

FILED OF RECORD

MAY 20 2024

K.B.M.L.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE
CASE NO. 2033


IN RE: THE LICENSE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY HELD BY KENDALL E. HANSEN, M.D., LICENSE NO. 25777, 340 THOMAS MORE PARKWAY, #260, CRESTVIEW HILLS, KENTUCKY 41017

ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT

At its May 16, 2024 meeting, the Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure (hereafter “the Board”), acting by and through its Hearing Panel B took up this case for final action. The members of Panel B reviewed the Complaint, filed of record December 2, 2021; the Emergency Order of Restriction, filed of record November 23, 2021; the Hearing Officer’s Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommended Order, filed of record April 1, 2024; and a memorandum from the Board’s counsel, dated April 8, 2024.

Having considered all the information available and being sufficiently advised, Hearing Panel B ACCEPTS, INCORPORATES and ADOPTS the hearing officer’s recommended order. In accordance with that recommended order, Hearing Panel B finds that the provisions of the Medical Practice Act have not been violated and hereby **ORDERS** that Case No. 2033 be and is hereby **DISMISSED**, effective on the date of filing of this Order Dismissing Complaint.

So ORDERED, this 20th day of May, 2024.



DALE E. TONEY, M.D.
CHAIR, HEARING PANEL B

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original of this Order Dismissing Complaint was delivered to Mr. Michael S. Rodman, Executive Director, Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure, 310 Whittington Parkway, Suite 1B, Louisville, Kentucky 40222; and copies were mailed via first-class postage prepaid to Keith Hardison, Esq., Hearing Officer, 2616 Bardstown Road, Louisville, Kentucky 40205 and to licensee's counsel, Kenneth A. Foisy, Taft Stettinius & Hollister, LLP, 50 East RiverCenter Blvd, Suite 850, Covington, Kentucky 41011 on this 20th day of May 2024.



Nicole A. King
Assistant General Counsel
Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure
310 Whittington Parkway, Suite 1B
Louisville, Kentucky 40222
Tel. (502) 429-7150

APR - 1 2024

**COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE
CASE NO.2033**

K.B.M.L.

IN RE: THE LICENSE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF
KENTUCKY HELD BY KENDALL E. HANSEN M.D. LICENSE NO. 25777, 340
THOMASMORE PARKWAY, #260, CRESTVIEW HILLS, KENTUCKY, 41017

**FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS
LAW AND RECCOMENDED ORDER**

This action is before the hearing officer on a *Motion for Summary Disposition* (hereinafter the *Motion*) filed by the licensee, Dr. Kendell E. Hansen M.D. The Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure (hereinafter the "Board") filed response agreeing with Dr. Hansens' position that judgment as a matter of law is appropriate. Boards Response to Motion for Summary Disposition.

After reviewing the motion, the responses and the applicable law the hearing officer finds there are no genuine issues in dispute and that judgment as a matter of law is appropriate pursuant to KRS. 13B. 090(2). Therefore, the hearing officer recommends the Board grant the Motion a for Summary Disposition filed by Dr. Hansen and find that Dr. Hansen is **NOT** in violation of the statutory provisions he is alleged to have violated.

In support of this recommendation the hearing officer submits the following Findings of Fact Conclusions of Law and Recommended Order:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Board filed a Complaint against the licenses on December 2, 2021, alleging that he was in violation of KRS 311.595 (9) as illustrated by 311. 597(4) as well as KRS 311.395.(12) *Complaint* ¶ 7. This Complaint was based upon the factual allegation that Dr. Hansen had been indicted in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky for various offenses related to the unlawful distribution of controlled substances. Complaint ¶ 3-5.

2. On November 23, 2021, The Chair of Inquiry Panel A determined that an emergency existed and restricted Dr, Hansen from prescribing dispensing or utilizing controlled substances in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. Complaint ¶6
3. The licensee filed an answer admitting that he was indicted but denying that he was guilty of the offenses charged. Answer ¶4-5
4. By agreement the matter was held in abeyance pending resolution of the criminal charges.
5. Dr. Hansen has been acquitted of (six) 6 of the counts against him. The remaining two counts were dismissed (Motion p.2)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Board has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to KRS 311.591 and KRS 311.595.
2. This administrative matter was conducted in accordance with KRS 13B and KRS 311.591.
3. Under KRS 13B. 090 (7) the Board has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence the allegations against Dr. Hansen.
4. Pursuant to KRS 13B. 090(2) the Hearing Officer may make a recommended order in an administrative hearing submitted in written form if the hearing officer determined that there are no genuine issues of material facts in dispute.”
5. Summary proceeding such as those contemplated by KRS 13B. 090(2) are generally appropriate when construing all evidence in favor of the party against whom the motion is made, there are no disputed issues of fact upon which reasonable minds could differ.

Bierman v. Klapheke 967S.W. 2nd 16, 18-19 (Ky.1998)

6. Dr . Hansen asserts and the Board agrees that there are no disputed facts upon reasonable minds could differ and therefore judgment in this matter is appropriate as a matter of law. KRS 13B. 090(2)

RECOMMENDED ORDER

Based upon the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of law set forth above the hearing officer recommends the Board find that provisions of the law applicable in this action have **NOT** been violated by the licensee GRANT the Licensee's *Motion for Summary Disposition* and Dismiss the Complaint

NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Pursuant to KRS 13B.125 (4) , this final order may be appealed pursuant to and as set forth in KRS 13B.140 which provides that :

- (1) Except as provided in KRS 452.005, all final orders of an agency shall be subject to judicial review in accordance with the provisions of this chapter. A party shall institute an appeal by filing a petition in the Circuit Court of venue, as provided by the agency's enabling statutes, within thirty (30) days after the date of the final order of the agency is mailed or delivered by personal service. If venue for appeal is not stated in the enabling statutes, a party may appeal to Franklin Circuit Court or the Circuit Court of the county in which the appealing party resides or operates a place of business. Copies of the petition shall be served by the petitioner upon the agency and all parties of record. The petition shall include the names and addresses of all parties to the proceeding and the agency involved, and a statement of the grounds on which the review is requested. The petition shall be accompanied by a copy of the final order.
- (2) A party may file a petition for judicial review only after the party has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency whose action is being challenged, and within any other agency authorized to exercise administrative review.

Pursuant to KRS 23A.010 (4), "Such review (by Circuit Court) shall not constitute an appeal but an original action." Some courts have interpreted this language to mean that summons must be served upon filing an appeal in circuit court.

Keith Hardison

KEITH HARDISON
HEARING OFFICER
2616 BARDSTOWN RD.
LOUISVILLE KY 40205
(502) 432-2332
hardisonkeith@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the original of this FINDINGS OF FACT CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND RECOMENDED ORDER was mailed this 29th day of March , 2024, by first-class mail, postage prepaid, to:

JILL LUN
KY BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE
HURSTBOURNE OFFICE PARK STE 1B
310 WHITTINGTON PKWY
LOUISVILLE KY 40222

for filing; and a true copy was sent by first-class mail, postage prepaid, to:

NICOLE A. KING Esq.
ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL
KY BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE
HURSTBOURNE OFFICE PARK STE 1B
310 WHITTINGTON PKWY
LOUISVILLE KY 40222

KEN FOISY Esq.

TAFT STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER LLP
50 EAST RIVER CENTER BLVD Ste. 850
COVINGTON KY 41011

Keith Hardison

KEITH HARDISON

NO. 21-CI-07145

JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT
DIVISION SEVEN (7)
HON. AUDRA ECKERLE

KENDALL E. HANSEN, M.D.

PETITIONER

v.


KENTUCKY BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE

RESPONDENT

ORDER

Upon motion of the Board, and the Court being sufficiently advised, it is hereby ORDERED that the Court's Order entered April 13, 2022 which permanently enjoined the Board from enforcing its Emergency Order of Restriction against the license held by Kendall E. Hansen, M.D. is suspended while this matter is on appeal.

SO ORDERED.




JUDGE AUDRA J. ECKERLE

4.19.22
DATE

NO. 21-CI-07145

JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT
DIVISION SEVEN (7)
HON. AUDRA ECKERLE

KENDALL E. HANSEN, MD, DABA, ABAPM, DABPH, FIIP

PETITIONER RECEIVED

v.

APR 15 2022

KBML

KENTUCKY BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE,
an agency of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, et al

RESPONDENTS

COURT'S ADOPTED
PETITIONER'S PROPOSED OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on a Complaint and Appeal from the Final Order of the Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure ("KBML") and accompanying Petition for Declaratory Judgment, Temporary Restraining Order, and Permanent Injunction brought by Petitioner, Kendall E. Hansen, MD, DABA, ABAPM, DABPH, FIIP ("Dr. Hansen").

After a careful consideration of the record and the memoranda of the Parties, as well as the applicable law, and the Parties having been heard before the Court on March 23, 2022, the Court hereby grants Petitioner's prayers for declaratory relief and hereby permanently enjoins the KBML from enforcing its Complaint, its Emergency Order, and its Final Order restricting Dr. Kendall Hansen, M.D.'s license to practice medicine and, more specifically, to prescribe controlled substances.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. The KBML's Hearing Process

The KBML is statutorily charged to administer the Kentucky Medical Practice Act and is responsible for the regulation of all medical and osteopathic licensure functions within the Commonwealth of Kentucky. The KBML's enabling statute provides: "the practice of medicine

and osteopathy should be regulated and controlled as provided in KRS 311.530 to 311.620 *in order to prevent empiricism and to protect the health and safety of the public.*" KRS 311.555 (emphasis added).

KRS 13B.125 governs agencies of the Commonwealth as to emergency actions that affect the legal rights, duties, privileges, or immunities of named persons without a hearing. As such, it applies in Dr. Hansen's case. KRS 13B.125(2) provides "An agency head or an official of an agency duly authorized by law to summarily act in emergency situations may issue an emergency order to stop, prevent, or avoid an immediate danger to the public health, safety, or welfare." KRS 13B.125(3) provides for a hearing procedure at which the emergency order will be affirmed only if, "there is substantial evidence of a violation of law which constitutes an immediate danger to the public health, safety, or welfare."

KRS 311.592 applies specifically to emergency orders of the KBML. The General Assembly authorized the KBML to take emergency action when:

- (1) At any time when an inquiry panel has probable cause to believe that a physician has violated the terms of an agreed order or violated the terms of a disciplinary order, *or a physician's practice constitutes a danger to the health, welfare, and safety of his patients or the general public*, the inquiry panel may issue an emergency order, in accordance with KRS 13B.125, suspending, limiting, or restricting the physician's license.
- (2) For the purposes of a hearing conducted under KRS 13B.125 on an emergency order issued under subsection (1) of this section, *the findings of fact in the emergency order shall constitute a rebuttable presumption of substantial evidence of a violation of law that constitutes immediate danger to the health, welfare, or safety of patients or the general public.* For the purposes of this hearing only, hearsay shall be admissible and may serve as a basis of the board's findings.

(emphasis added).

Neither KRS 13B.125 nor KRS 311.592 provide any basis for the KBML to equate a grand jury indictment with a presumption that the physician's practice constitutes a danger to the public

health, safety, or welfare. Acting pursuant to its own regulatory authority, the KBML promulgated 201 KAR 9:240 Section 3, which states:

Section 3. Authority to Issue Emergency Order of Suspension Upon Felony Indictment.

- (1) If a licensee is indicted in any state for a crime classified as a felony in that state and the conduct charged relates to a controlled substance, *that licensee's practice shall be considered an immediate danger to the public health, safety, or welfare pursuant to KRS 311.592.*
- (2) If the board receives verifiable information that a licensee has been indicted in any state for a crime classified as a felony in the state of indictment and the conduct charged relates to a controlled substance, the inquiry panel or panel chair, acting on behalf of the inquiry panel, shall immediately issue an emergency order suspending or restricting that licensee's Kentucky license to prohibit the licensee from prescribing, dispensing, or otherwise utilizing a controlled substance in Kentucky, until further order following the final resolution of the criminal charges in the indictment.

(emphasis added). Additionally, 201 KAR 9:240 Section 5(2) states, "The singular function of the party conducting the emergency hearing shall be to determine whether the findings of fact providing the bases for the emergency order are supported by substantial evidence and, if so, constitute one (1) or more violations of KRS 311.595."

The KBML interprets 201 KAR 9:240 Sections 3 and 5 as a grant of authority to exclude a physician's evidence presented to rebut the presumption under KRS 311.592 on the premise that a felony indictment **alone** is substantial evidence in support of the allegations set forth in the KBML's Emergency Order. However, pursuant to KRS 13B.125, KRS 311.592, and constitutional due process requirements, the challenging physician must be granted a fair post-deprivation hearing where he or she is permitted to present evidence to rebut the presumption that his or her practice constitutes a danger to the public health, safety, or welfare. KBML regulations 201 KAR 9:240 Sections 3 and 5 are contrary to the mandate of both KRS 13B.125 and KRS 311.592 as these regulations deny the affected physician a meaningful opportunity to address whether his or

her practice is in furtherance of the public health. A meaningful hearing must be one in which the legality and appropriateness of the emergency order is not predetermined. Without the ability to present rebuttal evidence pursuant to the express language in KRS 13B.125 and 311.592, the KBML's interpretation and application of 201 KAR 9:240 Sections 3 and 5 render any post-deprivation hearing illusory with no meaningful ability to affect the outcome of an emergency action. *See Parrish v. Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure*, 145 S.W.3d 401, 410 (Ky. App. 2004).

B. Course of Proceedings of the KBML

Kendall E. Hansen, MD, DABA, ABAPM, DABPH, FIIP, is a Board-Certified Anesthesiologist who has specialized in pain medicine for over twenty-five (25) years at his practice and clinic in Crestview Hills, Kenton County, Kentucky. On November 23, 2021, upon receipt of an indictment against Dr. Hansen brought in the United States District Court for the Northern Division of the Eastern District of Kentucky, the KBML issued an Emergency Order of Restriction pursuant to KRS 311.592 and 201 KAR 9:240 Section 3 that prohibited him from prescribing or dispensing controlled substances. As previously found by this Court and uncontested by the KBML, the sole basis for the indictment was a single prescribing offense that allegedly occurred in 2016 and a single prescribing offense that allegedly occurred in 2018. On or about December 9, 2021, upon motion of Dr. Hansen, the KBML conducted an administrative hearing pursuant to KRS 311.592 and KRS 13B.125.

At the hearing, the KBML tendered the indictment as its sole evidence of Dr. Hansen's danger to the public health, safety and welfare. When Dr. Hansen attempted to call witnesses to testify, KBML's counsel moved to exclude Dr. Hansen's evidence pursuant to 201 KAR 9:240

Sections 3 and 5 as irrelevant. Accepting the KBML's position, the Hearing Officer excluded all of Dr. Hansen's offered proof as irrelevant.

At the December 9, 2021 hearing, Dr. Hansen offered into evidence the testimony of three (3) witnesses – Michael Staples, CBMI; Dawn Hall, RN; and Patrick Murphy, MD by avowal. These witnesses were prepared to testify (and did testify by avowal) that Dr. Hansen's practice utilizes a number of safeguards in treating patients and prescribing practices as evidenced by the documentary evidence. Michael Staples, CBMI is an independent consultant in safe prescribing practices; he is a former investigator for the Ohio Board of Medical Licensure, and he has been retained by Dr. Hansen for over three years to conduct independent auditing and safe-practice consultation within Dr. Hansen's practice. Through Mr. Staples, Dr. Hansen offered proof that Mr. Staples is an independent compliance officer who works on-site at Dr. Hansen's office two days per week and conducts chart audits, prescription compliance education, advises on best practices, consults with prescribing staff as to necessary documentation and potentially aberrant patient behaviors, and consultation with regard to those safeguards that can be embedded into the electronic patient health record to assure compliance. Mr. Staples offered into evidence his professional opinion that Dr. Hansen's prescribing practices are exemplary, compliant with regulatory requirements, and present no risk of danger to his patients or the community.

Through Ms. Hall, Dr. Hansen offered testimony that she is a registered nurse who works with Information Technology at IPS and is familiar with their documentation and prescribing practices. Nurse Hall offered into evidence her professional opinion that Dr. Hansen's practice utilizes the documentary evidence such as patient Narcotics Agreements, review of KASPER reports, written tools to assess patients for risk of dependence and patient risk stratification in the use of urine drug screening, and other patient monitoring tools including specific computerized

safeguards to insure compliance with KBML monitoring and prescribing practices; that she has worked with Dr. Hansen for many years and knows his prescribing practices to be safe and within the standard of reasonable care; that she utilized available computer tools to determine the percentages of patients who have Narcotics Agreements, KASPER reporting, Urine Drug Screening, as well as reports on the number of patients who undergo non-controlled interventional procedures, those who are managed on anti-inflammatory or other non-narcotic means, and patients who have been discharged from the practice for aberrant behaviors or noncompliance with their Narcotics Agreements.

Through Patrick Murphy, MD, Dr. Hansen offered expert testimony that Dr. Murphy is a well-respected pain medicine physician who has been referenced by the KBML as an authority on safe-prescribing practices; that Dr. Murphy has reviewed, on two (2) occasions, sets of ten (10) patient medical records that each covered a span of years; that based upon his review of these twenty (20) patient records as well as professional interaction and on-site visits to Dr. Hansen's clinic, Dr. Murphy is familiar with Dr. Hansen's prescribing practices and his exemplary reputation in the pain management community; that Dr. Hansen's prescribing practices and professional reputation are exemplary, compliant with regulatory requirements, and present no risk of danger to his patients or the community.

Additionally, Dr. Murphy and Mr. Staples, CMBI, offered their expert opinion that the abrupt discontinuation of Dr. Hansen's authority to prescribe narcotic medications created a risk of danger to as many as three thousand (3000) patients in North Central Kentucky, as there are not adequate staff within the practice or in the North Central Kentucky region to provide care to Dr. Hansen's chronic pain patients. Through Dr. Murphy and Mr. Staples, Dr. Hansen offered testimony that the KBML's conduct in issuing this Emergency Order of Restriction would result

in a lessening of patient mobility and activity and an increase in uncontrolled, intractable patient pain; that the Board's Emergency Order would result in a risk of narcotic withdrawal symptoms for patients on chronic pain management; that the Board's conduct would result in a risk of patients falling out of organized care in a controlled environment; and, regardless of any efforts IPS may utilize, the Board's Emergency Order would result in a risk of potential illicit drug use by some patients, thus constituting a danger to the health, safety, and welfare of the public.

Upon motion of KBML's counsel pursuant to 201 KAR 9:240 Sections 3 and 5, the Hearing Officer excluded all of Dr. Hansen's offered proof as irrelevant. Dr. Hansen was not permitted to rebut the KBML's unsupported assertion (without medical proof, expert testimony,¹ or otherwise) that his practice constituted a danger to the health, safety, and welfare of his patients. According to the KBML, despite KRS 311.592, the only issue at the hearing was whether Dr. Hansen was the person named in the indictment. The Hearing Officer found that "There is no conflicting evidence of substance regarding the allegations against Dr. Hansen in this action; those allegations being that he was indicted for crimes related to controlled substances. There is substantial evidence in support of the allegations and therefore in support of the Board's Emergency Order." *Final Order* at 4. In reliance upon an improper interpretation and application of 201 KAR 9:240 Sections 3 and 5, the KBML denied Dr. Hansen the opportunity to address the issue set forth in KRS 311.592 and denied Dr. Hansen his right to a meaningful post-deprivation

¹ In Kentucky House Bill 1, enacted in a Special Session in 2012, the Kentucky General Assembly mandated that the KBML utilize experts to review the prescribing practices of physicians who are under investigation for allegedly aberrant prescribing practices. Specifically, the General Assembly provided:

- (4) A state licensing board shall employ or obtain the services of a specialist in the treatment of pain and a specialist in drug addiction to evaluate information received regarding a licensee's prescribing or dispensing practices related to controlled substances if the board or its staff does not possess such expertise, to ascertain if the licensee under investigation is engaging in improper, inappropriate, or illegal practices.

Codified at KRS 218A, Section 2(4).

hearing to rebut and challenge the basis for the Emergency Order, a bedrock requirement of due process. On December 13, 2021, the KBML Final Order was issued denying relief from the November 23, 2021 Emergency Order of Restriction.

C. Course of Judicial Proceedings

Dr. Hansen filed his Complaint and Appeal from the Final Order of the KBML and accompanying Petition for Declaratory Judgment, Temporary Restraining Order, and Permanent Injunction in the Jefferson Circuit Court on December 20, 2021. This Court heard arguments on the temporary injunction motion January 3, 2022. On January 4, 2022, this Court entered an Order finding that, as written and interpreted, 201 KAR 9:240 Sections 3 and 5 violated Dr. Hansen's due process rights and the separation of powers doctrine under Sections 27 and 28 of the Kentucky Constitution. *Order Temporary Injunction* at 2–3. The Court's *Order* temporarily enjoined the KBML from enforcing its Emergency Order issued on November 23, 2021 and its Final Order, issued on December 13, 2021, finding that Dr. Hansen would suffer permanent, immediate, and irreparable harm in the absence of an injunction. *Id.* at 3. The *Order* included a briefing schedule regarding constitutional arguments. *Id.* The constitutional issues were fully briefed and argued before the Court on March 23, 2022. This Opinion and Order follows.

LEGAL ANALYSIS

I. AS WRITTEN, INTERPRETED, AND APPLIED BY THE KBML, 201 KAR 9:240 SECTIONS 3 AND 5 VIOLATED DR. HANSEN'S STATE AND FEDERAL RIGHTS TO PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS.

The KBML's restriction of Dr. Hansen's ability to practice medicine, despite overwhelming and uncontroverted evidence that his prescribing practices have been, currently are, and will continue to be consistent with accepted standards of medical practice and are not a danger to his patients or the public, constitutes arbitrary and fundamentally unfair state agency action that

is in violation of Sections 2 and 14 of the Kentucky Constitution and the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution's guarantees of due process of law.

A. Due Process Under the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Kentucky

The Constitution of the Commonwealth of Kentucky prohibits the exercise of arbitrary power by an administrative agency. *Commonwealth Transportation Cabinet Department of Vehicle Regulation v. Cornell*, 796 S.W.2d 591, 594 (Ky. App. 1990). Section 2 of the Constitution of the Commonwealth states: "Absolute and arbitrary power over the lives, liberty and property of freemen exists nowhere in a republic, not even in the largest majority." In conjunction, Section 14 of the Constitution states: "All courts shall be open, and every person for an injury done him in his lands, goods, person or reputation, shall have remedy by due course of law, and right and justice administered without sale, denial or delay."

"[J]udicial review of administrative action is concerned with the question of arbitrariness." *American Beauty Homes Corp. v. Louisville & Jefferson City Plan. & Zoning Commission*, 379 S.W.2d 450, 456 (Ky. 1964). "There is an inherent right of appeal from orders of administrative agencies where constitutional rights are involved, and section (2) of the Constitution prohibits the exercise of arbitrary power." *Id.* Arbitrary is defined as clearly erroneous, i.e. "unsupported by substantial evidence." *Kentucky Bd. of Nursing v. Ward*, 890 S.W.2d 641, 642 (Ky. App. 1994). If an agency acts in exercise outside of its statutory powers, "such action would be arbitrary within the prohibition of section (2) of the Kentucky Constitution." *American Beauty Homes*, 379 S.W.2d at 456. "*Administrative proceedings affecting a party's rights which did not afford an opportunity to be heard could likewise be classified as arbitrary.*" *Id.* (emphasis added).

By denying a meaningful opportunity to be heard through a fair hearing upon the issues set forth in KRS 311.592, the enabling statute for 201 KAR 9:240, and by entering the Final Order

restricting Dr. Hansen's property rights in his medical license and the privileges he earned appurtenant thereto, the KBML acted arbitrarily in violation of Dr. Hansen's right to a fair hearing and due process of law as guaranteed by the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Kentucky. The KBML presented no evidence by means of expert review, documentation, or witness testimony in support of its action restricting Dr. Hansen's license despite having the regulatory authority to engage an expert to review the case under 201 KAR 9:240 Sections 5(a) and 5(b). Indeed, as set forth above, House Bill 1 (Special Session 2012), as codified in KRS Chapter 218A, mandates that the KBML engage an expert to review a licensee's prescribing practices. The Hearing Officer abused his discretion when he erroneously determined the only fact the KBML needed to establish in support of its Final Order was that the allegations were brought against Dr. Hansen by means of a probable cause indictment.

The Final Order affirming the Emergency Order suspending Dr. Hansen's ability to practice medicine is arbitrary and capricious, constitutes an abuse of discretion, is not supported by substantial evidence, and is clearly erroneous in violation of Section 2 of the Kentucky Constitution.

B. Due Process Under the U.S. Constitution

The Fourteen Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides that "[n]o State shall . . . deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law." "In the interest of fairness, a party to be affected by an administrative order is entitled to procedural due process." *American Beauty Homes Corp. v. Louisville & Jefferson City Plan. & Zoning Commission*, 379 S.W.2d 450, 456 (Ky. 1964). "Procedural due process requires that some kind of hearing be conducted before the State finally deprives a person of his liberty or property." *TECO Mechanical Contractor, Inc. v. Commonwealth*, 366 S.W.3d 386, 393 (Ky. 2012). When a law or regulation is

challenged on procedural due process grounds, a two-part analysis applies: (1) is the interest being deprived a protected liberty or property interest and (2) do the procedures provided for its deprivation satisfy due process. *Id.*

Physicians enjoy a constitutionally protected property interest in their medical license, and thus have a right to procedural due process when a medical licensure board such as the KBML revokes or restricts his or her license. *See Abul-Ela v. Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure*, 217 S.W.3d 246, 251 (Ky. App. 2006). As to the adequacy of procedures provided for deprivation of a medical license, “due process does not always require a full-blown trial-type hearing.” *Id.* However, “Due process includes, *at a minimum*, reasonable notice of Board’s intended action and *a meaningful opportunity to be heard*.” *Id.* (citing *Goldberg v. Kelly*, 397 U.S. 254, 267–68 (1970)) (emphasis added).

Parrish v. Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure is instructive. In *Parrish*, the KBML temporarily suspended Dr. Parrish’s license for dishonorable, unethical, or unprofessional conduct of a character likely to deceive, defraud, or harm the public. 145 S.W.3d 401, 405 (Ky. App. 2004). Dr. Parrish requested an emergency hearing to address the suspension. *Id.* A hearing was granted, and the hearing officer affirmed the suspension. *Id.* The Court of Appeals upheld the adequacy of the hearing explaining that:

Parrish received both a temporary suspension hearing and a three-day final disciplinary hearing. She was represented by counsel at both hearings. *She was permitted to and did call witnesses and to cross-examine opposing witnesses. In addition, the Board gave Parrish the opportunity to further supplement the record with additional deposition testimony after the three-day hearing. The record reveals that Parrish’s hearing was not merely token; it was more than adequate to protect her procedural due process rights.*

Id. at 410 (emphasis added).

KRS 311.592(1) empowers the KBML to issue an emergency order of restriction against a physician's license in accordance with KRS 13B.125 and requires the KBML to make a finding in that order that "a physician's practice constitutes a danger to the health, welfare, and safety of his patients or the general public . . ." (emphasis added). KRS 311.592(2) grants the physician an opportunity for a hearing on the KBML's emergency order and "the findings of fact in the emergency order shall constitute *a rebuttable presumption* of substantial evidence of a violation of law that constitutes immediate danger to the health, welfare, or safety of patients or the general public . . ." (emphasis added).

In Paragraph 17 of the KBML's Final Order, the Hearing Officer stated: "Clearly the focus of [KRS 311.592], as well as KRS 13B.125, is on whether the violation of the law constitutes an immediate danger, and not as Dr. Hansen suggests whether the current operational practice related to the prescribing of controlled substances and the treatment of those receiving such prescriptions is a danger to the health welfare or safety of patients or the general public." *Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Final Order*, at 6. However, KRS 311.592 does not empower the KBML (1) to determine whether a violation of law was committed by any physician charged in a probable cause indictment; (2) to restrict a physician's license upon any grounds other than when, "a physician's practice constitutes a danger to the health, welfare, and safety of his patients or the general public"; or (3) to create an *irrebuttable presumption* that the fact of a physician's indictment constitutes a danger to the health, welfare, and safety of his patients or the general public.

201 KAR 9:240 Section 3 states: "If a licensee is indicted in any state for a crime classified as a felony in that state and the conduct charged relates to a controlled substance, *that licensee's practice shall be considered an immediate danger to the public health, safety, or welfare pursuant*

to KRS 311.592.” Likewise, 201 KAR 9:240 Section 5 states, “The singular function of the party conducting the emergency hearing shall be to determine whether the findings of fact providing the bases for the emergency order are supported by substantial evidence and, if so, constitute one (1) or more violations of KRS 311.595.”

At Paragraph 21 of the KBML’s Final Order, the Hearing Officer stated, “[201 KAR 9:240 Sections 3 and 5] set forth very strict parameters for the administrative hearing conducted in this case. Therefore even if Dr. Hansen’s argument were to be accepted as valid and he does have a due process right to an opportunity to be heard on the issue of whether his current prescribing practices ‘constitutes an immediate danger to the public health, safety and welfare’ *it is clear that the administrative hearing conducted in this case is not the appropriate and authorized venue for this issue to be adjudicated.*” *Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Final Order*, at 7 (emphasis added). This conclusion demonstrates the hearing was merely token with no ability to affect the outcome of the Emergency Order of restriction.

Pursuant to the basic principles of due process, a physician facing an emergency order of restriction by the KBML **must** be permitted to present evidence at the hearing that his or her practice does not constitute a danger to the health, welfare, and safety of his patients or the general public. However, based on an erroneous interpretation and application of the fundamentally flawed regulations 201 KAR 9:240 Section 3 and 5, Dr. Hansen was denied his statutory and constitutional right to present evidence of safe practices deemed relevant under KRS 311.592. Through the implementation and application of these regulations, the KBML created an irrebuttable presumption that the fact of a physician’s indictment alone constitutes a danger to the health, welfare, and safety of his patients or the general public. Without the ability to present relevant evidence through documentation and testimony that his medical practice and his prescribing

practices have been, currently are, and will continue to be consistent with accepted standards of medical practice and not a danger to patients or the public, Dr. Hansen was denied the right to a meaningful opportunity to be heard. Instead, a fundamentally unfair hearing was provided with a pre-determined outcome.

II. 201 KAR 9:240, SECTIONS 3 AND 5, VIOLATE SECTIONS 27 AND 28 OF THE KENTUCKY CONSTITUTION AND FAIL TO FOLLOW STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS ENACTED BY THE KENTUCKY GENERAL ASSEMBLY.

Section 27 of the Constitution of Kentucky reads: "The powers of the government of the Commonwealth of Kentucky shall be divided into three distinct departments, and each of them be confined to a separate body of magistracy, to wit: Those which are legislative, to one; those which are executive, to another; and those which are judicial, to another." In addition, Section 28 states: "No person or collection of persons, being of one of those departments, shall exercise any power properly belonging to either of the others, except in the instances hereinafter expressly directed or permitted." Read together, Section 27 "mandates separation among the three branches of government" whereas Section 28 "specifically prohibits incursion of one branch of government into the powers and functions of the others." *Coleman v. Campbell County Library Board of Trustees*, 547 S.W.3d 526, 533 (Ky. App. 2018). "The essential purpose of separation of powers is to allow for independent functioning of each coequal branch of government within its assigned sphere of responsibility, free from risk of control, interference, or intimidation by other branches." *Id.* (quoting *Appalachian Racing, LLC v. Commonwealth*, 504 S.W.3d 1, 4-5 (Ky. 2016)).

The Kentucky General Assembly enacted KRS 13B.125(2) which provides the following: "An agency head or an official of an agency duly authorized by law to summarily act in emergency situations may issue an emergency order to stop, prevent, or avoid an immediate danger to the public health, safety, or welfare." KRS 311.592(1) empowers the KBML to issue an emergency

order of restriction against a physician's license conditioned in accordance with KRS 13B.125 and upon a finding of probable cause that the physician's practice "constitutes a danger to the health, welfare, and safety of his patients or the general public." In conjunction, the General Assembly enacted KRS 311.592(2) which reads: "For the purposes of a hearing conducted under KRS 13B.125 on an emergency order issued under subsection (1) of this section, the findings of fact in the emergency order shall constitute *a rebuttable presumption* of substantial evidence of a violation of law that constitutes immediate danger to the health, welfare, or safety of patients or the general public."

Pursuant to its authority granted by the General Assembly under 311.565(1)(i), the KBML promulgated 201 KAR 9:240 Section 3 which deems a physician's practice an immediate danger to the public health, safety, or welfare pursuant to KRS 311.592 following a felony indictment related to controlled substances. The KBML likewise promulgated 201 KAR 9:240 Section 5 which states: "The singular function of the party conducting the emergency hearing shall be to determine whether the findings of fact providing the bases for the emergency order are supported by substantial evidence and, if so, constitute one (1) or more violations of KRS 311.595."

The KBML improperly relied on these self-enacted regulations when they excluded Dr. Hansen's proffered documentary and testimonial evidence of safe prescribing practices and entered the Final Order affirming the Emergency Order upon no evidence, let alone expert evidence required by KRS Chapter 218A, save only the felony indictment. By promulgating 201 KAR 9:240 Sections 3 and 5, the KBML unconstitutionally usurped the power of the General Assembly by eviscerating the statutorily required analysis under KRS 311.592 of whether "a physician's practice constitutes a danger to the health, welfare, and safety of his patients or the general public." Rather, the KBML's regulations limit the inquiry to whether the physician against

whom an emergency order was issued is the physician named in the indictment, and whether the indictment relates to a controlled substance. The General Assembly granted the KBML the power to determine whether a physician's practice constitutes a danger to the health, welfare, and safety of his patients or the general public and the KBML improperly delegated this power to a lay person grand jury. In short, the KBML has delegated its statutory duty to evaluate whether a physician's practice constitutes an immediate danger to the public health, welfare and safety to the lay person grand jury.

The General Assembly never intended to grant the KBML authority to eviscerate the statutorily created rebuttable presumption requirement found in KRS 311.592 by its regulatory rulemaking. In reliance on 201 KAR 9:240 Sections 3 and 5, the KBML prohibited Dr. Hansen from offering evidence of his safe prescribing practices, evidence that is deemed relevant by KRS 311.592(2)'s rebuttable presumption. 201 KAR 9:240 Sections 3 and 5 as written and interpreted by the KBML not only exceed the statutory authority granted to it by the General Assembly but also constitute an unconstitutional delegation of its statutory duty in violation of the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Kentucky. Moreover, the KBML never engaged an expert to review Dr. Hansen's prescribing practices as required by KRS Chapter 218A.

The Court being sufficiently advised;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that:

1. 201 KAR 9:240 Section 3 and Section 5, as written, interpreted, and applied by the KBML, are unconstitutional violations of Dr. Kendall Hansen, M.D.'s right to due process as guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Sections 2 and 14 of the Kentucky Constitution.

2. The Final Order restricting Dr. Kendall Hansen, M.D.'s prescribing authority constitutes an unlawful taking of property in violation of his right to due process as guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Sections 2 and 14 of the Kentucky Constitution.
3. 201 KAR 9:240 Section 3 and Section 5, as written, interpreted, and applied by the KBML in entering its Final Order, are unconstitutional invasions of power reserved to the Kentucky General Assembly, as guaranteed by Sections 27 and 28 of the Kentucky Constitution.
4. Respondent, Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure, is hereby **PERMANENTLY ENJOINED** from enforcing its Emergency Order, issued on November 23, 2021, and Final Order, issued on December 13, 2021, restricting Dr. Kendall Hansen, M.D.'s license to practice medicine and, more specifically, to prescribe controlled substances. *There being no just cause for delay, this Order is final and appealable*

Entered this 12th day of April, 2022.

Andrew Echols
JUDGE, JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT


Tendered by:

/s/ Edward Monarch

Edward Monarch, Esq., KBA #84898
William G. Carroll, Esq., KBA #98454
500 West Jefferson Street, Suite 2400
Louisville, Kentucky 40202
emonarch@mcbayerfirm.com
wcarroll@mcbayerfirm.com

Lisa English Hinkle, Esq., KBA #33210
Christopher J. Shaughnessy, Esq., KBA #83652
201 East Main Street, Suite 900
Lexington, Kentucky 40507
lhinkle@mcbayerfirm.com
cshaughnessy@mcbayerfirm.com

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER



Commonwealth of Kentucky

Court of Appeals

NO. 2022-CA-0010-I

KENTUCKY BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE

MOVANT

v. ON MOTION FOR INTERLOCUTORY RELIEF
ARISING FROM JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT
ACTION NO. 21-CI-007145

KENDALL E. HANSEN, M.D. AND
AUDRA ECKERLE, JUDGE

RESPONDENTS

ORDER **GRANTING MOTION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF**

** ** *

This cause comes before the Court on motion of Movant, Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure (the Board), for emergency relief pursuant to CR¹ 65.07(6). No response to the motion was filed. Having considered the Board's motion for emergency relief, and being sufficiently advised, it is ORDERED that the motion for emergency relief shall be, and hereby is, GRANTED for reasons set forth below.

¹ Kentucky Rules of Civil Procedure.

I. BACKGROUND

In November 2021, Respondent, Dr. Kendall E. Hansen, who specializes in interventional pain management, was indicted on the following felony charges in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Kentucky, Northern Division:

[O]ne (1) count of conspiring and agreeing with others, employees 1 and 2, to knowingly and intentionally distribute and dispense controlled substances pursuant to prescriptions that were not for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional practice, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841 (a)(1) and 846

...

[And] two (2) counts of knowingly and intentionally distributing controlled substances pursuant to prescriptions that were not issued for legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his medical practice, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1)[.]

The events giving rise to these charges appear to have occurred in 2016 and 2018.

The Board received notice of these charges soon thereafter, and, on November 23, 2021, an emergency order of restriction was issued. The November 23rd order allows Dr. Hansen to practice medicine but prohibits Dr. Hansen from prescribing controlled substances.² On December 1, 2021, Dr. Hansen initiated an

² The Court's impression of the November 23rd order is based on the Board's motions, the Board's findings of fact, conclusions of law and final order issued on December 13, 2021, and

administrative appeal of the November 23rd order pursuant to KRS³ 13B.125 and 201 KAR⁴ 9:240 section 4. A hearing was conducted on December 9, 2021, and the Board affirmed the November 23rd order in its findings of fact, conclusions of law, and final order issued on December 13, 2021.

Dr. Hansen filed a petition for review of the Board's orders in the Jefferson Circuit Court and further filed a motion for a temporary injunction. Following a January 3, 2022 hearing, the circuit court granted Dr. Hansen's request for a temporary injunction and stayed enforcement of the November 23rd and December 13th orders.

On January 5, 2022, the Board filed a motion for relief pursuant to CR 65.07 and a separate motion for emergency relief under CR 65.07(6) with this Court. In its motion for emergency relief the Board requests "emergency relief, pursuant to CR 65.07(6), by dissolving the Jefferson Circuit Court's *Order Temporary Injunction*, dated January 4, 2022, and reinstating the Board's Emergency Order of Restriction."

the circuit court's January 4, 2022 order. Movant did not make the November 23rd order part of the Court's record.

³ Kentucky Revised Statutes.

⁴ Kentucky Administrative Regulations.

II. ANALYSIS

CR 65.07(6) places a heavy burden on the movant seeking emergency relief before the Court of Appeals – a showing that irreparable harm will occur even before the motion for interlocutory relief can be heard by a three-Judge panel.

It provides:

If a movant will suffer irreparable injury before the motion [for interlocutory relief] will be considered by a panel, the movant may request emergency relief from a member of the Court which may be granted ex parte if necessary.

CR 65.07(6). “Irreparable” in this context connotes an injury “of a ruinous or grievous nature” or one that will result in “a substantial miscarriage of justice.”

Radford v. Lovelace, 212 S.W.3d 72, 78-79 (Ky. 2006), *overruled on other grounds by Cardine v. Commonwealth*, 283 S.W.3d 641 (Ky. 2009) (citation omitted). In *Boone Creek Properties, LLC v. Lexington-Fayette Urban County Bd. of Adjustment*, 442 S.W.3d 36, 40 (Ky. 2014), the Kentucky Supreme Court held that irreparable harm is presumed where the “government is enforcing a statute designed to protect the public interest.”

The practice of medicine is governed by KRS 311.530 through KRS 311.620. The Board is a government agency created by KRS 311.530(1). Its purpose is to “regulate, control and otherwise discipline the licensees who practice medicine and osteopathy within the Commonwealth of Kentucky,” to protect the

health and safety of the public. KRS 311.555. Additionally, the Board was given the authority pursuant to KRS 311.565(a) and (i) to enact reasonable administrative regulations to regulate the conduct of its licensees. The enacted regulations can be found in 201 KAR 9.

In the case *sub judice*, the Board issued the November 23rd order in accordance with KRS 311.592 and 201 KAR 9:240 Section 3(1) and (2) restricting Dr. Hansen's ability to prescribe controlled substances due to the pending federal charges. Because the Board was attempting to enforce statutes designed to protect the public interest, there is a rebuttable presumption that the circuit court's order causes irreparable injury. Here the circuit court's order essentially strips the Board of its ability to regulate, control and otherwise discipline this particular licensee in accordance with the relevant statutes, thereby undermining its authority. As such, emergency relief is appropriate.


Furthermore, the Court notes that as a prerequisite to issuance of a temporary injunction, our case law clearly required the circuit court to find:

(1) that [Dr. Hansen's] position presents "a substantial question" on the underlying merits of the case, i.e. *that there is a substantial possibility that the Appellant will ultimately prevail*; (2) that [Dr. Hansen's] remedy will be irreparably impaired absent the extraordinary relief; and (3) that an injunction will not be inequitable, i.e. will not unduly harm other parties or disserve the public.

Norsworthy v. Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure, 330 S.W.3d 58, 62 (Ky. 2009) (quoting *Price v. Paintsville Tourism Comm'n*, 261 S.W.3d 482, 484 (Ky. 2008)). The circuit court based its issuance of an injunction on only one of these factors, purported irreparable harm to Dr. Hansen, when all three are required. *Id.* Moreover, the type of harm relied upon by the circuit court, *i.e.* that Dr. Hansen would lose patients and suffer business losses, was specifically rejected by Supreme Court as insufficient to constitute irreparable harm in *Norsworthy*. *Id.* at 62 (“[M]ere injuries, however substantial, in terms of money, time and energy necessarily expended in the absence of a stay, are not enough.”).

III. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, Movant’s motion for emergency relief pursuant to CR 65.07(6) is hereby GRANTED. The motion for interlocutory relief shall be assigned to a three-Judge panel of this Court following expiration of the response time provided in CR 65.07(4).



ENTERED: JAN 11 2022

JUDGE, COURT OF APPEALS

NO. _____

21C107145

JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT
DIVISION _____

KENDALL E. HANSEN, M.D.

PETITIONER

v.

KENTUCKY BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE,
an agency of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, et al

RESPONDENTS

ORDER
TEMPORARY INJUNCTION

Upon Motion of the Petitioner, by counsel, pursuant to CR 65.04 of the Kentucky Rules of Civil Procedure, and the parties having opportunity to be heard before the Court, and the Court having made findings of fact as enumerated herein, and being otherwise sufficiently advised:

The Court finds:

1. Kendall E. Hansen, M.D., DABA, ABAPM, DABPM, FI is a pain medicine physician in practice in Crestview Hills, Kentucky.
2. On or about November 23, 2021, upon receipt of an Indictment brought in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky, Northern Division, the Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure ("KBML") issued without hearing an Emergency Order of Restriction that prohibited Dr. Hansen from prescribing controlled substances.
3. On or about December 9, 2021, upon Motion of Dr. Hansen, the KBML, through Officer Keith Hardison, conducted a hearing pursuant to KRS 311.592 and KRS 13B.125 to determine the merits of the Emergency Order of Restriction.
4. At the hearing, Dr. Hansen, by counsel, offered into evidence documents and witness testimony as to the safety of his prescribing practices.

5. Upon Motion of KBML's Counsel, in reliance upon 201 KAR 9:240, Section Three and Section Five, Hearing Officer Hardison excluded Dr. Hansen's offered evidence.

6. As written and interpreted by the KBML, 201 KAR 9:240 Section Three and Section Five render only the fact of probable cause indictment relevant to the proceedings.

7. KRS 311.592 requires that the issuance of an Emergency Order by the KBML must be based upon a finding that the "physician's practice constitutes a danger to the health, welfare, and safety of his patients or the general public."

8. Excepting only an indictment charging a single offense that allegedly occurred in 2016 and a single offense that allegedly occurred in 2018, the KBML offered no evidence of Dr. Hansen's prescribing practices, nor otherwise offered any evidence that Dr. Hansen's practice constitutes a danger to the health, welfare, and safety of his patients or the general public.

9. Dr. Hansen offered substantial documentary evidence, fact witness testimony, and expert witness testimony offered by Dr. Hansen by avowal that, along with his long-standing medical practice, was sufficient to establish the safety of his prescribing practices.

10. In reliance upon 201 KAR 9:240 Section Three and Section Five, the KBML, acting through Hearing Officer Keith Hardison, entered Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and a Final Order on or about December 13, 2021 that found, in error, that evidence of the safety of Dr. Hansen's prescribing practices were not relevant to the issues for determination at the hearing.

11. As written and interpreted by the KBML, 201 KAR 9:240 Section Three and Section Five at the hearing and in the Final Order, violated Dr. Hansen's rights to due process of law as guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Sections 2 and 14 of the Kentucky Constitution.

12. As written and interpreted by the KBML, 201 KAR 9:240 Section Three and Section Five constitute an unconstitutional infringement by the KBML upon the authority granted solely to the Kentucky General Assembly by Sections 27 and 28 of the Kentucky Constitution.

13. In the conduct of the hearing conducted pursuant to KRS 311.592 and KRS 13B.125, the KBML erred by applying an incorrect rule of law and finding, in error, that the KBML had carried its burden to establish that Dr. Hansen's practice constitutes a danger to the health, welfare, and safety of his patients or the general public.

14. Pursuant to CR 65.04, Dr. Hansen will suffer permanent, immediate, and irreparable harm, specifically that he will be unable to prescribe medications necessary for his patients; he reasonably can be expected to lose patient census from an inability to prescribe necessary medications; insurance company billing certification; Medicaid / Medicare certification; beneficial ownership interest in his practice, Interventional Pain Specialists, PLC; valued employees; and his losses can reasonably be expected to be irreparable through means other than the injunctive relief herein granted.

WHEREFORE IT IS ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion, pursuant to CR 65.04 of the Kentucky Rules of Civil Procedure, for temporary injunction is **GRANTED**; Respondent, Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure, is hereby **TEMPORARILY ENJOINED** from enforcing its Emergency Order, issued on November 23, 2021, and Final Order, issued on December 13, 2021, restricting Petitioner's license to practice medicine and, more specifically, to prescribe controlled substances. This Order shall remain in force until modified or dissolved on motions or until a permanent injunction is granted or denied. *Petitioner shall file a brief*


*regarding the due process
argument by 1.15.22.*

*The response will be due
on 2.1.22, and the reply
on 2.8.22. The Court will
contact the parties for a hearing date*

Michael E. Hulse
JUDGE, JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT

Date

1.4.2022



FILED OF RECORD

DEC - 2 2021

K.B.M.L

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE
CASE NO. 2033

IN RE: THE LICENSE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF
KENTUCKY HELD BY KENDALL E. HANSEN, M.D., LICENSE NO. 25777,
340 THOMAS MORE PARKWAY, #260, CRESTVIEW HILLS, KENTUCKY
41017

COMPLAINT

Comes now the Complainant Waqar A. Saleem, M.D., Chair of the Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure's Inquiry Panel A, and on behalf of the Panel pursuant to 201 KAR 9:240 Section 1(3)(b), states for its Complaint against the licensee, Kendall E. Hansen, M.D., as follows:

1. At all relevant times, Kendall E. Hansen, M.D. ("the licensee"), was licensed by the Board to practice medicine in the Commonwealth of Kentucky.
2. The licensee's medical specialty is interventional pain management.
3. On or about November 18, 2021, in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Kentucky, Northern Division, the licensee was indicted on felony charges related to controlled substances.
4. The licensee was indicted on one (1) count of conspiring and agreeing with others, Employees 1 and 2, to knowingly and intentionally distribute and dispense controlled substances pursuant to prescriptions that were not issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional practice, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 846.
5. The licensee was indicted on two (2) counts of knowingly and intentionally distributing and dispensing controlled substances pursuant to prescriptions that were not issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of

his professional practice, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1), as set forth below:

Count	Approximate Date Prescription Written	Substances Distributed To	Substance Name	Quantity
2	November 21, 2016	Employee 2	Tramadol	480
3	March 13, 2018	Employee 2	Phentermine Hcl 37.5 MG	30

6. On or about November 23, 2021, an Emergency Order of Restriction was issued against the licensee's license to practice medicine in the Commonwealth of Kentucky.
7. By his conduct, the licensee has violated KRS 311.595(9), as illustrated by KRS 311.597(4), and KRS 311.595(12). Accordingly, legal grounds exist for disciplinary action against his license to practice medicine in the Commonwealth of Kentucky.
8. The licensee is directed to respond to the allegations delineated in the Complaint within thirty (30) days of service thereof and is further given notice that:
 - (a) His failure to respond may be taken as an admission of the charges;
 - (b) He may appear alone or with counsel, may cross-examine all prosecution witnesses and offer evidence in his defense.
9. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a hearing on this Complaint is scheduled for May 10, 2022, at 9:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time, at the Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure, Hurstbourne Office Park, 310 Whittington Parkway, Suite 1B, Louisville, Kentucky 40222. Said hearing shall be held pursuant to the Rules and Regulations of the Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure and pursuant to KRS Chapter 13B. This hearing shall proceed as scheduled and the hearing date shall only be modified by leave of the Hearing Officer upon a showing of good cause.


WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that appropriate disciplinary action be taken against the license to practice medicine in the Commonwealth of Kentucky held by Kendall E. Hansen, M.D.

This 2nd day of December, 2021.


WAQAR A. SALEEM, M.D.
CHAIR, INQUIRY PANEL A

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original of this Complaint was delivered to Mr. Michael S. Rodman, Executive Director, Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure, 310 Whittington Parkway, Suite 1B, Louisville, Kentucky 40222; a copy was mailed via first-class postage prepared to Keith Hardison, Esq., Hearing Officer, 2616 Bardstown Road, Louisville, Kentucky 40205 and copies were mailed via certified mail return-receipt requested to the licensee, Kendall E. Hansen, M.D., License No. 25777, 340 Thomas More Parkway, #260, Crestview Hills, Kentucky 41017; and his counsel, Lisa E. Hinkle, Esq, McBrayer PLLC, 201 East Main Street, Suite 900, Lexington, Kentucky 40507 on this 2nd day of December, 2021.


Sara Farmer
Assistant General Counsel
Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure
310 Whittington Parkway, Suite 1B
Louisville, Kentucky 40222
(502) 429-7150

FILED OF RECORD

NOV 23 2021

K.B.M.L.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BOARD OF MEDICAL LICENSURE
CASE NO. 2033

IN RE: THE LICENSE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY HELD BY KENDALL E. HANSEN, M.D., LICENSE NO. 25777, 340 THOMAS MORE PARKWAY, #260, CRESTVIEW HILLS, KENTUCKY 41017

EMERGENCY ORDER OF RESTRICTION

The Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure ("the Board"), acting by and through the Chair of its Inquiry Panel A, considered an Indictment, filed November 18, 2021, in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Kentucky, Northern Division. Having considered this information and being sufficiently advised, the Chair of Inquiry Panel A enters the following EMERGENCY ORDER OF RESTRICTION, in accordance with KRS 311.592(1) and 13B.125(1):

FINDINGS OF FACT

Pursuant to KRS 13B.125(2) and based upon the information available to him, the Chair of Inquiry Panel A concludes there is probable cause to make the following Findings of Fact, which support this Emergency Order of Restriction:

1. At all relevant times, Kendall E. Hansen, M.D. ("the licensee"), was licensed by the Board to practice medicine in the Commonwealth of Kentucky.
2. The licensee's medical specialty is interventional pain management.
3. On or about November 18, 2021, in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Kentucky, Northern Division, the licensee was indicted on felony charges related to controlled substances.
4. The licensee was indicted on one (1) count of conspiring and agreeing with others, Employees 1 and 2, to knowingly and intentionally distribute and dispense controlled substances pursuant to prescriptions that were not issued for a legitimate medical

purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional practice, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 846.

5. The licensee was indicted on two (2) counts of knowingly and intentionally distributing and dispensing controlled substances pursuant to prescriptions that were not issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional practice, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1), as set forth below:

Count	Approximate Date Prescription Written	Substances Distributed To	Substance Name	Quantity
2	November 21, 2016	Employee 2	Tramadol	480
3	March 13, 2018	Employee 2	Phentermine Hcl 37.5 MG	30

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Pursuant to KRS 13B.125(2) and based upon the information available to him, the Chair of Inquiry Panel A finds there is probable cause to support the following Conclusions of Law, which serve as the legal bases for this Emergency Order of Restriction:

1. The licensee's Kentucky medical license is subject to regulation and discipline by this Board.
2. KRS 311.592(1) provides that the Board may issue an emergency order suspending, limiting, or restricting a physician's license at any time an inquiry panel has probable cause to believe that a) the physician has violated the terms of an order placing him on probation; or b) a physician's practice constitutes a danger to the health, welfare and safety of his patients or the general public.
3. There is probable cause to believe that the licensee has violated KRS 311.595(9), as illustrated by KRS 311.597(4), and KRS 311.595(12).

4. 201 KAR 9:240 §1 provides,

- (1) An inquiry panel or the panel's chair, acting on behalf of the inquiry panel, may issue an emergency order restricting or suspending a physician's license to practice medicine or osteopathy within the Commonwealth of Kentucky in accordance with KRS 311.592 and 13B.125.
- (2) ...
- (3) (a) An inquiry panel's chair may act on behalf of the inquiry panel and issue an emergency order restricting or suspending a physician's license to practice medicine or osteopathy within the Commonwealth of Kentucky if the panel chair determines that a basis for an emergency order as established in subsection (1) of this section exists and the circumstances of the specific case warrant emergency action prior to the next regularly scheduled meeting of the inquiry panel.

5. 201 KAR 9:240 §3 provides

- (1) If a licensee is indicted in any state for a crime classified as a felony in that state and the conduct charged relates to a controlled substance, that licensee's practice shall be considered an immediate danger to the public health, safety or welfare, pursuant to KRS 311.592 and 13B.125.
- (2) If the Board receives verifiable information that a licensee has been indicted in any state for a crime classified as a felony in the state of indictment and the conduct charged relates to a controlled substance, the inquiry panel or panel chair, acting on behalf of the inquiry panel, shall immediately issue an emergency order suspending or restricting that licensee's Kentucky license....

6. The Inquiry Panel Chair concludes there is probable cause to believe this licensee's practice constitutes a danger to the health, welfare and safety of his patients or the general public.

7. The Board may draw logical and reasonable inferences about a licensee's practice by considering certain facts about a licensee's practice. If there is proof that a licensee has violated a provision of the Kentucky Medical Practice Act in one set of circumstances, the Board may infer that the licensee will similarly violate the Medical Practice Act when presented with a similar set of circumstances. Similarly, the Board concludes that proof of a set of facts about a licensee's practice presents representative proof of

the nature of that licensee's practice in general. Accordingly, probable cause to believe that the licensee has committed certain violations in the recent past presents probable cause to believe that the licensee will commit similar violations in the near future, during the course of the licensee's osteopathic practice.

8. The United States Supreme Court has ruled that it is no violation of the federal Due Process Clause for a state agency to temporarily suspend a license, without a prior evidentiary hearing, so long as 1) the immediate action is based upon a probable cause finding that there is a present danger to the public safety; and, 2) the statute provides for a prompt post-deprivation hearing. Barry v. Barchi, 443 U.S. 55, 61 L.Ed.2d 365, 99 S.Ct. 2642 (1979); FDIC v. Mallen, 486 U.S. 230, 100 L.Ed.2d 265, 108 S.Ct. 1780 (1988) and Gilbert v. Homar, 520 U.S. 924 (1997), 117 S.Ct. 1807 (1997). Cf. KRS 13B.125(1).


KRS 13B.125(3) provides that the Board shall conduct an emergency hearing on this emergency order within ten (10) working days of a request for such a hearing by the licensee. The licensee has been advised of his right to a prompt post-deprivation hearing under this statute.

EMERGENCY ORDER OF RESTRICTION

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Chair of Inquiry Panel A hereby ORDERS that the license to practice medicine in the Commonwealth of Kentucky held by Kendall E. Hansen, M.D., is RESTRICTED and Dr. Hansen is prohibited from prescribing, dispensing, or otherwise professionally utilizing controlled substances until the Board's hearing panel has finally resolved the Complaint after receipt of the court documents resolving the criminal charges in the indictment discussed in this pleading or until such further Order of the Board.


The Chair of Inquiry Panel A further declares that this is an EMERGENCY ORDER, effective upon receipt by the licensee.

SO ORDERED this 23rd day of November, 2021.


WAQAR A. SALEEM, M.D.
CHAIR, INQUIRY PANEL A

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original of this Emergency Order of Restriction was delivered to Mr. Michael S. Rodman, Executive Director, Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure, 310 Whittington Parkway, Suite 1B, Louisville, Kentucky 40222; and a copy was mailed via certified mail return-receipt requested to the licensee, Kendall E. Hansen, M.D., License No. 25777, 340 Thomas More Parkway, #260, Crestview Hills, Kentucky 41017, on this 23rd day of November, 2021.


Sara Farmer
Assistant General Counsel
Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure
310 Whittington Parkway, Suite 1B
Louisville, Kentucky 40222
(502) 429-7150