	- 902	8,009	605,7	1,144		923	2,580	2,451	1,240	466	2,486	1,156	6,704	4,168	1,568	1,128	\$28,032	1,925	698	3,829	838	95,508	119,641	2,496	13,372	2,582	1963
TT	866J	8,677	. > 421	264	1,243	27,814	£4£624	5,792	3.6,055	682	899 🕶 🚡	530	2,096	1,844	662.799	583	1,683	884	4,787	2,630	\$01#1 3	1,234	\$ 6,402	2,532	1967	2,843	969	128,559	1,2,393	1,915	10,715	2,610	21.718
meanors	6661	3,451	187.781	294	CC9.20	15,412	## 321	2,005	7.2,669	209	** 353	596	May 742	1,221	¥ 994	426	686	205	5,5,203	2,223	108	532	2,743	728	6414	1,971	009	61,444	S-11031	692	58519	581	038.63
Misder	1998	3,461	250	22	510	Γ	257	1,688	3,058	556	EEE 1333	385	769	1,143	JE42812	450	116,433	198	179,671	2,334	889	548	£42,854	617	82	2,002	283	44,410	876	191	\$ 6,225	859	0966
Felonies 🚚	1999	1,081	16***	06	351 × 4	4,932	96	478	£11 643	240	110	235	-216	517	1.294	117	334	92	E819132	405	2175	191	918	127	0/**	794	272	19,099	1/15/13	238	2,037	86	LUP
Pelč	8661	1,010	. 112	101	187	4,739	68/	493	568	233	118	208	275	491	****301	70	304	<i>L</i> 9	1,062	415	£ # £240	161	202	100	£8.7	848	185	21,014	Page 2476	219	£2,087	124	C17-55
新山	County 4 12 1	Daviess	Edmonson and	Elliott	Estill g. Sign.	Fayette	Fleming	Floyd	Franklin	Fulton	Gallatin, \$17.75	Garrard	Grant: Sate	Graves	Grayson	Green	Greenin 🚁 🖘	Hancock	Hårdin skæmt 34	Harlan	Harrison Security	Hart	i endemin	Henry	Hickman, 112,2	Hopkins	Jackson	Jefferson ²	Jessamine # 1864	lohnson	Kenton Kaltare	Knott	KHOY

2,516 ×10,574 1,613 2.016 1,470 4,179 3,683 3,494 3,309 1,458 2,865 3,376 6,719 2,179 -5,120. 44211 186014 1.932 4,620 2,829 1,488 ** 892 1,851 872 4,216 16,61 7,459 1998 1,462 1.812 2,016 1,333 1,417 2,546 4,778 -2;690 1,429 2,547 2,989 1,444 2,749 :456 1,006 1,446 1,291 1,391 +4,235 110,724 **!i053 796.6 2,694 2,924 5,163629 2,499 4;370 4,003 0 Juvenile - Domestic Violence | Has Appeals -1999 1 0 . . 20 0 0 9 -2 0 $\overline{\circ}$ က 6 0 3 • 2.52 0 7 - 28 0... 4 9... 2 6661 537 66 : ... 329 ~214 .36 112 - 165 ,125 ...26 95 **83** 437 199 252 7 4 * 128 104 129 108 34 87 82 82 15. ...108 40736 * 1998 287 150 -95 150 132 - 40 1.55 236 695 156 174 243 92 25 84 25 401 6 89 93 82 242 185 128 623 66 155 385 119 204 323 98 318 66 = 192 48 7 1015 14050 867 239 314 - 243 286 232 . 80 108 241 97 151 009 25,131 188 145 412 331 206 - **56** #116 104 105 .41 991 |24 341 292 258 06 247 237 137 261 63 83 901 4 443 1 459 7,6,547 £2,338 4,2,232 7,019 ,725 958 1,738 3,179 2,105 1887 1,885 -1,478 2,924 394 555 1,476 1,056 15357 1,096 347 1,481 266 1,037 . 995 3,708 3,574 881 721 515 3,662 5,845 8661 1,098 943 2,228 663 728 865 1,326 386 3,983 105 1,778 106,179 3946 2,127 2,893 733 ,446 332 845 ,246 -,2,188 207 -944 020 2,470 \$ 3,001 ,281 383 2,015 363 388 369 2,510 759 346 4,114 849 194 925 350 1462 0.68 4-1,099 358 812 182 670 932 ,054 194 994 ***** 127 437 479 866 7.43 442 428 968 958 294 817 239 356 470 7487 \$835 407 620 3,987 2,116 351 391 2887 579 235 130 1,514 572 £ 1765 773 350 736 322 321 337 160 849 961---135 398 110 435 910 285 65 153 96 228 114 - 87 841 £163 313 309 \$65 231 106 225 529 .331 **38**297 487 347 878 42 **144** 354 439 6813 226 95 170 105 192 157 104 237 102 694 233 403 82 348 227 £ 103 165 361 114 378 476 359 264 . . . 62 Marshall - Team Mason 1989 Muhlenberg 🖘 🖈 Oldham 💎 💝 McCracken Magoffin, 🖘 Montgomery 🖛 ogan Metcalfe 🐳 9e, Incoln 📜 McLean 🖭 Fable 3.3, ivingston, McCreary awrence Nicholas Menifee Madison Morgan etcher Monroe Marion [aline] Martin ewis Mercer Nelson eslie Meade arue Ohio Lyon

_	:
tuo.	Ξ
5	;
~	์
7	ċ
•	į
واطور	5
٦	9

1938 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1938 1939 1939 1938 1939 <th< th=""><th></th></th<>	
286 88 1999 1998 1998 1998 1998 1998 1998 1998 1998 1998 1998 1998 1998 1998 1998 1999 1998 1998 1999 1998 1998 1999 1998 1999 1998 1999 1998 1999 1998 1999 1998 1999 1998 1999 1998 1999 1998 1999 1998 1999 1999 1998 1999 1999 1998 1999 1998 1999 19	nies 🚈 🚜 Misdemear
286 88 134 84 85 5 1,040 385 391 134 84 85 5 1,040 3285 391 1,566 772 73 0 2 1,040 3,468 494 436 350 100 2 1 1,511 7,292 682 633 614 506 5 13 1,520 5,856 746 530 375 377 0 7,731 2,739 116 119 7 1 1,610 7,731 2,739 118 136 13 1,582 3,567 3,567 2,739 174 136 13 1,582 3,567 3,567 3,103 136 13 1,511 1,511 1,511 1,511 2,739 136 132 132 13 1,521 1,521 3,103 2,23 23 13 1,541 <t< td=""><td>1098 1, 1999 2, 1998 4, 1999</td></t<>	1098 1, 1999 2, 1998 4, 1999
286 91 66 72 73 0 2 98 66 72 826 126 157 120 106 2 1 1,511 3,468 494 436 350 440 5.642 1 1,511 7,292 682 633 614 506 5 13 11,892 7,292 682 633 140 110 0 1 2,650 5,856 746 530 375 377 0 0 7,731 5,856 746 530 375 377 0 0 7,731 2,739 154 136 113 105 0 0 7,731 2,739 154 136 31 132 22 1 1,850 3,197 2,13 1,24 136 132 133 1 1,348 1,497 2,23 131 1,25 12 1,274<	121 128 499 496
826 126 157 120 106 2 1 1,511 3,468 494 436 350 4402 6,542 6,542 7,292 682 633 614 506 5 13 11,892 7,292 682 633 614 506 5 13 11,892 5,856 746 530 375 377 0 0 7,731 5,856 746 530 375 377 0 0 7,731 2,739 154 136 113 113 105 0 7,731 2,739 154 136 13 105 0 7,731 2,640 2,739 154 136 13 132 2 2 3,517 1,940 2 31 132 2 3,24 3,34 3,34 3,10 2 32 32 15 15 1,34 3,34 <td>147 - 298 - 428 - 423</td>	147 - 298 - 428 - 423
3,468 494 436 350 402 5 56,424 7,292 682 633 614 506 5 13 11,892 7,292 682 633 614 506 5 13 11,892 5,885 746 530 375 377 0 0 7,731 2,885 746 530 375 377 0 0 7,731 2,835 746 530 375 377 0 0 7,731 2,739 118 113 113 105 0 7,731 1,970 118 113 105 0 0 7,773 1,970 118 113 132 13 15,674 15,674 3,710 277 372 21 22 10 0 1,075 831 66 52 49 46 0 0 1,074 884 120 <td< td=""><td>35</td></td<>	35
7,292 682 633 614 506 5 13 11,892 1,746 230 192 140 110 0 1 2,690 1 5,856 746 530 375 377 0 0 7,731 2,856 746 530 375 377 0 0 7,731 2,739 116 113 113 105 0 7,731 2,739 154 136 13 132 2 3,361 3,197 2,13 132 2 1 2,674 3,710 3,22 131 132 2 1,674 3,710 3,23 150 13 1 3,835 3,109 3,23 1,65 1,64 1 1,641 8,34 1,69 3,34 1,65 3,34 1,64 0 1,034 8,34 1,69 3,34 1,65 3,34 1,64 0 </td <td>648 宗生541 本 1,636 和 1,600 条</td>	648 宗生541 本 1,636 和 1,600 条
1746 230 192 140 110 0 0 1 2,690 4 5,856 746 530 375 377 0 0 7,731 2,690 4 2,739 16 19 7 375 377 0 0 7,731 2 2,739 154 136 113 105 0 2 3,361 2 3,361 2 3,361 2 3,361 2 3,361 2 3,361 2 3,361 2 3,361 2 3,361 2 3,361 3 3,361 3 3,361 3 3,361 3 3,361 3 3,374 3 3,374 3 3,374 3 3,374 3 3 3 4 4,370 3 3 4 4,370 3 3 4 4,370 3 3 4 4,370 3 3 4 4,370 3 3 4	931 1,106 3,271 3,176
5,856 746 530 375 377 0 0 7,731 2,739 116 119 7 2 0 0 7,731 2,739 154 136 113 105 0 2 3,361 947 232 232 131 132 2 1 2,674 3,191 369 310 312 321 1 2,674 3,710 277 372 216 153 1 1 3,835 3,710 277 372 216 153 1 1 3,835 836 66 52 49 46 0 0 1,075 83,109 323 334 165 137 0 1,075 3 83,109 323 334 165 137 0 1,075 3 83,109 323 40 32 20 0 1,034 3 <	343 4 307 21 221 2865
2,739 116 119 7 2 0 120	514 540 1,894 1,966
2,739 154 136 113 105 0 2 3,361 1,970 118 136 131 105 0 2 3,361 947 232 232 131 132 2 1 2,674 3,191 368 310 312 321 0 4,850 3,119 277 372 216 153 1 2,674 8,340 20 372 40 46 0 1,075 836 66 52 49 46 0 1,075 836 66 52 49 46 0 1,075 837 40 37 42 38 1 1,075 838 120 104 37 42 13 1 1,034 834 120 164 37 42 38 1 1,034 17,109 1,019 1,03 1,03 1,034	图 See 2010 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
947 118 116 88 91 6 3537 947 232 232 131 132 2 1 2,674 3,191 369 310 312 321 0 4,850 3,710 277 372 216 153 1 1,674 836 66 52 49 46 0 0 4,850 836 66 52 49 46 0 0 1,075 836 66 52 49 46 0 0 4,870 836 66 52 49 46 0 0 1,075 831 120 104 31 38 1 1,034 884 120 404 6 0 1,034 11,759 1,69 32 5 5 6 13,389 11,769 1,69 46 0 1,034 1	244 258 614 598
947 232 232 131 132 2 1 2,674 3,191 369 310 312 4.321 6.6 31.6 4.850 4.850 3,710 277 372 216 153 1 3,835 836 66 52 49 46 0 0 1,075 5,109 323 334 165 137 6 0 1,075 1 5,109 323 334 165 34 0 0 1,034 1 5,109 323 34 165 34 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034 1 1,034	14: 1225 Act 1/75 翻取到22 编制345 额
3,191 369 310 312 321 0 4,850 3,710 277 372 216 153 1 1 3,835 1,497 241 289 1,50 139 1 1 3,835 836 52 49 46 0 0 1,075 831 66 52 49 46 0 1,075 531 40 37 42 38 1 0 1,034 531 40 37 42 38 1 1,641 1,641 884 120 104 31 56 53 0 458 11,769 1,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,389 11,288 5,56 59 39 5 6 13,492 11,288 5,56 50 32 6 13,492 11,288 5,57 50 1 1,493 </td <td>93</td>	93
3,710 277 372 216 153 153 1 3,835 836 241 289 150 139 *1 10,080 *3 836 52 49 6 6 52 49 6 13 10,080 *3 5310 323 334 165 137 6 1,075 *4,570 *4 884 170 104 31 42 38 1 0 1,641 *4 884 1705 1691 970 607 557 23 6 13,88 2 1 1,641 *4 1,641 *4 1,641 *4	于。1932 - 17461 - 1733 191452 1
836 241 289 150 139 41 1 3,080 836 66 52 49 46 0 0 1,075 53109 323 165 137 6 1,075 41570 531 40 37 42 38 1 0 1,034 228 43 37 56 53 0 0 458 1,105 1,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,389 2 1,288 56 6 75 23 6 13,389 2 1,709 1,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,389 2 1,288 56 78 77 6 13,492 3 3 1,288 56 78 75 23 6 13,493 3 1,288 75 76 76 76 76 14,493 3	811
836 66 52 49 46 0 0 1,075 53109 323 334 165 137 6 0 1,075 531 40 37 42 38 1 0 1,034 531 43 37 56 53 1 1,641 458 1,105 415 56 53 6 458 458 458 11,705 415 57 55 23 6 13,389 2,040	(新年416 大学 184 184 184 184 184 184 184 184 184 184 184 184 184 184 184 184
3109 323 334 165 137 4 4570 4 531 40 37 42 38 1 0 1,034 228 43 37 56 53 0 0 458 11,05 41,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,389 17,769 1,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,389 11,28 5.6 1,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,389 11,28 5.6 1,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,389 927 204 186 177 164 0 1 2,493 8,656 353 264 391 409 1 3,901 3,460 263 264 391 1 3,374 3,460 263 266 116 1 3,215	63
531 40 37 42 38 1 0 1,034 288 120 104 31 38 1 1,641 11,641 228 43 37 56 53 0 0 458 1,105 4,165 501 86 419 607 557 23 6 13,389 1,769 56 69 39 457 0 7 11832 1,28 16 186 177 164 0 1,492 927 204 135 115 79 0 1,492 8,814 120 135 115 79 0 1,492 3,656 150 264 391 409 1 3,901 3,460 263 206 116 0 1 3,374	#1690ft#1520ft#1669ft#1886#1#1
884 120 104 31 38 1 1541 1.641 228 43 37 56 53 0 0 458 1,105 4,105 201 86 119 6,12 6 13,389 17,769 1,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,389 17,88 1,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,389 927 204 186 177 164 0 1 2,493 814 120 135 115 79 1 2,493 3,556 353 264 391 409 1 3,901 3,460 263 206 116 0 1 3,374 3,460 263 206 116 0 1 3,374	147 146 270 329
228 43 37 56 53 0 0 458 1,105 4,135 201 86 119 6,12 6,03 2,040 8 17,769 1,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,89 8 11,288 5,56 1,69 39 757 0 1 1,1832 8 927 204 186 177 164 0 1 2,493 8 814 120 135 115 79 1 2,493 1 3,556 353 264 391 409 1 3,901 1 3,460 263 206 115 78 75 2 6 3,374 3,460 263 206 116 121 3,215	14 102 A1 131 1 2 369 14 367 編
17,769 1,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,389 17,769 1,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,389 17,769 1,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,389 927 204 186 177 164 0 1 2,493 3,656 353 264 391 409 1 1,492 3,460 263 206 115 78 2 6 3,374 3,460 263 206 116 121 0 1 3,215	45 47 141 116
17,769 1,691 970 607 557 23 6 13,389 1288 56 69 39 557 20 6 13,389 927 204 186 177 164 0 1 2,493 3,656 353 264 391 409 1 3,901 3,460 263 206 116 121 0 13,215	14.1186 編集221 表表505 新作607 電
1288 56 69 39 57 0 1 1/832 8 927 204 186 177 164 0 1 2,493 2,493 3,656 353 264 391 409 1 1 3,901 3,366 150 115 78 75 2 6 3,374 3,460 263 206 116 121 0 1 3,215	1,478 1,537 3,334 3,877
927 204 186 177 164 0 1 2,493 **814 **120 **135 **115 **79 **0 1,492 ** 3,656 353 264 391 409 1 1 3,901 3,366 150 115 78 75 2 6 3,374 3,460 263 206 116 121 0 1 3,215	** 12121 *全性194 (生年328 株式338
814 120 135 115 79 0 1492 8 3,656 353 264 391 409 1 1 3,901 3,366 150 115 78 75 2 6 3,374 3,460 263 206 116 121 0 1 3,215	273 1,02
3,656 353 264 391 409 1 3,901 3,366 150 115 78 75 2 6 3,374 3 3,460 263 206 116 121 0 1 3,215	41118 元 第163 高 501 地震498 9星
3,366 150 115 78 75 2 6 3,374 3,460 263 206 116 121 0 1 3,215	429 460 945 1,221
3,460 263 206 116 121 0 1 3,215	148 = 129 - 378 = 332
	208

¹These figures are comprised of Emergency Protection Orders.

²1999 figures provided from JDCIS and by the Jefferson County Circuit Clerk's Office.



TABLE 3.4 Commonwealth's Attorneys Cases Finished

100		AOC	
		98-99	
CIR	COUNTIES	CASES	RANK
30	JEFFERSON	3,587	1
22	FAYETTE	1,590	2
8	WARREN	872	3
35	PIKE	693	4
16	KENTON	675	5
3	CHRISTIAN	546	6
17	CAMPBELL	544	7:
29	ADAIR, CASEY, CUMBERLAND, MONROE	508	8
11	GREEN, MARION, TAYLOR, WASHINGTON	506	9
27	KNOX, LAUREL	415	10
6	DA VIESS	404	11
28	LINCOLN, PULASKI, ROCKCASTLE	404	12
40	CLINTON, RUSSELL, WAYNE	404	13
10	HART, LARUE, NELSON	403	14
54	BOONE, GALLATIN	385	15
9	HARDIN	361	16
38	BUTLER, EDMONSON, HANCOCK, OHIO	358	17
43	BARREN, METCALFE	352	18
46	BRECKINRIDGE, GRAYSON, MEADE	340	19
21	BATH, MENIFEE, MONTGOMERY, ROWAN	317	20
39	BREATHITT, POWELL, WOLFE	303	21
4	HOPKINS	293	22)
2	MCCRA CKEN	279	23
51	HENDERSON	257	24
42	CALLOWAY, MARSHALL	254	25
7	LOGAN, TODD	240	26
13	GARRARD, JESSAMINE	234	27
50	BOYLE, MERCER	230	28
1	BALLARD, CARLISLE, FULTON, HICKMAN	224	29
15	CARROLL, GRANT, OWEN	224	30
34	MCCREARY, WHITLEY	223	31
48	FRANKLIN	215	32
33	PERRY	210	33
. 25	CLARK, MADISON	209	34
49	ALLEN, SIMPSON	207	35
20	GREENUP, LEWIS	204	36
44	BELL	197	37
45	MCLEAN, MUHLENBERG	192	38
52	GRA VES	191	39
41	CLAY, JACKSON, LESLIE	188	40
31	FLOYD	185	41
14	BOURBON, SCOTT, WOODFORD	179	42
53	ANDERSON, SHELBY, SPENCER	178	43
32	BOYD	164	44
12	HENRY, OLDHAM, TRIMBLE	163	45



TABLE 3.4 Commonwealth's Attorneys Cases Finished (continued)

		AOC	7 (2) (2)
, <u></u>		98-99	
CIR	COUNTIES	CASES	RANK
56	CALDWELL, LIVINGSTON, LYON, TRIGG	157	46
37	CARTER, ELLIOTT, MORGAN	151	47
47	LETCHER	147	48
23	ESTILL, LEE, OWSLEY	145	49
55	BULLITT	145	50
24	JOHNSON, LAWRENCE, MARTIN	129	51
26	HARLAN	129	52:
18	HARRISON, NICHOLAS, PENDLETON, ROBERTSO	121	53:
5	CRITTENDEN, UNION, WEBSTER	119	54
19	BRACKEN, FLEMING MASON	119	55
36	KNOTT, MAGOFFIN	97	56
Source: Pr	rosecutors Advisory Council		



TABLE 3.5 County Attorneys Cases Finished

	AOC 98-99	
COUNTY	CASES	RANK
JEFFERSON	185,787	1
FAYETTE	53,832	2
KENTON	23,608	3
WARREN	23,281	4
CAMPBELL	14,697	5
DA VIESS	14,666	6
HARDIN	13,729	7
BOONE	13,127	8
MADISON	12,717	9
PIKE	11,514	10
HENDERSON	11,497	11:
FRANKLIN	11,339	12
FLOYD	10,615	13
LAUREL	9,778	14
MCCRACKEN	9,654	15
CHRISTIAN	9,039	16
PULASKI	8,674	17
BARREN	8,048	18
CLAY	7,475	19
HARLAN	6,938	20
BULLITT	6,864	21
HOPKINS	6,793	22
BELL	6,602	23
NELSON	6,217	24
PERRY	5,835	25
BOYD	5,822	26
	:	

	98-99	
COUNTY	CASES R	ANK
KNOX	5,780	27
WHITLEY	5,481	28
JESSAMINE .	5,351	29
SCOTT	5,337	30
SHELBY	5,263	31
OLDHAM	4,951	32
CLARK	4,850	33
TAYLOR	4,616	34
WOODFORD	4,540	35
CARTER	4,403	36
MARSHALL	4,319	37
GRAVES	4,268	38
LOGAN	3,896	39
WOLFE	3,883	40
GREENUP	3,866	41

	AOC 98-99	
COUNTY	CASES	RANK
GREENUP	3,866	41
MUHLENBERG	3,797	42
LETCHER	3,735	43
JOHNSON	3,706	44
GRANT	3,635	45
ROCKCASTLE	3,613	46
LYON	3,597	47
BOYLE	3,568	48
CALLOWAY	3,567	49
ROWAN	3,545	50
KNOTT	3,466	51
MCCREARY	3,287	52
CARROLL	3,282	53
BOURBON	3,062	54
MARION	3,035	55
LINCOLN	3,027	56
MONTGOMERY	3,003	57
POWELL	2,984	58
ANDERSON	2,955	59
HENRY	2,860	60

		donamento estas estas estas e
	98-99	
COUNTY	CASES R	ANK
HARRISON	2,710	61
SIMPSON	2,709	62
MASON	2,647	63
GRAYSON .	2,644	64
MEADE	2,636	65
WAYNE	2,511	66
MERCER	2,496	67
ADAIR	2,442	68
LESLIE	2,391	69
RUSSELL	2,259	70
ESTILL	2,137	71
CLINTON	2,064	72
UNION	2,064	73
BRECKINRIDGE	2,016	74
FULTON	1,987	75
BREATHITT	1,978	76
ALLEN	1,961	77
LAWRENCE	1,904	78
BALLARD	1,895	79
HART	1,891	80
CASEY	1,876	81




TABLE 3.5 County Attorneys Cases Finished (continued)


	AOC 98-99	
COUNTY	CASES	RANK
ОНЮ	1,850	82
JACKSON	1,830	83
GARRARD	1,822	84
WASHINGTON	1,805	85
MAGOFFIN	1,764	86
MORGAN	1,703	87
LARUE	1,643	88
BUTLER	1,600	89
WEBSTER	1,584	90
LEWIS	1,493	91
HANCOCK	1,484	92
TRIGG	1,453	93
PENDLETON	1,444	94
COUNTY	98-99 CASES	5
METCALFE	1,402	95
LIVINGSTON	1,379	96
FLEMING	1,362	97
GALLATIN	1,307	98
MARTIN	1,306	99
CALDWELL	1,300	100
SPENCER	1,279	101
CUMBERLAND	1,242	101
	·	102
MONROE	1,225	103
BATH CRITTENDEN	1,197 1,069	104
	1,009	
		104
GREEN	1,054	
GREEN OWEN	1,054 999	107
GREEN OWEN TODD	1,054 999 996	107 108
GREEN OWEN TODD LEE	1,054 999 996 990	107 108 109
GREEN OWEN TODD LEE BRACKEN	1,054 999 996 990 947	107 108 109 110
GREEN OWEN TODD LEE BRACKEN OWSLEY	1,054 999 996 990 947 947	107 108 109 110
GREEN OWEN TODD LEE BRACKEN OWSLEY NICHOLAS	1,054 999 996 990 947 947 835	107 108 109 110 111
GREEN OWEN TODD LEE BRACKEN OWSLEY NICHOLAS MCLEAN	1,054 999 996 990 947 947 835 828	107 108 109 110 111 112
GREEN OWEN TODD LEE BRACKEN OWSLEY NICHOLAS MCLEAN ELLIOTT	1,054 999 996 990 947 947 835 828 678	107 108 109 110 111 112 113
GREEN OWEN TODD LEE BRACKEN OWSLEY NICHOLAS MCLEAN ELLIOTT EDMONSON	1,054 999 996 990 947 947 835 828 678 656	107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114
GREEN OWEN TODD LEE BRACKEN OWSLEY NICHOLAS MCLEAN ELLIOTT EDMONSON MENIFEE	1,054 999 996 990 947 947 835 828 678 656	107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115
GREEN OWEN TODD LEE BRACKEN OWSLEY NICHOLAS MCLEAN ELLIOTT EDMONSON MENIFEE HICKMAN	1,054 999 996 990 947 947 835 828 678 656 610	107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116
GREEN OWEN TODD LEE BRACKEN OWSLEY NICHOLAS MCLEAN ELLIOTT EDMONSON MENIFEE	1,054 999 996 990 947 947 835 828 678 656	106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 116 117 118



Department of Public Advocacy




SOURCE: Department of Public Advocacy




SOURCE: Department of Public Advocacy






SOURCE: Department of Public Advocacy



SOURCE: Department of Public Advocacy





SOURCE: Department of Public Advocacy

5

SECTION FOUR: SELECTED CRIME CATEGORIES



In addition to reviewing criminal justice system data pertaining to Part I offenses, data on selected categories of crime have been included for informational purposes. These categories include drug-related arrests, hate crime, sex offenses, and school safety.

Information presented in this section includes Kentucky State Police arrest data; Federal Bureau of Investigation Uniform Crime Report arrest and offense statistics; statewide incidents of hate crime reported to the Kentucky State Police during 1998; sex offense conviction and disposition information provided by the Administrative Office of the Courts; and information on sex offense commitments prepared by the Kentucky Department of Corrections.

TABLE 4.1 Drug Arrests, 1997**

	Section Server of the section of the	Percentage of State	Drug	Percentage of all Drug
County Kentucky	3,908,000	Population 1000/	Arrests	Arrests*
Adair	16,494	100% 0.42%	22,329	100%
Allen	16,233	0.42%	188 87	0.84% 0.39%
Anderson	CONTROL TO MAKE THE TAX TO SEE THE T		54	
Ballard	18,032 8,346		132	0.24% 0.59%
Barren.	36,770	0.21%	228	1.02%
Bath	10,355		16	0.07%
Bell	29,784		101	0.07%
Boone	76,173		532	2.38%
Bourbon	19,349		136	0.61%
Boyd	49,865		228	1.02%
Boyle Boyle	27,069	0.69%	93	0.42%
Bracken	8,291		13	0.05%
Breathitt	15,642	0.40%	53	0.24%
Breckinridge	17,299		39	0.18%
Bullitt	58,005		205	0.92%
Butler	11,757	~~~	71	0.32%
Caldwell	13,341	0.34%	61	0.27%
Calloway	33,072		116	
Campbell	87,422	2.24%	732	3.28%



TABLE 4.1 Drug Arrests, 1997 (continued)

		Percentage of State		Percentage
County	Downlasias		Drug	of all Drug
Carlisle	5,381	Population 0.14%	Arrests 35	Arrests*
Carroll	9,585		76	0.16% 0.34%
Carter	26,591	***************************************	110	0.49%
Casey	20,591 14,531		47	0.49%
Christian	73,229		463	2.07%
Clark	31,679		153	
Clay	22,544		281	
Clinton	9,311		63	
Crittenden	9,480		24	0.28%
Cumberland	6,876		12	
Daviess				0.05%
Edmonson	91,011 11,135	2.33% 0.29%	376 42	
Elliott	6,540			0.19%
Estill			37	0.17%
	15,487	***************************************	1.463	0.31%
Fayette	239,874		1,467	6.57%
Fleming	13,200		28	
Floyd Franklin	43,343	1.11%	80	0.36%
	4 <u>6,226</u>			
Fulton	7,630		70	0.31%
Gallatin	6,771		36.	
Garrard	13,670		30	0.13%
Grant	19,828		97.	
Graves	35,592		104	0.47%
Grayson	23,279		66	
Green	10,570	The second secon	12	0.05%
Greenup	37,125		140	0.62%
Hancock	8,846		9	0.04%
	90,001		<u> </u>	
Harlan	35,362	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	185	0.83%
Harrison	17,852			
Hart	16,555		48	0.22%
Henderson			303	
Henry	14,711		63	0.28%
Hickman		0.13%	35	
Hopkins	46,283		299	
Jackson	12,829		¥46	
Jefferson	670,622		5,983	
Jessamine	36,038		79	
Johnson	24,091		141	
Kenton	·····	3.74%	<u>805</u>	
Knott	18,019		73	
Knox	31,477		141	
Larue	12,888	0.33%	29	0.13%

TABLE 4.1 Drug Arrests, 1997 (continued)

		Percentage		Percentage
		of State	Drug	of all Drug
County	Population	Population	Arrests	Arrests*
Launel	50,068	1.28%	353	1.58%
Lawrence	15,434	0.40%	62	0.28%
Lee	7,972	0.20%	37	
Leslie	13,538	0.35%	69	0.31%
Letcher	26,623		74.	0.33%
Lewis	13,568	0.35%	65	0.29%
Lincoln	22,047	0.56%	59	0.26%
Livingston	9,330	0.24%	84	0.38%
Logan	26,163	0.67%	92	0,41%
Lyon	8,012	0.21%	53	0.24%
McCracken	64,736	1.66%	370	1.66%
McCreary	16,557	0.42%	74	0.33%
McLean	9,701	0.25%	17	0.08%
Madison	65,343	1.67%	326	1.46%
Magoffin	13,828	0.35%	23	0.10%
Marion	16,979	0.44%	38	0.17%
Marshall	29,832	0.76%	- 91	0.41%
Martin	12,231	0.31%	17	0.08%
Mason	16,903	0.43%	119	0.53%
Meade	28,140	0.72%	100	0.45%
Menifee	5,620	0.14%	- 15	0.07%
Mercer	20,447	0.52%	62	0.28%
Metcalfe	9,470	0.24%	23	0.10%
Monroe	11,223	0.29%	124	0.56%
Montgomery	20,778	0.53%	89	0.40%
Morgan	13,480	0.35%	40	0.18%
Muhlenberg	31,957	0.82%	-82	0.37%
Nelson	35,152	0.90%	158	0.71%
Nicholas	7,036	0.18%	31	0.14%
Ohio	21,957	0.56%	. 45	0.20%
Oldham	43,248	1.11%	118	0.53%
Owen	10,087	0.26%	20	0.09%
Owsley	5,381	0.14%	54	0.24%
Pendleton	13,859		38	0.17%
Penry	31,110		264	1.18%
Pike	72,561	1.86%	249	1.12%
Powell	12,614	0.32%	84	0,38%
Pulaski	55,616	1.42%	186	0.83%
Robertson	2,173	0.06%	3	
Rockcastle	15,744	0.40%	124	0.56%
Rowan	22,021	0.56%	192	0.86%
Russell	16,318	0.42%	112	0.50%
Scott	29,446	0.75%	167	0.75%



TABLE 4.1 Drug Arrests, 1997 (continued)

County	Pormistion	Percentage of State Population	Drug Arrests	Percentage of all Drug Arrests*
Shelby	28,836	0.74%	123	
Simpson	16,175		180	
Spencer	9,157	0.23%	33	0.15%
Taylor	22,912	0,59%	117	0.52%
Todd	11,198	0.29%	38	0.17%
Trigg	12,072	0.31%	35	0.16%
Trimble	7,294	0.19%	3	0.01%
Union	16,537	0.42%	54	0.24%
Warren	86,525	2.21%	632	2.83%
Washington	10,758	0.28%	. 16	0.07%
Wayne	18,697	0.48%	68	0.30%
Webster	13,529	0.35%	30	0.13%
Whitley	35,583	0.91%	99	0.44%
Wolfe	7,325	0.19%	32	0.14%
Woodford	22,344	0.57%	103	0.46%
Sources: Crime in Ke	ntucky, 199	7, Kentucky St	ate Police;	

Population Estimates Program, Population Division, U.S. Bureau,

Washington D.C. 20233
* Computed by Council Staff
** Information for 1998 unavailable at this time



TABLE 4.2 Drug Arrests, 1996-1997 **

Arrest Type	1996	1997	Percent Change 1996 to 1997	
Opium or Cocaine	÷ .			
and their Derivatives	3,703	4,169	11%	and the state of t
Marijuana	12,425	14,669	15%	·
Other Dangerous Non-Narcotics	3,405	3,469	18%	
Synthetic Narcotics	12	22	45%	-
Total	19,545	22,329	12%	***************
Sources: Crime in Kentu	icky, 1996-1		ky State Pol	ice
Information for 1998 up	······································		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***************************************
**Computed by Council	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Province and security of the

TABLE 4.3 Drug Arrests, 1996-1997 **

1.							•	West
	Kentucky	Illinois	Indiana	Missouri	Ohio	Tennessee	Virginia	Virginia
1996 Number	6,663	52,903	11,047	22,692	33,030	12,479	27,261	4,346
Proportion				:	:			
of All		;		F				
Arrests*	0.12	0.18	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.06
String States				1			1	
	i							
Arrest Rate				8				
per 100,000				i k			į	
Population*	171.6	905.7	93.2	423.4	295.6	234.6	408.4	238.0
1997 Number	7,112	54,534	12,501	25,020	30,421	14,522	29,178	3,764
							:	
	*		Y					
Arrest Rate			:	i				
per 100,000			:	•				
Population*	0.14	0.19	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.06
			41.00			:		
Arrest Rate*	181.9	930.0	105.1	463.2	272.0	267.4	433.3	207.8

^{*}Computed by Kentucky Criminal Justice Council Staff

Information for 1998 unavailable at this time.

Sources: Crime in Kentucky, 1996-1997, Kentucky State Police; Crime in the United States 1998, Federal Bureau of Investigation;
Population Estimates Program, Population Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C. 20233



Targeted Group	Frequency	Percent of SubGroup*	11 . 1 . 12 . 1005 17 4 6 april
Whites	. 8	13%	10%
Blacks	47	78%	60%
Asians, Pacific Islanders	3	5%	4%
Multi-Racial Group	2	3%	3%
Hate Crimes Motivated by Race	60	100%	77%
Hispanics	4	100%	5%
Hate Crimes Motivated by Ethnicity	4	100%	5%
Male Homos exuals	: 10	77%	13%
Female Homos exuals	1	8%	1%
Homosexuals	. 2	15%	3%
Hate Crimes Motivated by Sexual	7.0		14.00
Orientation	13	100%	17%
Muslims	1	100%	1%
	Y.	100%	1%
Hate Crimes Motivated by Religion			

TABLE 4.4 Bias Motivation for Hate Crimes

TABLE 4.5 Location of Hate Crime Incidents,

Location F	Percent Frequency Total*			
Bar/Night Club	2	3%		
Department/Discount Store	1	1%		
Government/Public Building	3	4%		
Grocery/Supermarket	2	3%		
Highway/Road/Alley/Street	10	13%		
Hotel/Motel/etc.	2	3%		
Jail/Prison	2	3%		
Parking Lot/Garage	20	26%		
Residence/Home	24	31%		
Restaurant	1	1%		
School/College	5	6%		
Other, Unknown	6	8%		
Total	78	100%		

Source: Crime in Kentucky, preliminary data for 1998, Kentucky State Police
Computed by Kentucky Criminal Justice Staff

TABLE 4.6 Hate Crime Offense Description


Offense .	Frequency	Percent
Aggravated Assault	7	9%
Burglary	2	3%
Destruction/Damage/Vandalism	21	27%
Forcible Rape	1	1%
Intimidation	36	46%
Larceny-Theft	1	1%
Robbery	2	3%
Simple Assault	8	10%
Total	78	100%

Source: Crime in Kentucky, preliminary data for 1998, Kentucky State Police

^{*}Computed by Kentucky Criminal Justice Staff



FIGURE 4.1 Forcible Rape, 1996-1998



		1004	1997	1998	Percent Change 1996 to 1998	Percent Change 1997 to 1998*
Kentucky	Number	1,307	1,191	1.109	-15%	-7%
	Rate*	33.7	30.5	28.2	-16%	-8%
Illinois **	Number	4.548	4.415	4,095	-10%	-7%
" "	Rate .	38.4			-11%	
Indiana	Number	1,992	1,928	1,952	-2%	1%
	Rate	34.1	32.9	33.1	-3%	1%
Missouri	Number	1,566	1,529	1,463	-7%	-4%
	Râte	29.2	2872	26.9	-8%	-5%
Ohio	Number	4,617	4,566	4,543	-2%	-1%
	Rate	41.3	40.8	40.5	-2%	-1%
Tennessee	Number	2,475	3,056	n. 2,485	0%	-19%
	Rate	465	56.9	45.8	-2%	-20%
Virginia	Number	1,783	1,819	1,810	2%	0%
	Rate	26.7	27	26.7	0%	-1%
West Virginia	Number	358	:355	y. 339	-5%	-5%
E	Rate	19.6	19.5	18.7	-5%	4%
United States	Number	96,250	96,120	93,103	-3%	-3%
	Rate	36.3	35.9	34.4	-5%	-4%
*Calculated by	Criminal Jus	stice Staff	:			
**Values for Ill	inois are est	imates. Data fo	or this time	period are n	ot available.	

Statistics Web Page, URL: http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov.bjs

TABLE 4.7 Comparison of Forcible Rape Rates between Kentucky and Surrounding States, 1996-1998


Table 4.7: Comparison of Forcible Rape Rates between Kentucky and Surrounding States, 1996-1998

	naghy				Percent Change	Percent
				al tradation	1996 to :-	Change 1997 to
	1	1996	1997	1998	1998	1998
Kentucky	Number	1,307	1,191	1,107	-15%	-7%
	Rate*	33.7	30.5	28.1	-16%	-8%
Illinois	Number 4	4,548	4,415	4,095	-10%	7%
April 1	Rate	38.4	37,1 a	34 1	11%	-8%
Indiana	Number	1,992	1,928	1,952	-2%	1%
	Rate	34.1	32.9	33.1	-3%	1%
Missouri	Number	1,566	1,525	1,463	4	-4%
	Rate	29.2%	28.2	26.9		-5%
Ohio	Number	4,617	4,566	4,543	-2%	-1%
	Rate	41.3	40.8	40.5	-2%	-1%
Tennessee 💠	Number	2,475	+3,056	= 72,485 u		-19%
	Rate*	46.5	¥ 56.9	45.8	-2%	-20%
Virginia	Number	1,783	1,819	1,810	2%	0%
	Rate	26.7	27	26.7	0%	-1%
West Virginia	Number	.#÷ 358 _H ↓	13 1355 to	- 339 A	5%	-5%
	Rate	19.6	÷ 19.5 ±	18.7	± -5%*	-4%
United States	Number	96,250	96,120	93,103	-3%	-3%
	Rate	36.3	35.9	34.4	-5%	-4%

^{*}Calculated by Criminal Justice Staff

Sources: Crime in Kentucky, 1996-1997 and preliminary data for 1998, Kentucky State Police; Crime in the United States 1998, Federal Bureau of Investigation; Bureau of Justice Statistics Web Page, URL: http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov.bjs

^{**}Values for Illinois are estimates. Data for this time period are not available.







Figure 4.4: Proportion of AOC Sex Offense Charges Resulting in **Conviction, FY 1996-1998** 8.0 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 Rape Sexual Abuse Sodomy 0.39 **1996** 0.64 0.24 **1997** 0.39 0.64 0.33 □ 1998 0.41 0.72 0.38



FIGURE 4.5



Sex Offenders: Age at Commitment SOURCE: Department of Corrections Rape II Rape III Sodomy I Sodomy III **■** 1995 **■** 1996 □ 1997 □ 1998 **■** 1999 Fiscal Sexual Year Rape I Rape II Rape III Sodomy I Sodomy II Sodomy III Abuse 30.5 35.5 34.5

FIGURE 4.6




Figure 4.7: Sex Offenders Commitments and Releases

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
New Commitments	321	344	325	318	273
Parole	24	27	30	16	10
Serve Out	196	196	229	210	207
Shock Probation	14	23	22	19	25

Source: Department of Corrections




Figure 4.8: Sex Offenders Total Sentence

Fiscal Year		Rape II	-Rape III	Sodomy I	Sodomy II	Sodomy III	Sexual Abuse
1995	18	7	3	23	10	5	3
1996	15	10	4	20	9	5	4
1997	20	10	4	23	10	5	4
1998	19	. 10	3	20	10	5	5
1999	20	7	5	17.5	10	5	4

Source: Department of Corrections



School Safety

In September and October 1999, the Kentucky Safe Schools Data Project of the Center for School Safety released its first two statistical reports on data collected during the 1998-99 school year (July 1, 1998-June 30, 1999). The Center for School Safety is a collaborative partnership involving Eastern Kentucky University, the University of Kentucky, Murray State University and the Kentucky School Boards Association.

The Center is based at Eastern Kentucky University and serves as the central point for data analysis, research and dissemination of information about successful school safety programs, research results and new programs. During the pilot phase, data was collected in accordance with state and federal guidelines on prevention programs and activities in Kentucky's schools.

The September 1999 report provided statewide totals on drug and/or violence prevention programs and strategies; the incidence of law and local board policy violations; security measures taken by Kentucky schools; and school response to law and local board policy violations.

The second report, published in October 1999, presented district totals and reported data by the eight service center regions in Kentucky. Highlights of the reports involving the incidence of Part I and Part II law violations in Kentucky schools and incidents involving weapons are included in the following:

Number of Incidents 1000 800 664 600 400 200 മെ Arson **Aggravated** Burglary Criminal Forcible Robbery Motor Assault Incident Type

FIGURE 4.9 Incidence of Part I Law Violations in Kentucky Schools During the 1998-99 School Year (N = 1437 schools)

SOURCE: Center for School Safety

Table 4.8: Incidence of Part II Law Violations in Kentucky Schools During the 1998-99 School Year. (N = 1437 schools)

Incident Type	Numb	er of	Weapo	n-Related	Gang	-Related
	Incidents	Schools		ts Schools	Inciden	
Assault (Simple)	3256	367	15	9	8	5
Curfew and Loitering	343	15	0	0	0	0
Disorderly Conduct	2238	175	2	2	16	2
Driving Under the	7	5	0	0	0	0
Influence				v	Ů	v
Drug Abuse	2237	367	0	0	3	2
Violations*						~
Drunkenness	257	73	0	0	1	1
Embezzlement	1	1	0	0	0	0
Forgery and	135	37	0	0	0	0
Counterfeiting		υ,		v	0	U
Fraud	18	5	0	0	0	0
Gambling	59	17	0	0	0	0
Liquor Laws	200	65	0	0	0	
Offenses Against	116	39	0	0	0	0
Family and Children	110	3)		U	0	0
Prostitution and	0	0	0	0	0	0
Commercialized Vice		v		U	U	U
Runaways	121	53	0	0		
Sex Offense (Except	105	<u> </u>	1	1	0	0
Rape and	103	34	1	1	0	0
Prostitution)*						
Stolen Property	214	60	0			
(buying, receiving,	214	00	0	0	0	0
possessing)					ļ	
Vandalism*	658	185	0	0	23	
Weapon –A.	36	26	20	14		4
Handgun*	30	20	20	14	0	0
Weapon -B. Rifle or	7	7	3	3		
Shotgun*	/	,	3	3	0	0
Weapon - C. Other	17	14	7	6		
Firearm*	1	1.4	'	0	0	0
Weapon - D. All other	394	218	155	84	7	3
weapons (other than	374	210	155	04	/	3
firearms)*						
All Other Offenses	965	103	2	2	0	0
(Including	703	105		4	J 0	U
Kidnapping/Excluding						
Traffic						

^{*}Must be reported to law enforcement (KRS 158.155).

Source: Statistical Analysis Report, Center for School Safety

Table 4.9: Weapon Incidents in Kentucky Schools During the 1998-99 School Year. (N=1437 schools)

Weapon				Resulting	Resulting In			
Туре	Total Incidents	Gang- Related	Calls to Police	Arrests	Charges	Civil Damages		
Handgun*	36	0	29	20	16	0		
Rifle or Shotgun*	7	0	7	3	4	0		
Other Firearm*	17	0	17	2	3	0		
All Other Weapons	394	7	222	59	98	0		

^{*} Must be reported to law enforcement (KRS 158).

Source: Statistical Analysis Report, Center for School Safety

SECTION FIVE: KEY CRIMINAL JUSTICE COST INFORMATION



long with monitoring changes in crime trends, it is also important to track the range of costs associated with incarceration, community supervision and criminal justice programs for adults and juveniles.

Since national research has indicated that community-based programs provide effective and cost-efficient alternatives to detention and incarceration for selected offenders, these factors should be routinely considered in the allocation of limited criminal justice resources and the development of statewide criminal justice policy.

It is also important to note that while meta-analysis of research studies provide support for the position that treatment works and that punishment is ineffective or counter-effective unless combined with training and reinforcement for positive skills and behaviors, it is also clear that "one size does not fit all" and programs must be matched to the needs and abilities of the offender.





Figure 5.1: Kentucky Criminal Justice Agency Funding, FY 99



Source: Department of Public Advocacy

TABLE 5.1 Cost to Incarcerate by Institution, FY 1998-1999

	Institution is the second of t	Cost P	er Dienn	Cost	Per Azmum
	Kentucky State Reformatory ²	\$	66.18	\$	24,155.21
	Keatucky State Penitentiary	\$	52.79	.3	19,268.48
	Luther Luckett Correctional Complex	\$	40.15	\$	14,653.89
	Northpoint Training Center	-5	35,60	2	12,995.36
	Kentucky Correctional Institute for Women ²	\$	45.38	\$	16,564.99
	Blackburn Correctional Complex **	S	41.36	S.	15,096,59
	Frankfort Career Development Center	\$	39.30	\$	14,345.71
	Bell County Forestry Camp	S	3131.	*S	11,429.05
	Western Kentucky Correctional Complex	\$	36.89	\$	13,465.32
hamilton and the control of the cont	Roederer Consectional Complex	· S	38.10	\$	13,907,92
-	Eastern Kentucky Correctional Complex	\$	29.10	\$	10,620.70
	Marion Adjustment Center		31.47	\$	11,487.63
	Lee Adjustment Center	\$	31.47	\$	11,487.63
	Orter Creek Conjectional Complex	\$	31.47	15	11,487.63
	Green River Correctional Complex	S	48.10	\$	17,555.93
	Average Cost	\$	42.25	S	15,421.52
	Source: Kentucky Department of Corrections	1			
	¹ These figures do not include: fire loss, correct	tional ind	ustries, agri	cultur	e, construction
	debt service or federal grants.				······································
*	² These institutions serve as the primary medic	al suppor	t for all insti	tution	s.
	³ This figure is the average cost distributed by	inmate pe	opulation an	d not	by institution.

TABLE 5.2 Cost to Incarcerate by Type of Institution, FY 98-99

	Cost Per	Cost	Per,		
Institutions 3	Diem Le	Annu	m		
Maximum Security	\$ 52.79	\$	19,268.48	:	
Medium Security	\$ 4269	\$.	15,582.01		
Minimum Security-State Only	\$ 38.03	\$	13,881.90		
Walled Institutions	\$ 243,51	:5	15,923,85		
Field Institutions	\$ 37.93	\$	13,881.90		
Private Institutions	×S 31.47	S	11,487.63.		
Minimum Security-Private and Pub	olic \$ 33.71	\$	12,303.93		
Cost to Supervise ²	3.26	\$	1,192.82		
Source: Kentucky Department of C	orrections				The state of the s
¹ These figures do not include: fire	oss, corrections	ıl indu:	stries,	: : :	i
agriculture, construction, debt serv	ice or federal gr	ants.			
² These figures are comprised of the	cost of offende	er supe	ervision in		
the community under probation an	d parole.				

,____**S**ur

TABLE 5.3 Costs for Primary Programs Administered by the Department of Juvenile Justice

	Type of Facility	Clients per.Day	Annual Cost ¹	Cost per Day			: : : :	
	Residential Facilities	385	\$21,918,800	\$156	***************************************	:		***************************************
W	Group Homes	95	\$4,627,000	\$133				
	Day Treatment ²	817	\$5,078,000	\$17	and the second of the second o	The same of the sa		
	Community	2500	\$11,287,000	\$12		:		
	Private Child Care	318.	\$13,856,000	\$119				
	Source: Department of	Juvenile Justic	e Administrative S	Services				
	This figure includes d	irect costs only	y. It does not inclu	de central or				
	regional office adminis	trative costs of	r capital costs for n	najor				
	renovations or repairs	to facilities.						
	² The cost figure for Da	y Treatment pi	rograms include co	sts for 6				
Territoria de Companya de Comp	state operated program					roden kuntur anna i marradan kundu propensi (en 1 Armandelaha)		ay a pay an angular an angular ang an angular
			:		-			
							į.	
				1		:		
				:		:		
		:						
				į				
	*.						3	






TABLE 5.4 Dept. of Public Advocacy Fiscal Year 1999 Reported Expenditures and Cases

		i		
TRIAL DIVISION			reflection of the second se	Marie e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
L Part-Time DPA Trial Con	tract Counties: Total 46	Counties	:	
Population			888,224	; ;
DPA Dollars 1		\$	1,206,559.62	
Local Dollars		\$	146,570.99	<u> </u>
Direct Recoupment Dollars	The state of the s	\$	394,198.69	The state of the s
Total Dollars		\$	1,747,329.30	
Reported Cases	The state of the s	en e	13,664	
Average Trial Contract Per Ca	ise Funding	\$	127.88	:
	The second secon			
IL Full-Time DPA Offices:	Fotal 74 Counties, 23 Off	ices		:
Population	The same of the sa		2,801,433	į
DPA Dollars		\$	10,936,885.62	en de la decembra de la
Local Dollars		; \$	1,544,761.92	:
Full-Time Contractual Office I	Recoupment Dollars	\$	240,778.80	17 Area deer Mitte billioteken van van Erich (1990)
Total Dollars ²		· \$	12,329,639.53	
Reported Cases		:	83,982	- - - -
Average Trial Full-Time Per C	ase Funding	\$	146.81	TO THE PARTY OF TH
III. Other Trial Division Cos	ts	necessit seeden	er verden variantelische der voor husburg variantelische der verden voor der variantelische der verden verden v	**************************************
Capital Trial Branch		\$	706,404.00	
Capital Contracts w/ Private E	Bar	\$	209,665.00	
Conflict Contract Pool w/ Priv	ate Bar	\$	251,598.00	
Other Divisional Expenses (e.	g., offices not fully	\$	1,442,813.00	:
operational, divisional admini		ncv		
overhead rate)	, II 3.			
Total Other Dollars		\$	2,610,480.00	
	Martiner (1997)			The state of the s
IV. Total Trial Division Cost	S			<u> </u>
Total Trial Dollars		\$	16,687,448.83	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total Trial Cases	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE		97,646	/ /
Average Total Trial Per Case	Funding	\$	170.90	:
				The state of the first of the f
POST-TRIAL DIVISION				
L Appeals Branch ²			- Marian de la Carte de la Car	Service Control of the Control of th
In-House	- Annual Control of the Control of t		and the state of t	. Seekas and the seek
Appellate Dollars		\$	992,957.00	:
Appellate Cases		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	98	
Average In-House Per Case F	unding	\$	10,132.21	
			the same of the control of the contr	

chart continues ▶



TABLE 5.4 Dept. of Public Advocacy Fiscal Year 1999 Reported Expenditures, Cases (continued)

Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Suppellate Collars Appellate Collars Appellate Collars Appellate Collars Appellate Collars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Suppellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Suppellate Collars Appellate Collars Appellate Collars Appellate Collars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Suppellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Suppellate Conviction Branch Lapital Post-Conviction Dollars Supital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding Survenile Post-Dispositional Branch Survenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding Survenile Post-Conviction Branch Conviction Dollars Survenile Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Survenile Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Survenile Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Survenile Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Survenile Convictional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Survenile Post-Trial Division Costs	253 91529 352226.99 60 5,870.45 40,559.82 70 579.43 481 3,362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,058.00 1,618 294.85	
Appellate Cases Average "Of Counsel" Per Case Funding Subject to Office Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Subject to Office Appellate Dollars Appellate Collars Appellate Collars Appellate Collars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Subject to Office Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Subject to Office Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Subject to Office Appellate Collars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Subject to Office Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Subject to Office Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Subject Conviction Dollars Appellate Post-Dispositional Branch Survenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding Suvenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding Suvenile Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Appellate Cases Average Per Post-Tirial Division Costs	253 91529 352226.99 60 5,870.45 40,559.82 70 579.43 481 3,362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,058.00 1,618 294.85	
Average "Of Counsel" Per Case Funding Louis ville Office 3 Appellate Dollars S Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding S Lexington Office 3 Appellate Dollars S Appellate Dollars S Appellate Dollars S Average Per Case Funding S Louis Appeals Branch Appellate Dollars S Appellate Dollars S Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding S Louis Post-Conviction Branch Lapital Post-Conviction Branch Lapital Post-Conviction Dollars S Lapital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding S Louis Post-Dispositional Branch Louis Post-Dispositional Branch Louis Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding S Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding S Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding S Average Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts W Private Bar (including Conflicts) Deber Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, S Fotal Other Dollars S Louis Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts W Private Bar (including Conflicts) Cher Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, S Fotal Other Dollars S Average Post-Trial Division Costs	352,226,99 60 5,870,45 40,559,82 70 579,43 1,617,312,81 481 3,362,40 439,902,00 13 33,838,62 477,058,00 1,618 294,85	
Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Senington Office Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Suppellate Collars Suppellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Sofial Appeals Branch Appellate Cases A	60 5,870.45 40,559.82 70 579.43 1,617,312.81 481 3,362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Sexington Office ³ Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Solid Appeals Branch Appellate Dollars Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Solid Appeals Branch Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Solid Post-Conviction Branch Appellate Cases Appellate Cases Appellate Cases Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Solid Post-Conviction Dollars Solid Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding Solid Post-Dispositional Branch Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Solid Post-Conviction Branch Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Solid Conviction Dollars Solid Conviction Dollars Solid Conviction Dollars Solid Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Appellate Cases Average Post-Trial Division Costs Appellate Contracts we Private Bar (including Conflicts) Solider Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Solid Other Dollars And Post-Trial Division Costs Appellate Cases Average Post-Trial Division Costs Appellate Contracts we Private Bar (including Conflicts) Contal Other Dollars And Post-Trial Division Costs Appellate Cases Average Post-Trial Division Costs Appellate Cases Average Post-Trial Division Costs Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding And Trial Division Costs Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding And Trial Division Costs Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding And Trial Division Costs Appellate Cases Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding And Trial Division Costs Appellate Cases App	60 5,870.45 40,559.82 70 579.43 1,617,312.81 481 3,362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding senington Office popellate Collars Appellate Collars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding solid Appeals Branch Appellate Dollars Appellate Collars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Capital Post-Conviction Branch apital Post-Conviction Branch apital Post-Conviction Dollars apital Post-Conviction Cases Apital Post-Dispositional Branch average Per Case Funding Capital Post-Dispositional Branch average Per Case Funding Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Average Post-Trial Division Costs Apital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Average Post-Trial Division Costs Apital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Average Post-Trial Division Costs Apital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Average Post-Trial Division Costs Apital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Average Post-Trial Division Costs Apital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Average Per Cost-Trial Division Costs	60 5,870.45 40,559.82 70 579.43 1,617,312.81 481 3,362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Suppellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Suppellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Suppella	60 5,870.45 40,559.82 70 579.43 1,617,312.81 481 3,362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
Average Per Case Funding Lexington Office Appellate Dollars Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Solal Appeals Branch Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Lexital Post-Conviction Branch Appital Post-Conviction Branch Appital Post-Conviction Dollars Appital Post-Conviction Dollars Solapital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding Solapital Post-Dispositional Branch Levenile Post-Dispositional Branch Levenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding Solat-Conviction Branch Ost-Conviction Dollars Solat-Conviction Dollars Solat-Conviction Dollars Solat-Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Appital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Cher Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Solat Other Dollars Solat Post-Trial Division Costs Appital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Contal Other Dollars Solator Dolla	5,870.45 40,559.82 70 579.43 1,617,312.81 481 3,362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
exington Office 3 Appellate Dollars	40,559.82 70 579.43 1,617,312.81 481 3,362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Storal Appeals Branch Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Storal Appeals Branch Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Substal Post-Conviction Branch Capital Post-Conviction Dollars Capital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding Substal Post-Dispositional Branch Luvenile Post-Dispositional Branch Luvenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding Substal Conviction Branch Conviction Branch Conviction Dollars Cost-Conviction Dollars Cost-Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Substal Conviction Cases Average Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Cother Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Storal Other Dollars Substal Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Cotal Other Dollars Substal Contracts of Post-Trial Division Costs Cotal Other Dollars Substal Contracts of Post-Trial Division Costs Cotal Other Dollars Substal Contracts of Post-Trial Division Costs Cotal Other Dollars Substal Contracts of Post-Trial Division Costs	70 579.43 1.617,312.81 481 3,362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Stotal Appeals Branch Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding L. Capital Post-Conviction Branch Appital Post-Conviction Dollars Appital Post-Conviction Dollars Appital Post-Conviction Dollars Appital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding Stotal Appeals Branch Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Stotal Post-Dispositional Branch Average Per Case Funding Stotal Conviction Branch Average Per Case Funding Stotal Conviction Dollars Average Post-Conviction Branch Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Average Post-Trial Division Costs Appital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Average Post-Trial Division Costs Appital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Cother Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Stotal Other Dollars Average Post-Trial Division Costs Stotal Post-Trial Division Costs Stotal Post-Trial Division Costs Average Post-Trial Division Costs Stotal Post-Trial Division Costs	70 579.43 1.617,312.81 481 3,362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding Stotal Appeals Branch Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding L Capital Post-Conviction Branch Lapital Post-Conviction Branch Lapital Post-Conviction Dollars Lapital Post-Conviction Dollars Lapital Post-Conviction Dollars Lapital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding Stuvenile Post-Dispositional Branch Lavenile Post-Dispositional Dollars Lavenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding Stuvenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding Stuvenile Post-Conviction Branch Post-Conviction Dollars State Conviction Dollars Cost-Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Lapital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Foral Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	70 579.43 1.617,312.81 481 3,362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
iotal Appeals Branch uppellate Dollars uppellate Cases verage Per Case Funding L Capital Post-Conviction Branch apital Post-Conviction Dollars Apital Post-Conviction Dollars Spital Post-Conviction Dollars Spital Post-Conviction Cases uverage Per Case Funding Survenile Post-Dispositional Branch uvenile Post-Dispositional Dollars uvenile Post-Dispositional Cases uverage Per Case Funding Survenile Post-Dispositional Cases uverage Per Case Funding Survenile Post-Conviction Branch Ost-Conviction Dollars Survenile Post-Conviction Per Case Funding V. Post-Conviction Cases verage Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Survenile Post-Trial Division Costs apital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Total Other Dollars	579.43 1.617.312.81 481 3.362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
Cotal Appeals Branch Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding L. Capital Post-Conviction Branch Appital Post-Conviction Dollars Apital Post-Conviction Dollars Apital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding S. L. Juvenile Post-Dispositional Branch Average Per Case Funding S. L. Juvenile Post-Dispositional Branch Average Per Case Funding S. L. V. Post-Conviction Branch Apital Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding S. L. Juvenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding S. L. V. Post-Conviction Branch Apital Conviction Dollars S. Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding A. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Apital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) S. Average Post-Conviction Service Apital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Cotal Other Dollars S. Average Post-Conviction Service Apital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Cotal Other Dollars S. Average Post-Conviction Service Apital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Cotal Other Dollars S. Average Post-Conviction Service Apital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Cotal Other Dollars S. Average Post-Conviction Service Apital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Cotal Post-Trial Division Costs	1,617,312.81 481 3,362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding L. Capital Post-Conviction Branch Appital Post-Conviction Dollars Appital Post-Conviction Cases Appellate Post-Dispositional Branch	481 3,362,40 439,902,00 13 33,838,62 477,068,00 1,618 294,85	
Appellate Dollars Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding L. Capital Post-Conviction Branch Appital Post-Conviction Dollars Appital Post-Conviction Dollars Appital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding S. M. Juvenile Post-Dispositional Branch Branch Branch Branch Branch Conviction Branch Conviction Branch Conviction Branch Conviction Dollars Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding S. Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Conviction Costs Depital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Control Control Conviction Service Conviction S	481 3,362,40 439,902,00 13 33,838,62 477,068,00 1,618 294,85	
Appellate Cases Average Per Case Funding L. Capital Post-Conviction Branch Lapital Post-Conviction Dollars Lapital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding L. Juvenile Post-Dispositional Branch Livenile Post-Dispositional Branch Livenile Post-Dispositional Dollars Livenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding L. Post-Conviction Branch Livenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding L. Post-Conviction Branch Livenile Post-Conviction Branch Livenile Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Livenile Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Livenile Post-Trial Division Costs Lapital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Livenile Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Storal Post-Trial Division Costs Livenile Post-Trial Division Costs Livenile Post-Trial Division Costs Livenile Post-Trial Division Costs Livenile Post-Trial Division Costs	481 3,362,40 439,902,00 13 33,838,62 477,068,00 1,618 294,85	
Average Per Case Funding I. Capital Post-Conviction Branch Lapital Post-Conviction Dollars Lapital Post-Conviction Dollars Lapital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding II. Juvenile Post-Dispositional Branch Livenile Post-Dispositional Dollars Livenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding S. Average Per Case Funding V. Post-Conviction Branch Post-Conviction Dollars Post-Conviction Dollars Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Lapital Contracts w/ Private Ber (including Conflicts) Cher Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Total Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	3362.40 439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
L Capital Post-Conviction Branch Lapital Post-Conviction Dollars Lapital Post-Conviction Dollars Lapital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding Line Lyvenile Post-Dispositional Branch Lyvenile Post-Dispositional Dollars Lyvenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding Lyvenile Post-Conviction Branch Lyvenile Post-Conviction Branch Lyvenile Post-Conviction Branch Lyvenile Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Lyvenile Post-Conviction Per Case Funding Lyvenile Post-Trial Division Costs Lapital Contracts wy Private Ber (including Conflicts) Lyvenile Post-Trial Division Costs Lapital Contracts We Private Ber (including Conflicts) Lyvenile Post-Trial Division Costs	439,902.00 13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
Apital Post-Conviction Dollars Apital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding S. II. Juvenile Post-Dispositional Branch Average Per Case Funding S. Average Post-Conviction Branch Post-Conviction Dollars S. Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding S. Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding S. Average Post-Trial Division Costs Apital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, S. Average Post-Trial Division Costs Apital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) S. Average Post-Trial Division Costs Apital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) S. Average Post-Trial Division Costs Apital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) S. Average Post-Trial Division Costs	13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
Apital Post-Conviction Dollars Apital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding S. II. Juvenile Post-Dispositional Branch avenile Post-Dispositional Dollars average Per Case Funding S. Average Per Case Funding S. Average Per Case Funding V. Post-Conviction Branch Post-Conviction Dollars Post-Conviction Dollars Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding S. Average Post-Trial Division Costs Appital Contracts w/ Private Ber (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, S. Cotal Post-Trial Division Costs Apital Contracts w/ Private Ber (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, S. Cotal Post-Trial Division Costs Apital Contracts w/ Private Ber (including Conflicts) Cotal Cotal Post-Trial Division Costs	13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
Aprital Post-Conviction Cases Average Per Case Funding S II. Juvenile Post-Dispositional Branch uvenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding S Average Per Case Funding S V. Post-Conviction Branch Post-Conviction Dollars S Post-Conviction Dollars S Post-Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding S Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding S Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding S V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) S Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, S Total Other Dollars S VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	13 33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85	
III. Juvenile Post-Dispositional Branch Invenile Post-Dispositional Dollars Invenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding IV. Post-Conviction Branch Post-Conviction Dollars Post-Conviction Dollars Post-Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Fotal Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	33,838.62 477,068.00 1,618 294.85 955,692.00	
II. Juvenile Post-Dispositional Branch uvenile Post-Dispositional Dollars 1. Juvenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding 1. V. Post-Conviction Branch 1. Post-Conviction Dollars 1. Post-Conviction Dollars 1. Post-Conviction Cases 1. Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding 1. Other Post-Trial Division Costs 1. Capital Contracts w Private Bar (including Conflicts) 1. Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, 1. Total Post-Trial Division Costs 1. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	477,068.00 1,618 294.85	7
avenile Post-Dispositional Dollars uvenile Post-Dispositional Cases Average Per Case Funding V. Post-Conviction Branch Post-Conviction Dollars Post-Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Dapital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Fortal Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	1,618 294.85 955,692.00	
avenile Post-Dispositional Dollars suvenile Post-Dispositional Cases average Per Case Funding V. Post-Conviction Branch ost-Conviction Dollars ost-Conviction Cases average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs apital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, foral Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	1,618 294.85 955,692.00	
Average Per Case Funding \$ V. Post-Conviction Branch Post-Conviction Branch Post-Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding \$ V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, foral Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	1,618 294.85 955,692.00	
Average Per Case Funding IV. Post-Conviction Branch Post-Conviction Dollars Post-Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts w/ Private Ber (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Total Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	294.85 955,692.00	
IV. Post-Conviction Branch Post-Conviction Dollars Post-Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts w/ Private Ber (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, fortal Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	955,692.00	
Post-Conviction Dollars Post-Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, fortal Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs		
Post-Conviction Dollars Post-Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, fortal Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs		
Post-Conviction Dollars Post-Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts w/ Private Ber (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Total Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs		
Post-Conviction Cases Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Total Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs		
Average Post-Conviction Per Case Funding V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Cher Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Cotal Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	1.974	······
V. Other Post-Trial Division Costs Capital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Total Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	484.14	
Capital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Cher Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Cotal Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	701.11	
Capital Contracts w/ Private Bar (including Conflicts) Cher Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, Cotal Other Dollars VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs		
Other Divisional Expenses (e.g., divisional administration, 9 Total Other Dollars 9 VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs	52,480.00	
Total Other Dollars 5 VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs		
VI. Total Post-Trial Division Costs		
		:
	3,934,337.81	
Total Post-Trial Cases	4,086	
Average Total Post-Trial Per Case Funding	962.88	······································
GRAND TOTALS		
	18,688,263.05	
	1,691,332.91	
	1,013,808.72	
	21,393,404.68	
	210.29	<u>.</u>
Population	3,628,869.00	······································
Funding Per Capita		
Includes indirect Recoupment Dollars.		
For uniformity of measurement, only original briefs and origi	al actions are c	ounted here to
Amounts spent by Lexington and Louisville's full-time, cont The Capital Post-Conviction Branch counts clients and not		s on in-house



TABLE 5.5 Summary of Estimated FY 99 State Substance Abuse Funding

Treatment:	i de l'annual de l	AN OFFICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
Division of Substance Abuse	\$	23,956,050
Department of Corrections	\$	2,860,473
(Includes residential and community)		
Federal Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Funds	\$	818,468
Drug Court	\$	2,986,403
Department of Juvenile Justice	\$	479,000
(Does not include residential care which ranges	:	The state of the s
from \$125-200/day or outpatient drug/alcohol treatment)	:	
Federal Byrne Grant Funds	\$	50,051
Total:	\$	31,150,445
Prevention/Education:		
Division of Substance Abuse	\$	4,926,383
Department of Education	\$	6,598,350
KIP Project	\$	3,166,320
Alcoholic Beverage Control	\$	501,000
Federal Byrne Grant Funds	\$	464,000
Department for Public Health (Tobacco Prevention)	\$	426,158
Kentucky State Police	\$	386,846
Kentucky National Guard	\$	120,000
Cabinet for Families and Children	\$	61,000
Total:	\$	16,650,057
Enforcement:		
Kentucky State Police	\$	4,887,550
HIDTA Project Funds/Marijuana Eradication	\$	3,181,408
(Office of National Drug Control Policy)		The state of the s
	,	



TABLE 5.5 Summary of Estimated FY 99 State Substance Abuse Funding (continued)

Treatment		
Federal Byrne Grant Funds	\$	3,112,000
Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Funds	\$	984,000
Law Enforcement Service Fee Funds	\$	350,000
Total:	- \$	12,514,958
STATE TOTAL:	\$	60,315,460
*Compiled by Council Staff.	-	

TABLE 5.6 Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Treatment Costs Per Day

Program	Number of Slots	Average Cost/Day	
Aftercare/Community*	413	\$7.30	······································
Aftercare/Halfway House**	57	\$31.71	
Institutional Treatment ***	267	\$10.91	***************************************
Class D (Jail-Based) Treatment	53	\$16.90	
Private Prison (MAC)	100	Not Available	······································
Total AODA Treatment Slots	890	:	
Source: Department of Corrections	Division of Mental H	ealth	

^{*}Includes 122 slots that are based on 4.5 hours per week of treatment.

^{**}The goal in the community programs is for the offender to participate for one year.

^{***}Does not include cost to incarcerate with the exception of halfway houses. Institutional programs are 6 months in duration, which would technically double the number of slots to give inmates in the institutional treatment programs. Previous tables presenting information on the cost to incarcerate include substance abuse treatment costs.



APPENDICES


Appendix A

Description of Existing Criminal Justice Information Systems

The flow diagram shown (page 62) illustrates the current flow of data as offenders work their way through the criminal justice process in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. The gray boxes represent various process points along the way. The major systems in the diagram are described below:

- **JORI** Juvenile Offender Records Information. VB front end, SQL Server database. Tracks Juvenile offenders with demographic information, charges, work history, education and treatment. 3K records, 450 users, 250 new records/month.
- **Kentucky State Police Data** dumb terminal, mainframe application and database IMS DB/DC; CICS; COBOL II; MVS (will be VB front end, Oracle database current project under way). Sub-systems are:
- SOR Sex-Offender Registry—3K records, 5,000 users, <50 new records/month.
- LINK Law Information Network of Kentucky—50K records, 5,000 users, 4,200 new records/month.
- CHRIS Criminal History Records Information System—700K records, 5,000 users, 2,000 new records/month.
- **DVO D**omestic Violence Orders—55K records, 5,000 users, 4,000 new records/month, but not much growth in overall size.
- LiveScan/AFIS Proprietary Printrak system. Contains all criminal fingerprints. 80K records (includes LiveScan and scanned cards), 40 users, 2,100 new records/month (increasing as the rollout continues). There are also finger print cards that are scanned into the same database. Those counts are: 10 users, 2,400 new records/month.
- CourtNet CICS/VSAM (will be VB front end, SQL Server database current project under way). All court and offense related data—800 users.
- Sustain VB front end, Btrieve database. 2,000K records, 1520 users, 100K new records/month.
- **PPCMS Probation and Parole Case Management System.** Dumb terminal, UNIX application and database (will be VB front end, SQL Server database current project under way, close ties to JORI). 19K records, 500 users, 1,250 new records/month.
- ORION Offender Records Information and Operations Network. COBOL II dumb terminal front end, IMS database. Prisoner data. 85K records, 250 users, 550 new records/month.
- **KIMS K**entucky Inmate Management System. RPG IV dumb terminal front end, AS/400 database. 24K records, 100 users, 550 new records/month.
- Local Jail Systems The most common jail management systems throughout the Commonwealth are VINE (38 installations), LEMS (18 installations) and SOMS (17 installations). VINE is not a long-term solution (system) and should be replaced by LEMS, SOMS or another system.
- VINE Victim Information and Network Everyday. Internet browser front end, SQL Server database. 5K records, 200 users, 400 new records/month.





Appendix B

Statistical Abbrevations and Definitions

Population: Estimated population for given year per U.S. Census Bureau unless otherwise stated.

Percentage of State Population: Percentage of the total state population for the give time period.

Part I Offenses: The number, or aggregate, of the seven index crimes (murder, rape, robbery, aggravated assault, arson, burglary, larceny-theft, and auto theft) for a given year.

Part I Offense Rate: The rate, or relative amount, of Part I Offenses per designated population, usually 1,000; 10,000; or 100,000. This may be further broken down into the property offense rate and the violent offense rate.

Part I Property Offenses: The Part I crimes designated as property offenses (burglary, larcenytheft, arson, and auto theft).

Part I Violent Offenses: The Part I crimes designated as violent offenses (murder, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault).

Appendix C

Part I/II Offenses and Definitions

Part I Offenses

Murder: Murder is the unlawful killing of a human being with malice aforethought. Justifiable homicides and traffic-related deaths are not included.

Rape: Rape is defined as the carnal knowledge of a person, forcible or otherwise, against the person's will. Only forcible rapes are included, together with assaults for the purpose of rape and attempted forcible rapes. Excluded are rapes where is the victim is under the age of consent and no force is used.

Robbery: Robbery is the felonious taking of the property of another by force, the threat of force, and/or by putting the victim in fear. All attempted robberies are reported in two general categories: armed (any weapon or threatening object is used) and strong-arm (to include muggings and similar offenses where no weapon is used, but strong-arm tactics are employed).

Aggravated Assault: Assault is the unlawful attack by one person upon another for purpose of inflicting severe or aggravated bodily injury. It is not necessary that injury result from an aggravated assault when a gun, knife or other weapon is used which could, and probably would, result in serious personal injury. Therefore, all assault attempts where serious injury would have been likely to occur are included. Excluded from the Part I crime of aggravated assault are all assaults which do not include the use of a dangerous weapon and in which there was no serious or aggravated injury (assault 4th). These simple assaults are counted as Part II crimes.

Burglary: Burglary is the unlawful entering or remaining in a building with the intent to commit a crime. This includes entries where force of any kind is used to gain entrance, entries where no force was used (an unlocked door or by concealment), and attempts to enter forcibly (even though entry was not actually gained).

Larceny: Larceny, or larceny-theft, is the unlawful taking of property or articles of value without the use of force, violence or fraudulent conversion. Included are such offenses as pocket picking, purse snatching (where little or no force is used), shoplifting, thefts from autos, thefts of auto parts and accessories, bicycle thefts, and thefts from buildings when the perpetrator entered legally. Con games, forgeries and bad checks, embezzlement, and obtaining money under false pretenses are not included under the category of larceny.

Auto Theft: Auto theft includes all thefts and attempted thefts of motor vehicles. The taking of a vehicle for temporary use when prior authority has been granted would not be classified as auto theft.

Arson: Arson includes any willful or malicious burning or attempt to burn (with or without intent to defraud) a residence, public building, motor vehicle or aircraft, personal property of another, etc. Only fires determined to have been willfully or maliciously set are classified as arson.

Part II Offenses

Part II Offenses consist of all other offenses with the exception of traffic violations. They generally include the following:

- Manslaughter by Negligence
- Other Assaults
- Arson
- Forgery and Counterfeiting
- Fraud
- Embezzlement
- Stolen Property: Buying, Receiving, Possession
- Vandalism
- Weapons: Carrying, Possession
- Prostitution and Commercialized Vice
- Sex Offenses
- Narcotic Drug Laws
- Gambling
- Offenses against Family
- Driving Under the Influence
- Liquor Laws
- Drunkenness
- Disorderly Conduct
- All Other Offenses (Except Traffic)
- Curfew and Loitering Laws
- Runaways



AOC Abbreviations/Data Table

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS Research and Statistics Charge Disposition Description Report

GUILTY DISPOSITIONS

AFF **AFFIRMED** CHR COMMITMENT OF JUVENILE TO CHR DJJ COMMITMENT OF JUVENILE TO DJJ G **GUILTY** GM GUILTY - MULTIPLE COUNTS GSS GUILTY-SEALED SENTENCE MER **MERGED** PCB PEACE BOND PRPD PRE-PAYABLE CITATION - PAID

ACQUITTED/ DISMISSED DISPOSITIONS

ACQ ACQUITTED CAD DISMISSAL BY MOTION OF PROSECUTOR DD DISMISSED - DIVERTED DISMISS AFTER PRESENT TO GRAND JURY DGJ DIS DISMISSED NG **NOT GUILTY** NGJ NO ACTION TAKEN BY GRAND JURY NO TRUE BILL RETURNED BY GRAND JURY NTB PRDIS PRE-PAYABLE CITATION – DISMISSED REMANDED REM VAC VACATED JUDGMENT

OTHER DISPOSITIONS

ACC AMENDED DOWN BY CIRCUIT COURT
AD AMENDED DOWN
AGJ AMENDED DOWN BY GRAND JURY
AMEND AMENDED
AU AMENDED UP

OTHER DISPOSITIONS (continued)

AUGJ	AMENDED UP BY GRAND JURY
DEC	DECREASED
DEN	DENIED
DIV	DIVERSION
ENH	ENHANCED
EXT	EXTRADITION
FTA	FAILURE TO APPEAR
FTV	FUGITIVE
GDJ	GRAND JURY
GNT	GRANTED
HNG	HUNG JURY
HOS	HOSPITALIZATION – JUVENILE ONLY
INC	INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL
IND	INDICTMENT BY GRAND JURY
INF	INFORMAL JUDGMENT – JUVENILE ONLY
MST	MISTRIAL
OTH	OTHER
PTD	PRE-TRIAL DIVERSION
REV	REVERSED
SAV	SETASIDE/VOIDED
SR	SEALED RECORDS
TRF	TRANSFER

NOTE: The descriptions are current as of the end of July 1998.

Table E.1: Number of Active Cases Placed in the Community by Offense Category-DJJ

Most Recent Offense	: Deffense Category	# Active Cases
Assault 1st	against person(s)	11
Assault 2nd	against person(s)	39
Assault 3rd	against person(s)	23
Assault 4th	against person(s)	258
Attempted Assault 1st	against person(s)	1
Attempted Murder	against person(s)	1
Complicity to Murder	against person(s)	1
Manslaughter 2nd	against person(s)	1
Murder	against person(s)	4
Reckless Homicide	against person(s)	3
Unlawful Imprisonment 1st	against person(s)	2
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon	against person(s) less severe	29
Harassment	against person(s) less severe	37
Menacing	against person(s) less severe	13
Possession of Weapon	against person(s) less severe	10
Possession of Weapon on School Property	against person(s) less severe	29
Terroristic Threatening	against person(s) less severe	132
Wanton Endangerment 1st	against person(s) less severe	44
Wanton Endangerment 2nd	against person(s) less severe	29
Wanton Endangerment 3rd	against person(s) less severe	5
Arson 1st	against property	13
Arson 2nd	against property	8
Arson 3rd	against property	9
Criminal Mischief 1st	against property	55
Criminal Mischief 2nd	against property	29
Criminal Mischief 3rd	against property	60
Criminal Trespass 1st	against property	20
Criminal Trespass 2nd	against property	21
Criminal Trespass 3rd	against property	24
Facilitation to Arson	against property	2
Alcohol Intoxication	drug	45
Possession and use of Drug Paraphernalia	drug	7
Possession of a Controlled Substance	drug	41
Possession of Alcohol	drug	15
Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	drug	19
Possession of Marijuana	drug	125
Trafficking in Controlled Substance	drug	75
Attempted Escape	other	15
Beyond Control	other	12
Beyond Control of School	other	4
Contempt of Court	other	50
Disorderly Conduct	other	60
Escape 1st	other	2
Escape 2nd	other	13
Escape 3rd	other	7

Table E.1, cont.

Most Recent Offense	Offense Category	# Active Cases
Falsely Reporting an Incident	other	1
Giving Police a False Name	other	1
Habitual Runaway	other	1
Habitual Truant	other	5
Motion to Revoke Probation	other	11
No operator License	other	8
Not Known or Listed	other	69
Probation Violation	other	48
Resisting Arrest	other	11
Runaway	other	3
Tampering with Physical Evidence	other	2
Truancy	other	7
Unauthorized use of a Motor Vehicle	other	48
Unlawful treatment of minor	other	1
Attempted Sodomy	sex	1
Rape 1st	sex	14
Rape 3rd	sex	2
Sexual Abuse 1st	sex	83
Sexual Abuse 2nd	sex	37
Sexual Abuse 3rd	sex	16
Sexual Misconduct	sex	15
Sodomy 1st	sex	48
Sodomy 3rd	sex	1
Attempted Robbery 3rd	theft	1
Attempted Theft over	theft	1
Attempted Theft under	theft	1
Attempted to Receive Stolen Property o/300	theft	2
Burglary 1st	theft	57
Burglary 2nd	theft	148
Burglary 3rd	theft	97
Complicity to Burglary 1st	theft	2
Complicity to Burglary 2nd	theft	1
Complicity to Fraudulent Use of Credit Card	theft	1
Complicity to Robbery 1st	theft	4
Complicity to Robbery 2nd	theft	1
Complicity to TBUT over \$300	theft	4
Complicity to TBUT under \$300	theft	1
Criminal Possession of Forged Instrument	theft	10
Facilitation to Robbery 1st	theft	4
Facilitation to Robbery 2nd	theft	3
Possession of Burglary Tools	theft	4
Possession of Forged Instrument 1st	theft	1
Possession of Forged Instrument 2nd	theft	2
Receiving Stolen Property Over	theft	91
Receiving Stolen Property Under	theft	47
Robbery 1st	theft	35
Robbery 2nd	theft	15

Table E.1, cont.

Most Recent Offense (L. Control of the Robbery 3rd	theft	# Active Cases
Theft by Unlawful Taking over	theft	166
Theft by Unlawful Taking under	theft	190
Theft of Services	theft	1
TOTAL		2731

Source: Department of Juvenile Justice

Table E.2: Number of Active Cases in Out of Home Placements--DJJ

Most Recent Offense	CJC Class Name	# Active Cases
*****OneSixtyEight*****	Other	4
Alcohol Intoxication	Drugs	12
Alcohol, Possession	Drugs	3
Arson 1st	Property	5
Arson 2nd	Property	4 .
Arson 3rd	Property	5
Assault 1st	Person	9
Assault 2nd	Person	20
Assault 3rd	Person	4
Assault 4th	Person	92
Assault under extreme emotional disturbance	Person	2
Beyond Control	Other	1
Burglary 1st	Theft	33
Burglary 1st, Complicity	Theft	1
Burglary 2nd	Theft	44
Burglary 2nd, Complicity	Theft	1
Burglary 2nd, Facilitation	Theft	2
Burglary 3rd	Theft	44
Burglary 3rd, Attempted	Theft	2
Burglary Tools, Possession	Theft	1
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon	Property	8
Contempt of Court	Other	7
Controlled Substance, Possession	Drugs	18
Controlled Substance, Possession, Complicity	Drugs	1
Controlled Substance, Trafficking	Drugs	25
Controlled Substance, Trafficking, Near School	Drugs	5
ControlledSubstance, Simulated, Trafficking	Drugs	5
Criminal Attempt to Commit Sexual Abuse 1st	Sex	1
Criminal Mischief 1st	Property	6
Criminal Mischief 1st, Complicity	Property	1
Criminal Mischief 2nd	Property	6
Criminal Mischief 3rd	Property	14
Criminal Possession of Forged Instrument	Theft	5
Criminal Trespass 1st	Property	10
Criminal Trespass 2nd	Property	2
Criminal Trespass 3rd	Property	. 5
Cruelty to Animals	Other	1
Cultivating Marijuana (>5 Plants)	Drugs	1
Disorderly Conduct	Other	16
Driving Under the Influence	Drugs	1
Drug Paraphernalia, Possession	Drugs	6
Escape 2nd	Other	14
Escape 3rd	Other	7
Falsely Reporting an Incident	Other	1
Forged Instrument 1st, Possession	Theft	1

Table E.2, cont.

Most Recent Offense	CJC Class Name 🧀	#Active Cases
Forgery 2nd	Theft	2
Fraudulent Use of Credit Card	Theft	1
Giving Police a False Name	Other	1
Habitual Runaway	Other	2
Harassment	Person less severe	8
Harrassing Communications	Property	1
Indecent Exposure	Sex	1
Kidnapping	Person	1
Loitering for the purpose of Narcotics	Drugs	1
Manslaughter 1st	Person	2
Manslaughter 2nd	Person	1
Marijuana, Possession	Drugs	40
Marijuana, Trafficking	Drugs	2
Menacing	Person less severe	10
Murder	Person	7
Murder, Complicity	Person	1
Murder, Facilitation	Person	3
No Operator License	Other	1
Probation Violation	Other	50
Promoting Contraband 1st	Drugs	1
Prostitution	Sex	2
Public Intoxication	Drugs	1
Rape 1st	Sex	12
Rape 3rd	Sex	12
Receiving Stolen Property Over \$300	Theft	59
Receiving Stolen Property Under \$300	Theft	9
Reckless Homicide	Person	
Resisting Arrest	Other	1 7
Robbery 1st	Theft	23
Robbery 1st, Complicity	Theft	23
Robbery 1st, Facilitation	Theft	
Robbery 2nd	Theft	1 14
Robbery 2nd, Attempted	Theft	2
Sexual Abuse 1st	Sex	
Sexual Abuse 2nd	Sex	37
Sexual Abuse 3rd	Sex	13
Sexual Misconduct	Sex	12 9
Sodomy 1st	Sex	
Sodomy 2nd	Sex	27 1
Sodomy 3rd	Sex	
Sodomy, Attempted	Sex	3
Stalking	Person less severe	1
Tampering with Physical Evidence	Other	1
Terroristic Threatening	Person less severe	1 54
Theft By Unlawful Taking over \$300	Theft	82
Theft By Unlawful Taking under \$300	Theft	82 72
Theft of Services	Theft	
2	THEIT	1

Table E.2, cont.

Most Recent Offense	CJC Class Name	#Active Cases
Theft under \$300, Attempted	Theft	1
Unauthorized use of a Motor Vehicle	Other	18
Unlawful Imprisonment 2nd	Person	3
Unlawful Transaction w/Minor 1st, Facilitation	Other	1
Volatile Substance Abuse	Drugs	3
Wanton Endangerment 1st	Person	24
Wanton Endangerment 2nd	Person	12
Weapon on School Property, Possession	Person less severe	2
Weapon, Possession	Person less severe	8
Witness, Intimidating	Person less severe	1
TOTAL		1113

Source: Department of Juvenile Justice



Appendix F

Center for School Safety Tables

TABLE F.1 Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky Service Center Region 1, 1998-99 School Year

Service Center	Total District	Agg.	Assault	Disorderly	Drug	Larceny	Total Board	Defiance	Disturbing	Fighting
Region 1 Districts	Law Violations	Assault	Simple	Conduct	Abuse	Theft	Violations	of Authority	Class	
Ballard Co	28	0	8	1	10	5	392	52	100	21
Caldwell Co	47	.0	44	0	0	0.	2,000	617	748	95
Calloway Co	51	0	0	19	12	2	1,673	510	315	160
Carlisle Co	1 1	0	1	0	0	0	984	442	363	53
Christian Co	1,042	76	137	210	13	32	3,382	878	775	374
Crittenden Co	- 4	0	0	0	. 1	. 0	120	16	33	-10
Dawson Springs Ind	5	1	4	0	0	0	152	34	2	45
Futton Co	23	. 0	14	0	5	0	411	31 .	148	140
Fulton Ind	5	0	2	0	0	0	157	69	17	27
Graves Co	25	0	12	0	<u> 1</u> 0	0	2,383	515	1,175	125
Henderson Co	274	0	130	35	34	15	3,799	1,724	677	463
Hickman Co	7	.0	. 0	0	3	.0	62	14	7	27
Hopkins Co	163	1	24	37	22	4	424	101	2	136
Livingston Co	-6	0	1	3.	0	0	92	15	12	46
Lyon Co	23	0	13	0	0	1	130	13	85	5
Marshall Co	55	1	8	29	11	0	927	171	279	140
Mayfield Co	4	0	0	0	1	0	110	61	6	18
McCracken Co	34	0	4	5	12	2	2,196	507	1,049	321
Muhlenberg Co	21	0	0	1	10	1	159	81	12	14
Murray Ind	3	0	1	0	0	0	174	35	96	. 7
Paducah Ind	28	0	1	0	12	0	1,226	653	142	205
Providence Ind	0	0	0	0	0	0	143	63	18	37
Trigg Co	47	0	4	2	11	8	877	506	127	69
Union Co	47	0	32	0	13	0	926	299	123	77
Webster Co	8	0	0	0	0	3	1,001	29	400	71
	180	TO SECURE AND ADDRESS.			1,000			7,794		
Region Totals	1,951	79	440	342	180	73	23,900	7,436	6,711	2,686
State Totals	13,257	879	3,249	2,238	2,239	606	215,682	57,229	61,991	25,150
Region %	15%	9%	14%	15%	8%	12%	11%	13%	11%	11%

Source Statistical Analysis Report 2, 1998-1999, District Totals, Centerfor School Safety.



TABLE F.2 Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky Service Center Region 2, 1998-99 School Year

Service Center	Total District	Agg.	Assault	Disorderly	Drug	Larceny	Total Board	Defiance	Disturbing	Fighting
Region 2 Districts	Law Violations	Assault	Simple	Conduct	Abuse	Theft	Violations	of Authority	Class	0 - 0
Allen Co	42	0	. 7	2	16	0	107	10	18	38
Barren Co	39	1	0	7	11	0	184	22	13	89
Bowling Green Ind	7	0	-0	0	2	0	235	43	1Ĝ	101
Breckinnidge Co	21	0	0	1	0	2	552	128	115	54
Butler Op	14	. 0	6	0	1	Ő	590	35	119	· 23
Caverna Ind	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,027	80	323	40
Cloverport Ind	3_	0	0	0.	1	0	213	64	110	6
Cumberland Co	21	0	1	0	4	0	430	68	154	ଗ
Daviess Co	128	0	53	43	12	7	2,537	1,032	561	176
Edmanson Co	16	0	3	0	7	0	515	71	187	88
Bizabethtown Ind	0	0	0	0	0	0	541	46	123	73
GasgowInd	7	0	0	0	3	1	86	19	5	2 2
Grayson Co	104	1	7	64	11	5	3,800	535	2,343	276
Green Co	30	0	10	0	3	0	847	228	177	94
Hancock Co	6	0'	- 1	0	1	0	723	194	252	58
Hardin Co	99	0	19	4	28	15	1,105	232	148	404
Hart Co	11	0.	0	0	8	0	1,112	182	512	90
LaRue Co	7	0	0	0	4	0	1,467	431	493	139
Logan Co	9.	,0	Ô	0	8	0	109	29	6	8
McLean Co	6	0	0	0	4	0	25	2	0	3
Meade Co	11	0	. 0	0	7	0	561	121	103	137
Metcalfe Co	5	1	2	0	Ō	0	421	124	168	57
Monroe Co	. 12	0	0	0	0	0	286	69	42	73
Chio Co	192	0	72	78	2	3	1,078	358	370	152
Ovensboro Ind	79	. 3	1	42	6	Ō	4,496	1,774	1,551	556
Russellville Ind	35	0	4	20	3	0	714	252	151	127
Simpson Co	8	0	1	. 0	3	0	890	113	221	126
Todd Co	6	0	0	0	2	0	134	32	15	28
Warren Co	86	1 1	23	1	34	10,	1,593	220	216	148
West Point Ind	6	0	6	0	0	0	207	72	84	19
Region Totals	1,010	7	216	262	181	43	26,585	6,586	8,596	3,246
State Totals	13,257	879	3,249	2238	2239	666	215,682	57,229	61,991	25,150
Region %	8%	1%	7%	12%	8%	7%	12%	12%	14%	13%

Source Statistical Analysis Report 2, 1998-1999, District Totals, Centerfor School Safety.



TABLE F.3 Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky Service Center Region 3, 1998-99 School Year

Service Center	Total District	Agg.	Assault	Disorderly	Drug	Larceny	Total Board	Defiance	Disturbing	Fighting
Region 3 Districts	Law Violations	Assault	Simple	Conduct	Abuse	Theft	Violations	of Authority	Class	- •
Anchorage Ind	0	0	.0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	0
Jefferson Co	2,215	567	417	0	715	215	7,005	1,066	755	2,170
Ky School for Blind	. 0	0	0	.0	Ö	0	4	. 1	0	2
Region Totals	2,215	567	417	0.4	715	215	7,009	1,067	755	2,172
State Totals	13,257	879	3,249	2,238	2,239	606	215,682	57,229	61,991	25,150
Region %	17%	65%	13%	0%	32%	35%	3%	2%	196	9%

Source Statistical Analysis Report 2, 1998-1999, District Totals, Center for School Safety.

TABLE F.4 Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky Service Center Region 4, 1998-99 School Year

Service Center	Total District	Agg.	Assault	Disorderly	Drug	Larceny	Total Board	Defiance	Disturbing	Fighting
Region 4 Districts	Law Violations	Assault	Simple	Conduct	Abuse	Theft	Violations	of Authority	Class	
Beechwood Ind	9	0	0	0	0	0	58	22	19	4
Bellevue Ind	11	0	1	0	1	0	758	65	198	61
Boone Co	158	0	-73	14	9	19	855	277	52	184
Bullitt Co	93	1	5	0	59	3	791	86	135	279
Campbell Co	69 '	0	16	0	23	1 ,	1,319	355	344	131
Carroll Co	31	0	8	9	1	5	2,945	578	971	225
Covington Ind	81	9	2	- 0	5	0	3,304	1,205	925	718
Dayton ind	2	0	0	0	0	0	106	30	23	17
Eminence Ind	6	0	0	0	0	0	745	256	162	107
Erlanger-Elsmere	3	0	0	0	1	0	1,155	51	354	153
Fort Thomas Ind	7	0	0	.0	2	1	587	38	202	24
Gallatin Co	4	0	1	0	1	0	822	101	188	101
Grant Co	45	0	12	24	3	0	235	19	8	45
Henry Co	37	0	2	0	18	1	720	76	297	79
Kenton Co	142	0	66	10	24	- 6	7,598	2,474	1,959	500
Ludlow Ind	7	0	0	0	1	0	158	44	14	21
Newport Ind	161	0	79	20	4	0	3,178	732	1.542	216
Oldham Co	58	0	9	0	36	0	254	47	16	50
Owen Co	23	0	10	2	2	0	570	0,	251	69
Pendleton Co	36	0	11	0	11	9	262	57	23	31
Shelby Co	52	. 1	14	0.	16	7	307	83	23	53
Silver Grove Ind	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	7	0	_11
Southgate Ind	. 0	0	0	. 0	. 0	0	170	38	-51	12
Spencer Co	5	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Trimble Co	- 6	0	.1	jo .	1	0 *	51	13	8	12
Walton Verona ind	2	0	0	1	1	0	284	12	62	34
Williamston Ind	0	0	0.	" 0	0	0	387	85	96	69
Region Totals	1,649	11	311	80	220	52	27,627	B,821	7,923	3,196
State Totals	13,257	879	3,249	2,238	2,239	606	215,682	57,229	61,991	25,150
Region %	8%	1%	10%	4%	10%	9%	13%	12%	13%	13%

Source: Statistical Analysis Report 2, 1998-1999, District Totals, Center for School Safety.



TABLE F.5 Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky Service Center Region 5, 1998-99 School Year

Service Center	Total District	Agg.	Assault	Disorderly	Drug	Larceny	Total Board	Defiance	Disturbing	Fighting
Region 5 Districts	Law Violations	Assault	Simple	Conduct	Abuse	Theft	Violations	of Authority	Class	
Anderson Co	69	0	2 -	9	7	6	1,617	164	671	127
Bardstown Ind	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,489	257	762	150
Bourbon Co	0	-0	0	0	0	0	111	12	11	50
Boyle Co	29	2	7	0	3	1	1,115	351	359	75
Burgin Ind	2	0	-1	0	1	0	58	19	20	2
Clark Co	56	3	2	8	14	5	3,338	359	848	128
Danville Ind	7	Ö	0	0	3	1	1,013	214	356	153
Fayette Co	1,378	84	357	398	113	34	13,443	7,030	3,680	1,009
Frankfort Ind	50	.0	36	7	2	2	131	71	5	37
Franklin Co	151	0	25	0	19	0	3,371	1,658	142	127
Harrison Co	186	0	54	. 47	. 18	12	1,200	387	244	177
Harrodsburg Ind	2	0	0	1	0	1	81	14	20	19
Jessamine Co	34	2	1	4	10	0	888	120	204	166
KY School for Deaf	80	0	21	4	10	6	985	263	274	81
Marion Co	56	17	24	0	11	0	178	28	42	46
Mercer Co	7	0	1	0	3	0	1,093	249	568	61
Montgomery Co	260	1	133	124	0	0	1,002	227	231	211
Nelson Co	42	0	16	2	2	1	1,569	78	856	97
Nicholas Co	4	0	0	0	1	0	1,083	261	219	122
Paris Ind	23	3	8	1	5	1	581	146	129	56
Powell Co	32	0	. 1 .	- 2	8	0	2,890	1,177	638	297
Scott Co	165	1	60	3	19	38	3,013	525	600	374
Washington Co	13	. 0	0	0	3	1	710	323	228	15
Woodford Co	57	5	29	4	9	3	359	131	16	97
Region Totals	2,703	118	778	614	261	112	41,318	14,064	11,123	3,677
State Totals	13,257	879	3,249	2,238	2,239	606	215,682	57,229	61,991	25,150
Region %	20%	13%	24%	27%	12%	18%	19%	25%	18%	15%

Source Statistical Analysis Report 2, 1998-1999, District Totals, Center for School Safety.



TABLE F.6 Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky Service Center Region 6, 1998-99 School Year

Service Center	Total District	Agg.	Assault	Disorderly	Drug	Larceny	Total Board	Defiance	Disturbing	Fighting
Region 6 Districts	Law Violations	Assault	Simple	Conduct	Abuse	Theft	Violations	of Authority	Class	
Adair Co	31	0	.2	0	.10	0	376	75	97	61
Barbourville Ind	2	0	0	0	0	0	153	67	36	13
Bell Co	406	0	4	279	17	0	2,803	633	1,478	266
Berea Ind	0	0	0	0	0	0	289	56	93	53
Campbells ville ind	33	2	12	13	2	2	961	328	293	100
Casey Co	112	0	70	0 -	10	5	932	511	28	134
Clay Co	39	0	. 0	3	21	0	1,340	J21	234	172
Clinton Co	0	0	0	0	0	0	750	141	300	136
Corbin Ind	24	0	4.	3	. 6	3	477	41	127	28
East Bernstadt Ind	0	0	0	0	0	0	467	206	95	65
Estill Co	11	0	0	4	6	0	1,269	224	451	152
Garrard Co	67	0	39	8	2	0	951	301	66	77
Harten Co	1,013	15	335	67	103	50	8,723	2,213	3,233	468
Harlan Ind	6	0	1	0	0	0	616	123	142	134
Jackson Co	31	0	12	7.	3	3	871	131	197	143
Knox Co	16	2	0	0	6	0	927	127	246	196
Laurel Co	147	2	46	38	26	11	783	45	26	171
Lincoln Co	46	0	2	3	19	0	1,470	301	268	307
Madison Co	64	4	. 1	3	21	5	521	65	42	145
McCreary Co	31	1	4	4	6	0	3,546	1,100	1,195	528
Middlesboro ind	5	0	1	. 1	2	0	987	143	267	91
Model Lab	0	0	0	0	0	0	131	30	43	6
Monticello ind	6	0	0.	0	0	0 :	258	12	98	37
Pineville Ind	0	0	0	0	0	0	177	71	30	38
Pulaski Co	119	0	7	42	33	0	5,181	1,718	1,141	285
Rockcastle Co	29	3	0	2	18	0	1,254	240	527	59
Russell Co	24	0	6.	0	15	- 2	1,269	225	509	167
Science Hill Ind	2	0	0	0	0	0	64	11	7	14
Somerset ind	41	0.	-28	2	2	0	693	225	136	112
Taylor Co	18	0	Ō	0	5	0	1,277	402	452	102
Wayne Co	40	0	19	0	18	0	3,546	803	1.521	173
Whitley Co	218	0	30	150	15	0	3,649	1,023	997	445
Williamsburg Ind	- 6	0	0	Ö	0	. 2	1.882	753	282	30
Region Totals	2,587	29	623	629	356	83	48,593	12,465	14,637	4,908
State Totals	13,257	879	3,249	2,238	2,239	606	215,682	57,229	61,991	25,150
Region %	20%	3%	19%		16%	14%	23%	22%	24%	0

Source: Statistical Analysis Report 2, 1998-1999, District Totals, Center for School Safety.



TABLE F.7 Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky Service Center Region 7, 1998-99 School Year

Service Center	Total District	Agg.	Assault	Disorderly	Drug	Larceny	Total Board	Defiance	Disturbing	Fighting
Region 7 Districts	Law Violations	Assault	Simple	Conduct	Abuse	Theft	Violations	of Authority	Class	5 5
Ashland Ind	294	2	9	229	15	3	1,822	122	511	177
Augusta Ind	7	0	4	2	0	0	103	18	42	5
Bath Co	18	0	4	1	8	1	426	83	89	86
Boyd Co	43	1	20	0	9	0	1,119	116	575	54
Bracken Co	22,	0	9	0	5	0 .	29	6	2	4
Carter Co	46	1	30	2	5	0	3,657	997	1,371	428
Elliott Co	4	0	0	0 .	1 *	0	438	92	96	104
Fairview Ind	4	0	2	0	0	0	70	11	6	34
Fleming Co	32	0	22	0	1	0	519	73	134	87
Greenup Co	35	0	3	0	7	1	2,662	244	901	213
Johnson Co	73	31	0	0	8	0	1,295	257	286	61
Lawrence Co	67	0	38	0	15	1	1,597	484	513	261
Lewis Co.	9	0	0	0	7	0	1,182	77	403	48
Martin Co	79	3	54	0	16	0	518	59	243	107
Mason Co	53	0	30	4	14	0	1,207	353	519	122
Menifee Co	1	0	0	1	0	0	68	19	22	17
Morgan Co	68	1	50	0	.5	1	1,891	635	453	292
Paintsville Ind	9	0	4	0	1	0	194	26	55	67
Raceland-Worthing.	0	0	0	- 0	0	0	337	90	182	10
Robertson Co	17	0	6	5	0	0	24	9	0	10
Rowen co	16	1	3	2	0	Oi.	474	39	108	144
Russell Ind	20	0	0	0	3	3	1,179	299	291	200
			1.70						•	
Region Totals	917	40	288	246	120	10	20,811	4,109	6,804	2,531
State Totals	13,257	879	3,249	2,238	2,239	606	215,682	57,229	61,991	25,150
Region %	7%	5%	9%	11%	5%	2%	10%	7%	11%	10%

Source Statistical Arrahysis Report 2, 1999-1999, District Totals, Center for School Safety.

TABLE F.8 Selected Law, Local Board Policy Violation Incidents in Kentucky Service Center Region 8, 1998-99 School Year

Service Center	Total District	Agg.	Assault	Disorderly	Drug	Larceny	Total Board	Defiance	Disturbing	Fighting
Region 8 Districts	Law Violations	Assault	Simple	Conduct	Abuse	Theft	Violations	of Authority	Class	
Breathitt Co	56	1	2	1	11	1	1,581	684	296	175
Floyd Co	189	2	56	12	32	0	5,436	1,567	1,447	785
Hazard Ind	14	0	1	2	. 5	1	444	38	167	45
Jackson Ind	4	0	1	0	1	0	36	8	20	3
Jenkins Ind	8	0	0	2	2	0	348	76	115	53
Knott Co	161	1	94	27	13	0	1,470	280	372	181
Lee	12	0	2	0	7	0	193	44	50	16
Leslie Co	23	0	0	0	14	0	805	229	195	0
Letcher Co	33	0	5	9	4	4	1,528	257	763	176
Magoffin Co	51	3	0	0	20	0	1.077	156	159	295
Owsley Co	19	01	0	4	10	0	940	63	398	332
Perry Co	98	20	3	2	42	0	4.426	931	1,158	396
Pike Ca	91	1	5	6	24	5	718	170	24	156
Pikeville Ind	36	0	7	0	7	3	100	14	26	20
Wolfe Co	16	0	0	0	3.4	4	789	184	252	101
Region Totals	811	28	176	65	196	18	19,891	4,681	5,442	2,734
State Totals	13.257	875	3,249	2.238	2,239	606	215.682	57.229	61,991	25.150
Region %	6%	3%	5%	3%	9%	3%	9%	8%	9%	11%

Source: Statistical Amabaia Report 2, 1998-1999, District Totals, Center for School Safety

				Victims					_				5					
	White		Black	-		Asian	Native		Other	White	Biack		Hispanic	Asian	ç	Native	0	Other
	Σ	Σ L		X	Σ	L	Æ.	Σ	u.	M	Σ	ц	M	Σ	ш	M	Σ	۳
Service Center									_									
Region 1 Districts											Section (Section (Sec				No. No. of Contrasting Spirit			
Ballard Co			0			10	1.4 7.0	0	0.0	212 1 1 7 2	1.50		0	0	0			0
Caldwell Co	157 1	112 11	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	658 152	36	4	-	0	0	0	0	0
calloway on	(2) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3	9 17			(e)	0.0	0.1.0	7 0 C	7.2	508 224	12	0			0	0.33	0	3
Carlistle Co	-	0 0	0	0	0	0			0	0		-		0			0	0
Christian Comment	1.287 - (10 357 × 186 - 12	8 8 32	7 138		0	0	0	10 4 10	0.3	447 201	745	342	8.57.3		0	0.55		10
Crittendon Co	0	4	0		0				0	57 13				0				٥
Dawson Springs Ind.	(3)	0	Φ	0 1	0			10 1 40	10.5	13 414 21	0		0			0	0	0.4
Fulton Co	16	14 16	17	0	0				0	10 12								0
	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		2216 FE 113 FE 10.				1.0	0 *** 0	* TO :	24 - 1 - 8	- 283					0	H	7
-	31		2		0 0				0							0		0
60	(10)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0 0			0 10 10 6) - (146 31	54	Æ	. o o .		0	0 11 0		10
		1	0						_								0	0
Hopkins Co.	$\gamma = j_{x_{i}}$	O O	0	9	0 ()			0.00	and the				0	1		0	M	0.
		15 0	0						_					0				0
	0.10.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00	0	D332	0	0	0	0	0.110	<u> </u>	58 [14	19.1	0	2. 1. 0		ij	ij	101	0
	29	16 0	0	0										0	0	0		0
Mayfield Ind A. Co.	(0) 10 (2) (10)	01000000	13		0	A	0.73.0	0 ***	101	30 - 12	. 25		00		0	0	ä	0 3
McCracken Co	0	0 0		0	0	0	0		0		0			0	0	0		0
Munierberg Comme	45 N5 A	. A.	Ā	0)	0		0 - 2 3 0 -	0.0		98.7 12		2.5		1.0		Ŋ	0	
	35 1	11 13	2	0		0	0		0		17			0		0		0
Paditical Interest	Sec. 1945	88 (8)	G)	0	0	0	0	0.33	0.4	1925-787	223	85		0.3	0	00	27.12	34
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	11	ᅌ	ς,	0	0	0	0		0
	10 (3 (1)	3		0 0 0	0	10.0	0 1110	0	100	16 3 4	7.1.5.E.E	0	0	0	0	0 - 5 0	0	0
	73 3	34 24	.	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	412 113	125	33	2 0	-	0	0	-	0
Websilercconstructor	0 0 0 0	0.00	(0)		œ	0.	0.3.7.0	0.11	0	268 73	1.4	7	0	0	0	0	0	o E
Regióna totals a ser a	7,038 7,597.7 507.0 250	105 2 10	7 250		103	0.5	0 15 10 15	11.5	33.	3,869 11,241	1,413	62514 2	7,28,27		4.7	0.53,61	13	118
State Totals	10,174 4,6	4,690 1,960	0 792	44	, 7		0 9	167	11	2,867 10,799	4,948	2,032 1≀	95 38	68	8	4	85	3
Describe Contract	1406 WALL	PACE SAG	# 104 me 114 me 28% me 32%	.66 H 1/65/m	240 × 12%	36 %C	60 %0 s		27%	12%.4 11%	- 58%	31% 15	.%18%		70%	d de	10714	28%

White Black Hispanic Service Center M F M F M F Region 2 Districts 27 18 2 0<	Asian M F 7 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Other M F F M F F M F F M F F M F F M F F M F F M F F M F F M F F M F	White F 441 30 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	CK Hii 1 0 11 0 1 1 0 0 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Asia Asia 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Native Native O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Other M F
White Black Hispan M F M F M M F M F M Z7 16 2 5 0 0 25 14 0 0 0 0 30 3 18 15 2 6 4 8 3 1 6 4 8 3 1 6 4 8 3 1 71 4 0 0 0 21 2 1 0 0 21 2 1 0 0 3 4 1 5 0 4 1 5 0 0 9 8 2 1 0 121 5 4 0 0 12 5 0 0 0 12 6 0 0	Asian	Other P F P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 4	Black His A F M 1 1 0 9 4.1 7 0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 0 0 0 0 0	Asia 0 0 0 0	Native F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	Other
M F M F M F M 27. 16. 2 0 0 28. 14 0 0 0 30. 13. 18 16 2 6 4 8 3 1 16. 13. 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 21. 2 0 0 0 21. 2 0 0 0 21. 2 0 0 0 31. 14 0 0 0 32. 15 1 0 0 32. 15 2 0 32. 15 4 0 0 33. 15 4 0 0 34. 1 5 0 35. 15 4 0 0 37. 15 1 0 0 38. 20 0 0 38. 20 0 0 39. 8 20 0 30. 10 0 31. 15 1 0 0 32. 15 1 0 0 33. 15 1 0 0 34. 1 0 0 0 35. 20 10 0 36. 60 0 37. 10 0 0 0 38. 0 0 0 0 38. 0 0 0 39. 10 0 39. 10 0 39. 10 0 39. 10 0 39. 10 0 30. 10 0 3	L 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	M F F O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	33 30 30 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 F M 1 1 0 2 1 7 0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	O O O O	" M F	
27 46 2 0 0 25 14 0 0 0 30 (3) (18 (6 2 6 4 8 3 1 1 4 0 0 0 0 21 2 0 0 0 0 21 2 0 0 0 0 21 2 0 0 0 0 31 7 1 1 7 0 41 14 0 0 0 0 51 2 1 0 0 0 6 4 1 5 0 0 7 4 1 5 0 0 9 8 2 1 0 0 121 53 45 20 1 0 121 5 4 0 0 <th></th> <th></th> <th>41 30 22 9 9 9 33 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</th> <th>3 1 0 9 41 7 0 2 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</th> <th>0 0 0 0</th> <th>1 = 0 = 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</th> <th>0</th>			41 30 22 9 9 9 33 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 1 0 9 41 7 0 2 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 = 0 = 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
27 46 2 9 0 25 14 0 0 0 30 31 48 3 1 6 4 8 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 21 2 0 0 0 21 2 1 1 0 21 2 1 0 0 31 4 0 1 0 41 14 0 0 0 9 8 2 1 0 9 8 2 1 0 121 5 0 0 0 121 5 0 0 0 121 5 0 0 0 121 5 0 0 0 121 5 0 0 0 121 5 0 0 </td <td></td> <td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>33. 33. 33. 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td> <td>1 1 0 9 41 7 0 2 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1</td> <td>0 0 5 0 0</td> <td>) + (0 * (0) + (0) + (0) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>0 0</td>		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	33. 33. 33. 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 0 9 41 7 0 2 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1	0 0 5 0 0) + (0 * (0) + (0) + (0) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
27 16 2 0 0 25 14 0 0 0 30 3 18 16 2 6 4 8 3 1 16 13 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 0 1 1 0 21 2 1 1 0 0 21 2 1 1 0 0 3 4 1 5 0 0 9 8 2 1 0 0 121 5 7 3 0 0 9 8 2 1 0 0 121 5 4 0 0 0 121 5 4 0 0 0 121 6 0 0 0			41, 33, 15, 16, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11	1 1 0 9 41 7 0 0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0	00000		0 0
25 14 0 0 0 20 13 (18 (5) 22 6 4 8 3 1 (18) (13) (6) 2 (18) (13) (6) 0 0 (10) 0 0 0 0 (11) (11) (11) (0) 0 (11) (2) (1) (1) (1) (21) (2) (1) (2) (2) (22) (1) (2) (2) (2) (23) (2) (1) (2) (2) (23) (2) (2) (2) (2) (24) (2) (2) (2) (2) (24) (2) (2) (2) (2) (24) (2) (2) (2) (2) (24) (24) (24) (24) (24) (25) (26) (27) (27)		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 22 3 3 33 33 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 2 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0) 0 0 0 150 ⁻⁷⁷	Sale Medical Strategy and the second
30 73 18 6 2 6 4 8 3 1 18 43 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 20 7 1 7 0 21 2 0 0 0 21 2 0 0 0 3 4 1 5 0 4 1 5 0 0 9 8 2 1 0 20 0 0 0 0 21 2 1 0 0 22 4 1 0 0 32 45 20 1 0 4 1 2 0 0 4 1 2 0 0 2 4 0 0 0 4 1 0 0			22 33 33 6 15 0 0 8 8 8 8	9 41 77 7 2 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 100	0
6 4 8 3 1 1(8) 7(3) 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 1 1 0 21 2 0 1 0 21 2 0 1 0 31 4 1 5 0 9 8 4 1 5 0 10 0 0 0 0 0 220 10 0 0 0 0 121 53 45 20 1 0 51 1 2 0 0 0 121 53 45 20 1 0 15 1 0 0 0 0 16 1 0 0 0 0 17 1 0 0 0 0			36 9 10 41 33 0 0 0 0 56 115 5 195 83 1 13 8 1 16 48 8 10	2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0		0: 0
118			41 33 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0 0 2 3 0 0 0 0 6 6 0 7 2 1 0	0 0	0 0 0	0
0 0 0 0 0 1 4 1 1 0 0 21 2 0 1 0 0 0 21 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 4 1 5 0 0 0 0 9 8 2 1 5 0 0 0 121 53 45 20 0 0 0 0 121 53 45 0 0 0 0 0 121 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 121 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 13 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 16 3 4 0 0 0 0 0 2 3 4 0			56 15 56 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0 0
18 77 16 17 90 21 2 0 1 0 21 2 0 0 0 41 14 0 0 0 8 4 1 5 0 9 8 4 1 5 0 121 53 45 20 1 0 53 1 2 0 0 0 121 53 45 20 1 0 51 1 2 0 0 0 121 5 4 0 0 0 12 1 2 0 0 0 13 1 2 0 0 0 16 1 0 0 0 0 18 3 1 0 0 0 24 3 0 0 0			56 115 56 100 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 5 0 0 0 0 0 6 6 0 7 2 0 7 2 1 0	これが、これのなるのでは、これのできない	0	0
1 4 0 1 0 21 2 0 0 0 41 14 0 0 0 8 4 1 5 0 9 8 2 1 0 23 20 0 0 0 121 53 45 20 1 5 1 2 10 0 121 53 45 20 1 5 1 2 10 0 16 1 2 0 0 16 1 2 0 0 16 1 2 0 0 16 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 2 1 0 0 0 2 1 0 0			0 0 0 74 4 10 195 83 1 13 1 115 48 8 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 6 6 0 7 2 0 7 2 1 0	0	0 1 0 0	00
21 22 0 0 0 41 14 0 0 0 18 41 4 0 0 19 41 5 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 0 24 20 0 0 0 0 24 20 0 0 0 0 32 45 2 0 0 0 16 36 4 0 0 0 17 1 2 0 0 0 17 1 2 0 0 0 16 36 0 0 0 0 16 31 4 0 0 0 27 1 0 0 0 0 28 2 2 2 0 0 29 2 2 2 0<			74	5 0 0 5 7 2 7 2 1 0	0 0	0 0 0	0
41 14 0 0 0 13 65 7 3 0 8 4 1 5 0 9 8 2 1 0 724 20 10 0 0 121 53 45 20 1 5 1 2 10 0 5 1 2 10 0 1 1 2 10 0 1 1 2 10 0 1 1 2 10 0 1 1 2 10 0 1 1 2 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 3 1 0 0 0 2 3 1 0 0 0 3 4 0 0 0 0		0 0	195 83 1 13 1 15 48 8 10 20 10 2 10	0 0 5 6 50 0 7 2 0 7 2 1 0		*00	0 = 0
6 7 3 0 8 4 1 5 0 9 8 2 1 0 22 9 8 2 1 0 121 53 45 20 1 0 5 1 2 0 0 0 6 1 2 0 0 0 7 1 2 0 0 0 16 6 0 0 0 0 7 1 0 0 0 0 8 3 4 0 0 0 8 3 4 0 0 0 8 4 0 0 0 0 0 18 3 4 0 0 0 0 2 4 0 0 0 0 0 2 4 0	0 0	0 0	23 44	6 7 1		0 1 0	0
8 4 1 5 0 9 8 2 1 0 24 20 10 0 0 121 53 45 2 1 15 1 7 0 0 0 16 16 0 0 0 0 16 16 0 0 0 0 17 1 0 0 0 0 18 3 4 0 0 0 16 16 0 0 0 0 18 3 4 0 0 0 27 4 0 0 0 0 27 4 0 0 0 0 27 4 0 0 0 0 29 43 0 0 0 0 20 20 2 2 0 <td>0 0 0 0</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>9.4</td> <td>7 0 1</td> <td>0.1.0</td> <td>0 1 0 0 1 0</td> <td>0 0</td>	0 0 0 0	0 0	9.4	7 0 1	0.1.0	0 1 0 0 1 0	0 0
9 8 2 1 0 24 25 10 0 0 24 25 10 0 0 121 53 45 20 1 55 1 5 0 0 32 15 4 0 0 16 16 0 0 0 18 3 4 0 0 7 1 0 0 0 8 3 4 0 0 92 4 0 0 0 18 3 4 0 0 18 3 4 0 0 24 3 0 0 0 25 29 26 28 1 25 40 6 0 0 27 40 6 0 0 27 40 6 <	0 0		5.0	0 -	0	0 0 0	0 0
9 8 2 1 0 24 20 0 0 0 121 53 45 20 1 5 1 2 0 0 0 16 16 0 0 0 0 16 16 0 0 0 0 17 1 0 0 0 0 2 4 0 0 0 0 2 4 0 0 0 0 2 4 0 0 0 0 2 4 0 0 0 0 39 4 0 0 0 0 49 8 0 0 0 0 55 29 26 28 1 8 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0	0 0		STATE OF STA	۳-	0 - 0	0.	00
23 25 15 45 10 10 121 53 45 20 1 51 1 2 0 0 32 15 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 18 3 7 0 0 0 7 4 0 0 0 0 7 4 0 0 0 0 7 4 0 0 0 0 8 43 0 0 0 0 892 43 0 0 0 0 893 47 8 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0		0 0	12 6 1		0 0	0	0
121 53 45 20 1 50 1 24 0 0 32 15 4 0 0 6 6 0 0 0 18 3 1 0 0 7 1 0 0 0 8 43 0 0 0 65 23 6 28 1 65 29 26 28 1 8 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0	0 - 0 - 10 - 0	0 0 0	11.	0 0	0 1 0	0 0	0 4 0 0
5	0 0	0 0	600 146 233	87	2 4	0	14 2
32 15 4 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0	526 114 55	0 44 7 6	01,1110) 0 0 0	00
(6) (6) (0) (0) (0) 0 0 0 0 0 18 3 1 0 0 0 7 1 0 0 0 0 82 43 0 0 0 0 430 82 155 8 0 0 55 29 26 28 1 24 40 2 6 0 8 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0	0 0	0	118 38 10	5 2	1 3	-	0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 18. (8 13 + 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 = 0	0 0 0	75 1. 20 12	1 0	0 0 0 0	400 E 14 D 25 C	0.000
18 13 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	0 0	9 3	0	0	0	0
7 1 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00	0.01.01.01.01.01	# 261 : 7:59 F 12 F 3 F	o A	10.0 11.0 0.00	3.0 12.0 44.0 43.0	0.00
2	0 0		11 0 0	0	0	0 0	0
92 43 0 0 0 0 (5.8) (5.9	0.000	0 0	5	0.000	0 0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0	0.4 0
430 82 163 (9 0). 55 29 26 28 1 24 70 2 6 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	52	0		0	0
55 29 26 28 1 24 (10 2 6 0 8 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0		292 - 43 - 119	31 10	0 0	0.0	77. 2
8 0 0 0 0 8 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	1 0 0 0	0	55 29 26	3 28 1		0	0
8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3.3.48	0 0 0	0.0	239 84 - 61	17.28 25.11	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 0
2407 F 2277 F F 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0	0 0 0	\$	7 0			0
	0 = 0 = 0	0 0 0 00	98 16 20	20 - 3 1 0 1	0 0 0	30 2 1 0 7 1 1	1.440
	0	0 0 0	17 10 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0
			*				
Region Totals 1,082 409 277 121 5 1	1 0 0 0	æ	3,828 903 683	267	10	4 0	42 4
State (Totals 14 - 10 - 10 - 172 - 4990 - 1960 - 782 - 441 - 19	9	767 111 3	32,867 10,799 4,948	48-2,032 185	38 1 68 20	14 150	92 - 31
11% 9% 14% 15% 11% 11%	1% 0% 0% 0%	0% 21% 73%	12% 8% 14%	% 13% 25%	16% 15% 5%	, 29% 0%	46% 13%
atistical Analysis Report 2, 1998-1999, District T	otals. Center for School Safety.						

			Victims									Offenders			;	,	
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian		Native	Other	ጃ	White	Black		Hispanic	Asian		ative	ŏ	Other
	ш.	ι . Σ	u . ∑	≥	∑	u.	ц. ∑	Σ	ட	Σ	Σ	ıL	≥	₽	⊥	Σ	u
Service Center																	
Region 4 Districts																	,
Beachwood Ind Ses	9.0	0 - 0	0 - 0	0	00	0	0 0	12	0	- 	0	0	0	0 30		0	a
Bellevue Ind	3 2	0 0	0	0							0	0	0	0	1	et section	0
Boone Co Trans	F68 - 4 21 - 22 5 - 0		0.5113.0	0	0.11.0	0	0 0	327	102	6		2.2	4	0			7
Bullitt Co	_	0 0	0	0				<u> </u>						0			0
Campbell Co	27.5	0.00	0 0	3 . D	0 0	0	0 . 0 -		2	0	0	0	0	0 0	0(0	0.
Carroll Co		11 9	0	0		0		235	96	21 3		1					0
Covington Ind	5.5		0	0		ð,	. 0 0.		. 8	3	0 0	. 0		00		\$	0
Dayton Ind	6	0 0	0	0	0 0	0		27				0			1		0
Eminence Ind	72 - 0 - 10	10.770	0, 1, 0	-0	00	0	0 . 0 .	0	0,	0 0	0 0	0			00	H	0
Erlanger-Elsmere	Ē.	i	2			0											0
Fort Thomas Ind		0.4.0.2.0.2.0.2.	0	0		0	0 0	25	0	0 0	0		. ₹0	0 0	i.	0	0
Gallatin Co	31 11	1 0	0	0		0		``					- 6	- 1	- 9		0
Grant Co.	E 05 4 05 20 8 20 62 5	0. 20	0	0	0= 0	0	0 0	237							0 * 0	0	0
Henry Co	27 6	1	0	0		0						1	0	-	3	1	0
Kanton Co	1280 4 123 46 5 0	0 8 8		0	0 . 0	0	.0	1,178	281			0					
Ludlow Ind	9 8	0 0	0 0	0	0 0			27		0			1	-		-	0
Newport Ind - 1: - 1:	2094 - 614 17 4 61	17.4.6	0.44.0	0	. 0	0	0 - 0	1.231	. 72	56	1, 10			00			0
Oldham Co		1 4	0					192			97000	9	8	- 8	- 8	3	0
Owen Co.	0 0	0 0	0 0		0.36.0		0 0	77			0 0		0	0	0 0	0	0
Pendleton Co	•	0 0	0				0 0			-	-	90000	3	- 3	- 3	3	0
Shelby Co.	0 - 0		0.00	0	0 0.1		0	2				0	M	0 0	9		0.
Silver Grove Ind	1 0	0 0	0			0	1	- 2	200	- 3	200	3	52		200	1	0 }
Southgate Ind	6.0	40 tersor	0.45 (0.4			0		E.									0
Spencer Co		0 0	0			0	1			- 2	1	9	0	0 0	0	0	၁ ်
ffrimble Co	96.00	0 (2-4)	0.5	10	00	0.		45	8	0 0	0	0		0	0	0	6
Walton Verona Ind	0 0	0 0	0		0	0	0	2	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Williamston Ind	0.44.0	0.21.0	0 2 0	0.0	0	0	010	*0	0	0.	6	0	0	0	0	0	0.7
Region Totals 2017 19 (88.085) 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	179/48 685	.955. 510	4.5.0	0.5		0	121 0	4,652	1,202	204 6	1. 14	* 4 C	. 6.2	2.1.5	0.5	14.4	1.2
State Totals	10,174 4,690 1,960	1,960 792	94	7	1 6	8	167 11	32,867	10,799 4	1,948 2,032	32 185	38	68 2	20 14	4 0 **** 06.	92	31 8 av.
Region % ***********************************	*18% **15% **	2%9×1×6%	%0%6	013.40	%/1%0		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ / /	24 P	170	470		* ov 1 1	2 8 2	2 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	e	- W - W - W	200

Table F.13 Student Victims and Offenders of Law and Board Policy Violations in Kentucky Service Center Region 5, 1998-99 School Year.

				Victime												Offenders	5				
	White	B	Black		일	Asian	c	Native		Other	<u>></u>	White	ш	Black	Hispanic	ulc	Asian		Native	J	Other
	Σ.	Σ	u.	Σ.	ш	Σ	ц	Σ	F	A F	Σ	щ	Σ	L	Σ	<u>.</u>	Σ	_	Σ π	Σ	.
Service Center																					
Region 5 Districts												-	0.000				COLUMN TO A COLUMN	*			
Anderson Coll 224	02 20	0	0	0	0	0	o.)0	0 11.0	0	176	33	2		0	. 0					0
Bardstown Ind	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		- 3	181	245		0	0	0	0	200	8	0
Sourbon Co	22 0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0)	0 0000	0		28	+ 5	0	0	0	1	Ü			0
Boyle Co	19 31	0	0	0	0	0		0	0			104	4		7	-	+	0 0		0	-
Burgining	0.00	0	0	G	.0	0	Ö) - (0	0			0	0	0.7	03	ä				0.
Clark Co	35 10	9	0	0	0			0				306	55		-		90000	ı		0	0
Danvillatinde	0	0	0	0	0	0	0)	0 . 0	0	0	2.5		0					•		7
Favette Co	131 70	11	38	3	2	0						491	829								-
Sept Story (174)		S		0	0	0	0	0 = 0	0 - 0	0			7	9	0	ó	0		0 2 2 3	2	0
Franklin Co			ŧ	0	o	0					342	275	59		0					0	0
Hamisonico		0.7	0	0.0	0.5		0	0 0	0 - (0.1	30	18	5	0	0	10	0	101 11 01	0	Ŧ	0
Harrodsburn Ind	10 5	4	2	0	0	Ì						က		-							0
Flassamina Commi		98	2	107						(0) O	390	224	. 131	116	1.0	H 0 4		2: 0	0.1	0	0.6
KY School for Daa	24	3	2	0	0		0	1		ŧ	55	23		4							0
Marco Comment					0			33			546	1.28	714	3.2	0	0.	0	0 0	0 1	0	0
Marcar Co	C	3	栗		0		3	3	1	ŧ	411	117	+	0	0						0
7,69	10 20 20 20 31	28.5		*						Z	- 2/6	45	7.2	. 2	12.0	01.	0.	0	0.	0	0
	83 59	1	1					0 0				81	3	0	-						0
NEbolasico		35	0 - 10 -	0						0	9 27	0.54	0.1	0	0	0	E 0	0 5 0	0	0	0
Paris Ind	0	0	0	0	l						<u> </u>	0									0
		02:4-2	2	24.5	40.0	10.5	0=3	0 -2 -1	0	.0	1.237	- 86	ပ		2	0		0. 2	⊥o∵.	H	0
		1	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	1		129	49		4	7						0
Washington Collection		0.8	0.5	10.	0.	0	0	05 10	0	0.0	1.24		6 14	2		ii o	100	0 0	0	0 14	0
Woodford Co	30 10	6	3	0	0	0		0 0	0		108	34	36	ထ				0 0	0	0	0
	733	111	47	9	2	0	0	1	0	0	5,023	2,141	1,366	229	4			13 2	0	17	4
		1,960	792	44	9.4	37/2	39.4	0 2 2 9	191	7.1-11	32,867	\$10,799	4,948	2,032	185	38	68 21.2	0.11	0	1 82	.31
Г	7% 8%	%9	, %9	14%	22%	0 %0	0% 17	17% 0%		%0 %	15%	20%	28%	33%	25%	26% 4	46% 65	65% 14%	%0 %	18%	13%
Source: Statistical Analysis Report 2, 1998-1999.	Analysis Report	2, 1998-	1999. Distric	strict To	tals.Cer	enter for S	School S	Safety.													

Table F.14: Student Victims and Offenders of Law and Board Policy Violations in Kentucky Service Center Region 6, 1998-99 School Year.

									Offenders	9.1		
	White	Black	Victims Hispanic	Asian	Native	Other	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native	Other
	ι .	M	Σ.	Σ.	Σ.	Z	Σ	Σ	∑	Σ	Σ	Σ
Service Center					ı							
ş							CV CLUP	9. 3.b	0 + 0 = 0	. O O		*000000
			0				2.0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	0	0 0			0 0		24.7					F. F. S. F. S. F. S.
		O TOTAL	E0 - 22 0 - 3				10	4		0	0	0 0
Berea Ind	0	0	0	0	2000	900					SOUTH THE USE	TO MAKE OCCUPANT
Camobelisyile (nd 2007) 1411 - 1548 1577 - 18	4415	8.	37 X	0	20	0 O		91.	1			
Casey Co	0 2	0	0	0			2000	80,000,000	0	1		
Clay.Co	1.38 2.51 19	0	0 0	0 1.0	0 0	0 0	288	2	1			
Clinton Co	0 0	0	0		0			1	California		Ĭ	
Corbin Ind 1447 7 1 155 2 2 2 3 8 7 10 1 1 1 0 2 2 0 3 2 2	3.55 P. F. 38 P.	10 1 1 01	0.2440	0 0		0 . 0	156 68	00	0 0	0.0	0.3	* O * O * O * O * O * O * O * O * O * O
East Bernstadt Ind	4 13	2 2	0 0		0				90,000	900000	20,000	0
Estilico 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	¥113 3. *** 56 3. **	** O *** O *	0.00	0 = 1 = 0 = 0	0	0 0	202 55	0 0	0 - 0	0 : 0	0	70.2
Garrard Co	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0						2000	2 0
100	200	0 - W- C	0 - 6	* 0 * * * 0 * *	. 0		483 262	3.0	0 0	0 0	0.00	TO THE O
Hadan Ind	42 29	2 3	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	207					0
S. Service		0.000	0.00	7	. 01.	00	102 43	1.0	2 . 0	0 , 0	0	0 2 0
	0 0 0 0 0	0	0 0	0 0			٦	0				0
	#### (U.M. 12.1)				0 0	.00.	309 86:		0	11. 1.0.3		0.44.0
	75			0		1	657	25		0 0		0
200	14				1	333		20				2.64
							364 130		0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	29 13	0	0	CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSONS ASSESSMENT	1		200		1		3	4
Middlesboro Ind Francis			668	0.00	0	0	20.	2) ·		
	2 2	0	0	0 0		2000	200			4	133	
Morticello Ind - F. F. S.	$\sim 38 \sim 27 \sim 5 \sim 20 \sim 10$	13	0	0 0	0 0	ä						
Pineville Ind	0 0	0 0	0 0	0		0		0	1	ě	0 0	
Aulaski come promovive 66 m "218 mm 75 m 100 m 1	468 - 218		10 22 20 1	0 3 4 0	00		975 - 7/A		0.00			
Rockcastle Co	8 1	0	0	0	-	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	A 2000 B	0 0	94.0	0 0		
Rissellico		0.00	0.04.0	0 0	0 10	00	442143	0	4	0.2.20		N C
	17 11	0	0	0			200	0 0	0 0	0 0		
Someteer independent	6	0.1	0	0	00	0 0	133 27	7/	10 C			
Taylor Co	۱ 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	138 53	2 1	0 0	7 0	0 0	
	0.000	2.2.2.1 (0.2.1 (0.1 (1.1 (0.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1	TH 0. TH 0.	0 - 0	0	0.01	328 150	1,000	0.10	0 1 0 0		
	213 102	1	0 0	0	0	0	897 505	4	0	0	0	0
White the second		CHO DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON		TO WAR DOWN	004.4	2502-7-0		10 CO 17 1	0 110	0 7 0	0 = 0	00
Radion Totals 29 2 1862 27 1023 2 40 256 33 23 23	1.8624711023	40 = 25 =	(8)	5 0 1 2 1 J. 6 1	20		7,170 = 2,657	7.194 T. 59 T	10.25.13	D. P. J. F. C. J.	0.7:30	
State Totals	10,174 4,690	1,960 792	44 9	7 1	0 9	167 11	32,867 10,799	4,948 2,032	185 38	68 20	14 0	92 31
Region %	A 25 FEB 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2% F 13% F	W1/8 - 11/8	**************************************		- 4% - × 0% ·	-22 %* 25% -	4% 3%	. 5%: 18%	*4%***.0%	%0:%0	%O = - %Z
Source: Statistical Analysis Report 2, 1998-1999, District Totals.	Ivsis Report 2. 1.	998-1999. Dist	rict Totals.Cen	ter for School Sa	Safety.							

Table F.15: Student Victims and Offenders of Law and Board Policy Violations in Kentucky Service Center Region 7, 1998-99 School Year.

					•						_					í						
	White	ite	Black	支	Hispanic	Jic Jic	Asian	Ž	Native	ŏ	Other	×	White	<u>8</u>	Black	Hispanic	anic	Asian		Native	Other	Je.
	Σ	щ	Σ	u.	Σ	L.	Z L	Σ	L	Σ	F	Σ	ıL	Σ	ц	Σ	IL.	Σ	Σ	T.	Σ	띡
Service Center																						
Region 7 Districts																						
Ashland Ind Activity There	1,126 1,136	136	+	10		0	0 0	0 🚁	0	0	0	295	149	13	9	2.2		0	0 . 0	0	0	0
August Ind	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0
Bath Cq. * * * * * * *	73 23	:23	8.4		103	· .3 . 0-	0 - 0	9		0	0.	124	39	5	.3	1.2					O.	0
Boyd Co	22	7	0	0						0	0	458	183	0		0					0	0
Bracken Co	3.12	9.3	0	0	0		0 - 0	0	0	0	0	371		0	4	o	10.	Ħ				Ó
Carter Co	<u>2</u>	38	0	0						0	0	265	5	0		0		0	0	0	0	0
Elloli(co	-00	(3)	0	0	0.) 0	0 . 0	0	0,		0	42	8	0	0	0	0	H	0 - 0		ė	e
Fairview Ind	2	0	0	0			0		0	0		09	თ	0	0	0			0	0	0	0
Fleming ©o	2.77.1	111	1.2	0.					0.5	0	. 0	:81	. 2	0	0	0	0	0	00	A	0	0
Greenup Co	238	89	3	-					0	0		364	127	က	-	7					0	0
Johnson Co	1358	276	0	10.5	10) 0	0 0	0	. 0	0	0	427	298	0	0				0.4-2.0	10.5	ō	0
Lawrence Co	53	23	Ο.	0					0	0		149	36	7	0						က	0
Lewis Core II for a feet	487 278	278	0	.0	0.	00	0		10.5	0	ini	286	1.81		0					团	0	0
Martin Co	109	38	0	0					0	0	_	154	46	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Mason co	-18	2.0	88	500		0.1	00	0	0	0.0	0.5	513	117	194	43.	1115	M	Ħ			1	×.
Menifee Co	0	0	0	0					0	0	0	37	7	0	0						0	0
Worgan's©o.	259	.81	0	0	0.8	0	0 0	0	0.5	0	0	.358	111	0.3	10.1			H			e	9
Paintsville Ind	47	2	0	0					0	0	0	15	0	0	-				0 0		0	0
Raceland Worthington's		0	9	0.8			0 0	0	0.4	0.0	0	4.1	E 0	0.1	0	0					0	Ġ
	က	က	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0				0	0
Rowani Cor Cor	97.4	246	0	O	5	0 0	ô	0	0	0	Ъ	300	, 76	7	0	0		H			0	
Russell Ind	92	42	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	4	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Region Totals	*20193], *15/30] * 28/40	1,131	26	(3		0	0	0	40	0	0.7	4,045	1,487	130	. 64		44				100	6
State Totals	10,174 4,690 1,960	4,690	1,960	792	44	9	-	9	0	167	=	32,867	10,799	4,948	2,032	185	38 6	68 20	4	0	95	31
Region %	第22%第24%第4%	24%	1 % K	2%2	2%	60 × %	%0 %	%0.≒	.%0,	. %0.	%0	12%**	14%	. 3%	₹3% ₹	14%	8%***3	%: 59	%0 ±1.0%	%0%	11%	40%

Table F.16: Student Victims and Offenders of Law and Board Policy Violations in Kentucky Service Center Region 8, 1998-99 School Year.

				Victims								δ	Offenders				
	White	Ø	Black	Hispanic	Asian		Native	Other	White		Black	Hispanic		Asian	Vative	Other	
	Σ	∑ ⊔.	ட	M	Σ	F	щ	M	Σ	F	F	Σ	Σ	ш	ъ Т	≥	ட
Service Center																	
Region 8 Districts																	
Breathitt Co	101	0,101,219,10,10,10,10	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0			00	0	0	0	00	0	0
Floyd S	243	71 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hazard Ind " 21 - "		03		00	0	00	0	0 0		Ø	8 ; 11 ·	0		0		0.4	0
	0	1 0	0	0	0	0							0		0	0	0
Jenkins Ind		0 0	0	0.5.40	0	0	0	0 0	9	0 0	0	0 0		0	0	0	O
Knott Co	92	35 5	-	0	0											0	0
. 88.35	0.34	0 === 0.	0	0 - 0	0	0 0	0	0. 0:		0 0	0	0			0	0	0
Leslie Co	3	4	0	0	0									0			0
Leicher Co	44		0 4	0 12 10	0	0 _ 0	0	00			0	00	0	0	0	0	0
Magoffin Co	16	13 0	0	0	0											0	0
Owslev Co		0 0 0	0.4	0.2.0.	0.2		0	00		3 0	0	0	0	3 0	0	į	6
Perry Co	42	34	0	0	0	0 0										0	0
Pkacon		28 0	0	0.00	0.	0 0	0	0 0		121 1	3	0 0	0	20.	3 0	0	0
Pikeville Ind	0	1 0	0	0	0												0
Wolfe Co.	1 - 97	35 3	2.4	0 2 2 0	0.7	00	0	00	165	44	8	0 0	0	0	0. 0	0.1	0
																	Š
	680 - 256	56 11			0	0 - 0	3	167 11	32 867 10	900 4048	LE 10-	185 38	89	, ,	14	92	3.5
State Lotals	10,174 4,	4,090 1,900	76/	10,1/4 4,090 1,900 /92 44 9	/20) - Vol.	ì		- 82	- 333	- 80	Ĺ		Ç.		7,000	%0:
A HORAC	9/	0/1 2/0	1 1000	90		27.0	2	20	27.1								
Source: Statistical Analysis Report 2. 1998-1999, District Totals, Cente	nalysis Kepo	n 2. 1998-1	1888, DISTRI	ct totals, ce	201	chool Salety.											